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## To the Senate of the United Statese.

In compliance with a resolution of the Senate, of the 26 th of April, requesting the President of the United States " to communicate to the Senate the report of the Attorney General, relative to any persons (citizens of the United'States) who have been charged with, or suspected of, introducing any slaves into the United States, contrary to existing laws," I transmit herewith two reports from the Attorney General.
dAMES MONROE.

Washington, 6th May, 1822.

## Office of the Attorney Generil of the U. S.

 February 2d, 1820.Sir: The slaves to which Governor Clark alludes, having been im= ported prior to the act of the 3d March, 1819, do not fall within the sphere of the powers a thuties assigned to the President by the first and second sections of hic sct. These slaves appear to have been introduced in the fall of 1817 , or in the following winter, at which time, by the laws of the United States, they were subject to be disposed of by the laws of the several states. If they were not proceeded against under the state laws, I understand that proceedings may now be had against them, under the 4th section of the act of Congress, of sd March, 1819, which provides "that, when information shall be lodged with the attorney for the district of any state or territory, that any negro, \&c. has been imported therein, contrary to the provisions of the acts in such case made and provided, it shall be the duty of the attorney forthwith to commence a prosecution by information, and process will issue against the person charged with holding such negro, \&c. and, if it shall be ascertained by the verdict of a jury that such negro, \&c. has been brouglitin, contrary to the true intent and meaning of the acts, \&c. then the court shall direct the marshal of the said district to take the said negro, \&c. into his custody for safe keeping, subject to the orders of the President," \&c. I understand this section of the act of 1819 , as app!ying to all negroes theretofore brought in, against the provisions of any of the acts of Cougress on the subject, who had not been disposed of previously by the state laws; and, consequently, that if these negroes are in this predicament, and are now in any state or territory of the United States, proceedings may still be had against them under that section; but that the President has nothing to do with them, until they shall, by the judgment of a court, be placed in the hands of the marshal, subject to the orders of the President; and that; when so placed in the marshal's hands, the President may order them, if he pleases, to the coast of Africa, under the spirit of the act in which this 4 th section is found.

I think, also, that it is due both to the government and General Mitchell, that a prosecution should be instituted against him for the penalty given by the laws of the United States for the importation of slaves. Such a prosecution will give him an opportunity of acquitting himself, if innocent, and will inflict a just punishment on him, if guilty.

With respect to the propriety of submitting this case to Congress, in their call for information as to the practices in evasion or violation of our slave laws, Governor Clark's communication appears to me to come directly within the object of the call, and, being derived from so respectable a source as the Governor of the state of Georgia, I cannot perceive with what propriety it can be withheld.

I have the honor, \&c. \&c.
WM. WIRT.

[^0]
# Office of the Mthorncy General of the United States, 

 21st Junuary, 1821.Srix 1 proceeded. on the 1 st instant, accorring to appointment, to take up the case of Grimal David B. Nitchedl, the agent of the United States for Ludian affaits, at the Creek agency, under a chargo from Goncrmor Clark, of Georgia, that he was concerned in the unlawful importation of Africans, in breach of our laws, in the winter of $1810-18$; and have now the homor of reporting to you, according t" your direction, my opinion, both of the law and the facts of thio case.

Thie mily law of the United States which has any bearing on tho conduct of General Mitchell, is the act of Congress of the 2 d of Mach, 1807 , entithed •An act to prohibit the inpoortation of slaves into any poit or place wilthin the jurisdiction of the United states from alid after the first day of January, 1808." 'This act, after inflicting severe penalties on any who shall, after that day, import, or aid in importing, any negro, \&c. to any piot or place within the jurisdiction of the United States, will the view of selling them, or holding them in sepvice or labor, proceds to declare, among othor things, in the 4 th section, that "nether the importer, nor any person or persons chiming from or under him. shall hald any right or title whatever, to any negro, mutatto. or persin of colur, nor to the servire ar labor thereof, who waybe imported or brought within the United states, or terrifories ther of, in violation of this law; but the same shall remain subject to any regulations, not contravening the provisions of this act, which the Iegistatures of the several states or territories, at any time heneapter may make for disposing of any sucti negro, mulatto, of fresom of color." This section of the act loes not provide what shall be done with the persons thus imported, in case the legislatures of the several staies shall not thercafter have made any regulation for disposing of them: but the thisection of the same ant, after authorizing the srizures to be made by the armed vessels of the United States of any ressels with slaves on board, that may be found hovering on the coast, and giving a moiety of the forfriture to those who make the seizure, provides, that, in order to entitle them to such moiety, the oflicers, de. shall saffe keep every nespo, de. found on board, dec and shatl deliver every such negro, de. to such person or persons as shall be appointed by the respective states to reccive the same, or aid if no such person or persons stall lie appointed by the respective states, they shill deliter every such negro, isc. to the oversiers of the poor of the pott or place where such ship or vessel maty be brought or found, and shall immedutcly transmit to the Governor or chief magistrate of the state, an account of their proccedings, together with the number of such negroes, $\downarrow \mathrm{c}$. and a descriptive list of the same, that he may give direttons respecting such negroes," $\& \mathrm{c}$. It is true, that this directory provision is confined, in terms, to the officers and neen of the armed tessels of the Cuited states, making seizures of slave vessels on the coast, yut, as it forms a part of the same act with the section before
in part qunted, and contains the only direction in the act as to what ghall be done with negroes, de. seized, where the state shall not, by its laws, have pointed sut a person to receive them, it may be well considered as incorporated with the 4 th section, as supplying its defects, and giving the rule of action in the analogous case of a seizure on land, so far as the direction could be carried into effect. under the circumstances of such a case. For example, in the case of a seizurc made in the fidian country, where no comics were yet organized, and where there were no overseers of the poor; that part of the direction which orderg a delivery of the negroes to the overseers of the poor could not be carried into effect; liut that part of it which requires an immediate report to the Governor of the state, could have been carried into effect; and the direction ought to be respected, as an expression of the purpose of Congress, where the state had omitted to provide persons fine the function in question. Yet this construction of the act is not so obvious or necerssary as to attach guilt to any man who, having made a seizure by land, shall have omitted to alopt and act upon it. But the 4th section, taken by itself, and without any reference to the $\boldsymbol{i}$ th, in divesting the importer of all title to the negroes, and subjecting them to be disposed of according to the legal regulations of the state, would seem very naturally to advertize the semor that the Governor of the state, officially charged with the execution of laws of the state, ought to be forthwith apprized of tho seizure, and its circumstances, that he might give direction respect. ing such negroes.
The act of Congress, thus referring the disposal of slaves, illicitly imported, to the regulations which should thereafter be male by the Irgislatures of the several states, leads us to inquire, in the next place, whether the legislature of the state of Georgia, the theatre of the transactions under consideration, had made the regulations contemplated by the act of Congress.
The constitution of the state of Georgia, of the year 1:98, forbade the future importation of slaves from Africa, or any foreign place, after the first day of October following. There have been several prohibitory acts of the legislature of that state, both before and since thr act of Congress, under very severe penalties; some of them, enarted just before the occurrence under review, made the importation a penitentiary offence. But all these acts stopped at the infliction of the penalty, leaving the importer still in possession of the slaves. I can find no act of the legislature of Gicorgia, in the volumes furnished from the Department of State, which comnected itself with the act of Congress, of 1807, by providing the regulation therein contemplated, for the disjosal of the negroes, \&c. unlawfully introduced prior to the 19th December, 1817. On that day, an act was passed "for disposing of any such negro, mulatto, or yerson of color, who has been, or may hereafter be, imported or brought into this state in violation of an art of the United States, entitled • $\boldsymbol{\Lambda n}$ act to prohibit. the importation of slaves into any port or place within the juristiction of the United States, from and after the 1st day of Janary:
1808." The first section of this act authorizes the Governor of the state" "to appoint some fit and proper person to proceed to all such ports and places, within this state, as liave, or may have, or may hereafter hold, any such negroes, \&.c. as may have been, or hereafter may be geized or condemned, under the above recited act of Congress, and who may be subject to the control of this state, and the person so appointed shall have full power and authority to ask, demand, do. all such negroes, \&e. and to convey the same to Milledgeville, and place theon under the immediate control of the Executive of this state." The secomd section of the act authorizes the Governor to make sale of such negroes, dc. in such manner as he may think best calculated for the interest of the state. The third section authorizes the Society of Colonization, \&c. to anticipate the sale by a demand for the negroes, \&c. to be transported to Alrica, on any foreign place, on certain conditions, and refuires the Governor to aid in promoting their benevolent views in such manner as he may deem expedient.

This act of the legislature of Georgia has been objected to, in its application to this case, on several grounds:

First. That the negroes, in the case under consideration, had been imported before the passage of the act.

The answer is, that the act expressly emhraces previous importations.

Scoond. This feature of the act is objected to as ex post fucto.
Answer. If the act inflicted any new penalty on the importer, in a past rase, or divested a previously vested right, the objection would be valid; but it inflicts no new penalty, and, indeed, no penalty whatever. It divests no previously vested right, because the act of Congress, of 1807, had already declared that neither the importer, mor any one claiming under him, should hold any right or title whatsoever to negroes thes imported, nor to the service of them.

It is further objected, that the act of Georgia is inconsistent with the poliry of the act of Congress.

The first answer to the objection is, that Congress, by the act of 1807, left it to the legissatures of the states to make any regulations, for disposing of any such negro, dec. not contravening the provisions of the act of Congress. Now, the act of Congress makes no provision as to the state or condition; whether of freedom or slavery, in which such negro should be left. It stops with divesting the importer and those claiming under him of all title; but the mode of dispoaing of the negroes. dic. is left, and properly left, to the absolute control of the state into whose bosom they have been illicitly imported; for it must have been considered that the state, immediately affected by the importation, was most capable of judging in what way the mischief could be best counteracted. Nor do I perceive that the act of Georgia can be justly charged with being inconsistert with the policy, any more than with the express provisions. of the act of Congress. The policy of the latter act was to prohibit the future ienportation of slaves. The means which it adopts for this purpose are the infliction of heavy penalties on the importer, and stripping
him, and all claiming under him, of all title to the persons thius imported as slaves. If the state law was inconflict with either of these provisions, or instituted others calculated to encourage the importation, it would certainly be inconsistent both with the policy and provisions of the act of Congress. But the question as to the manner in which the negroes are to be disposed of, after they have been actually imported, in viohation of the latio of Congress, is a question of self-defence, of self preservation, which Congress submits entirely to hie discretion of the state affected liy it.
In farther reply to the objection, it may be asked, what could the state do, better than it has done? Should it have provided by law for exporting the persons thens introduced, out of the United States and the teritories thereot? Whither were they to be exported? There way then, no phace provided to which the state could send them. Should they have been turbed loose as fremen in the state? The impolicy of such a course is too palpable to find an adrocate in any one who is acquainted with the condition of the slave-hodding states. Should they have been re-delivered to the persons who had brought them in, under a bond to carry them ont of the United States, and out of the territopies thereol? The inellicacy of such a measure has becos set in a strous light by the several communications of General Mitcloll, which are now before ne, and I find myself mable to add a single iltustration of the imbecility of such a consese, in relation to the object of the act of Congress; 1 will barely suggest that, so far from aiding that object, it is among the happiest con'ses which could be devised to frustrate and defeat it.

I do not pereeive that the act of Georgia is fairly liable to cither of the objections which have been taken to it mor do $I$ perceive that the state could have adopted a better or a more liberal course, (in relation to the slaves themselyes,) than the alternative regulations proposed by his act. If the Colonization Society would undertake to carry them out of the conntry, to Africa or any foreign place, the negroes were to be delivered to them for that purpose, and the governor was reguired to aid in the execution of this benevolent purpose; if that society should not apply, it remained for the state to look to its own safety, by placing them in that condition in which they would be the least likely to do mischief; and the state has done so, according to their judgment, to which alone they are remitted by act of Congress, of 1807.
Before I leave the laws which bear on this subject it is proper to advert to an act of the legislature of Georgia, which was passed before Congress was authorized by the Constitution to prohibit the importation of slaves; and to which I advert, not because it has, in my opinion, any fair relation to the question, according to the date or the terms of the act, but because it has been assumed as an auxiliaty guide in directing the conduct of General Mitchell in this case. Lallude to the act of the legislature of Georgia, of the year 1796, entited " to organize the militia in the several new comities of this state;" by the thimed section of which it is enacted, "That the oflicers of the
anilitia ia the first brigate, in the firat divisinn, shall be authorized and rompered in ther whereme patod districts. to apprehend any
 shall hereafrer arrive in any port of this statr, from any of the West India or Bahama istamba and to kepp such mosters, negroes, or mulathess, in chose and salie costody, until they can be examined before the compation of Samanah, or any there justices of the prace for any of the comities lying in the said division, whare hereby authomed to canse such freman on fremen, shave or shaves, to be expernd at the expense of the importer of awner, which such importer or owner is herely made liable for, as well an fie the expense of apprownding or kepping such persom." I lam from the statements of (iemeral Mitchell which are before me, that the offecers of the first hrigathe on the first dis ision of the militia of Georgia, covered in local revdener the whole extent of the sea cont of Georgia: and that fhis lan, as its date and :erems sulliciontly indicate, was levelled at the brigands whom the romulsioms of St. Domingo had rendered obfrets of trmor, not only in that ishand, but in all the neighboring comentrics.

That this act hat momerefon with the act of Congress of 180 , is manifor from the following comsidrations, which inded are so palpathe that hery searely rature suggestion:
sat. That the art of Consires was certainly remarded by that body
 tion fue the pohbibitin of the whole stane tratre with which it was
 as it was at liberty to art on his gerat sulyert. hating mercho however. th the future co-ngeration of the ctates to forward the grand objer which they had in virw. Homer, the languase of the tilh section
 fion of it to such rerentations an dowlid be hereafter made by the semeal atates. The state at meder comsideration. was not therenfter math. but had paswed mene han ten yars before the era of the prohimmers 4 yhem under the comstitution of the Linted States.
ed. The act of Comstess of 180 . is an act uniorsal in its opera-

 t: be the ackulame of commensuate extent: whereas the act of
 continad in its trems that orcavion; to persons of color imperted or coming from the Wist Indics and the hahama istands, cexclusizely; and this art "xpired so completyly with the transiant events which produred it, that, 1 muderatand, it has been comsidered as obsolete for twonty yan

 sea const:and.

 first divinum.
sth. That the exportation was to be, not out of the United States, or the teritories therenf, bit an exportation simply out of the state of Georgia; which, however, was to be at the expense of the inporter or owner." which such importer or owner was thereby expressty made liable for, as well as for the expense of apprehending and keeping such persons."

I think it perfectly clear that this act has no bearing on the case.
The results of this view of the haw, are:
1st. That, by the act of Congress of 180-, the importation of slaves from Ahrica or ehewhere, into the United States, or any place within their jurisdiction, is prohibited under severe premiary penalties.
2d. That by the same act. the importer, and all chaming under him, are dechared to have mo manner of title to the negroes imponted, nor to their services.

3i. That, by the same act, it is haft to the legishatures of the states to regrlate the manner in which the negres thas inmorted ate to be disposed of.
4th That, by these two last provisions, it become the thy of every good citizen, who should be apprised of a breach of the law. to take prompt and immediate steps for the seizure of the nerposes and the fuformation of the Governar of the state, within whith the seizare should be made, that he might give difections fine disposing of the negroes.
5th. That the legisiature of Georgia had passed no art making the regulations contemplated by the law of Congerss of 180 - nitat the act of the 19th December, 1817 , befiere mentioned: to which art there is not, I conceive, any valid constitutional objection.

I come now to a much more dificult part of the subject-the facts of the case. The vast mass of docanents, composed of allidavits, sometimes on motice, sometimes ex part, of leffers, hearsiy statements, \&ce the inreconcilable contadiations amome the witnesses. the host of certificates and allidavits, recipmocally assailing and supporting the character of these witnesses, render it next to an impossibility for me to come to an accurate conclusim on all the tacts of the case. The great advantage of the trial of lacts by a jury of a vicinage. arises from their knowledge of the parties and theib witnessey, ant the opportunity they enjoy of obswring the comintenames and manners of those witnesses while delivering in their testimony, vitu vace 1 I have none of these advantages. I have no personal kimoledge either of the accuser or the accused, or any one of the witnesses, on the one side or on the other; and have nothing to guide me but contradictory statements, or the statements made by the parties themselves. Since, however, it in your wish that I shotid proced by the best leghts that I have, to express my opinion of the farts, I shall do so, wifh this comsolation molde this ungrateful duy. What if 1 shall, unwithugly, do injustice to the parties, or either if them, by the opinin I may form, the whole case will pass, dean, under your own revirw, and the eror can be comected before it shall have indicted a womial.

I will premise. heweme, hat copies of the evidence arginally wht on th the Weparments of state and of War, composed in patt
 have bern, munally. firmisherl to the partirs, with information that the revenere is not resulat: this was dome with the view to enable them to retabe it. mene fmmally, if they shoult think proper to do
 heres as they phased on the adwersary proof. Gemeal Mitchell de-

 of the dixuresim of the witherses and the impmsihility of command-



 Whid he cond hand driven from an miginal cors-reamination. 'These comsideration atpera' to me to be jus, and I believe it womb


 abs, as if all uhertions. in mand to form were waived as th the wiginal alladats, mentims as at purte thase omly which have been sime offered, un far an lam mahe the disprimination: and moting.
 mersharils trand; any wher legal ubjections whichsurike me, to any


 Home are these:
I. Haw the combuct af (ivomal Mitchell. thereghnut his transabtion. bern cmasimen with ow haws?
II. If incomsistent, is that inemsistemy to be faidy and reasomably impurel to an inmocent mistake of the lans, or to a willal and conscions volation of Hom?
III. If the latter, what is the extent and rharater of his offence?
ist. Wan low comerned as a parturer. in the orisinal puretase and intreduction of the Aricans, cither by the ahance of money to
 a propertion of the rapital to bedramed. hy the other part.
 ense by protecting the Nrifans at the agency and lavilitating ther tramber th Aabama, ow otherwise for a propmention of the merome on any oblur pat of the profits of the spernation?


 to the asency. he womb. for arrward, protect and sive them a pasopert to the Aabamat territure, or phate them in a situation to be sold?

3d. If there was no provions gencral understanding on the subjert. did he from improper motives, when the nespoes were actually brought to the agency, comnive at, and aid in a breach of our laws, by protecting those negroes, and siving them a passport to Alabaina?
I proceed now to the docoments, and I shall, first, pursent those which appear to sme to operate in support of the charge and then those which go to repel it, interncoming in the conse of these statements the remarks suggrested by the parties, as woll as those which occur to me as arising from them; and the objections to the documents themselves as prool'.

In March, 1810 , Giemeral Mitehell resisned the Fxecutive chair of: the state of Georgia, and acerptrd the appointment of Indian agent, at the Cruck asency, as the sucerssor of Colonel Hawkins, whereupon. Captain John S. 'Rhomas, (a relation of Gencoal Mitchellos by allinity.) and Captan Wem. S. Nitchell, he Cemeral's sem, (hoth , whom are witnesses in this tatnsaction.) went immediately to feside at the agoncy, and matertook to plant a smatl coop of enon fore the arency. Sce General Mitchells leter to the Secetary of War, of date zath July, 1820 .
'The sabay of the oflice of a (iovemon of Georgia, at the time that. Gombal Mitchell pesigned it, "as S 2 gion per ammm; that of Indian agent at the same time was equal to that sum. But the Govermer was elected for two years omly the agent was to hold during the pleasure af the President, that is, durime sood hehavior. The sadaries beins equal. the inferior homor of the ageney may be considered as failly commerbabaced by its superior temme: and I see mothing in this circumstance, in itsell considered. to awalen just suspicinin nsamst a chanacter previously fair. I make this remark to exclude any imference form this step th the prejudice of Gencoal Mitchell.

July. 1816, Major John Loving states a comiosation wheh ho had with General Mitchell, to this effect: Roving informed the agent. that he, Loving, was desirous of making a jurchase of Afrions at. Amelia lshand, of elewhere. within the Floridas, provided the same cond be done sately and legally: upon these points the agents opinion was requested; and he was further asked, whether he would allow Africans to be introdneed throngh the Indian conintry. The reply of the agent was, that he had been thinking of such purchase himself, and that loving might bring any Niricans, which he might. purchase, thongh the Indiam country with safety, to the arerney, achere he, the agent, reond proted them. loving having stated that he expected to make his mesidence at Fort llawkins, the agent sugsested that the negroes misht be remoned, if Lovines wished it. to the reseve, where he (Mitchell) thonght they might be disposed of to aftvantage. Ther conversation then beame mone minnte and detaided, and Loving was adrised what ronte to trave. 'The withess says he took a menomadmo of the romer, hot, that, having lost or mislaid it. he camot now tare all the prints by which he was to pass; he recollects, however. that lie was to start from Amelia Ishand, and pass


Thomess /. Wimmbearl. This is a statement. mut on wath; and the ciremonamere which it states are lecorsa!, merely. The du-
 has heren line sulgart of some temaths by him, which will be moticed
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 War, dand $\because$ Nount Viniare, smath Camolina, zoth Becember,













 ed thena, atad that he was mon upha bu:amwints. "but you (meaning
 great bunimes." The depen ont harn anked Groce what he had in



 grues: that iisoce smided. 'I he dipmont then lurdior ob-rwed that
an adventure of that nature was not only a violation of the laws, but also comected with great personal danger. To the latter, Groce replicd, either that he knew of a plan, or that he could suggest one. by which no danger was to he apprehended. The drponent thinks that the first was the expression made inse of by Groce; and that the conversation here ended, by the apparance of Mr. Martin, or some other persion.

This incident acquires siguificance and importance by the subsequent part of this case, and the prominent part which the same Jared E. Groce acted in it.

It is now proper, in the order of time- to introduce Capt. William Bowen, the principal actor in his transaction. This gentleman had ben for some time cmployed in the Litian department by Col. Hawkins, the inmediate prodecessor of Gemeral Nitchell, as we fearn by Generad Mitchells letter of the sjth December, 1817, to the Sece:tary of the Treasury. John S. Thomas sats that he was well acquainted "ith Bowem. What had been the duration and extent of Gemeral Mitchell's previous acquaintance with him, we are not informed by Gencral Mitcirell; lie does not sprak of him. however, as a stranger. Captain McNin, of the army, says: " How, or in what mamer, Mr. Bowen was connectet with the Creek ayent, Gencral Nitchell, it is not in my power to state. I have heard Mr. Bowen say that he had rectived, some time in 1810 , ten thousand dollars from Gencral Mitchell, for the purpose of puichasing goods for the Creek Indians. Goods, said to amant ho ten thonsamb dollars. were distributed tu the reek Indinve, at Fort Hurckins, sometime ia June or: July, 1817, by the said Bowen." Thus fir Capt. Mching and Gon. Mitchell, in his letter to the Secretary of War, of the seth of Joly, 182J, states, incidentally, that he liad sed Bunen in the month of July, 1817.
la that same month, (the same month ia which Lowing represents the consersation to liave pasied betwen Gencral Mitchell and hianself, and one month befone the conversation betwen Ganceand Breithapt, in South Carolime, Bowen says that he let fom Mawkins to vist his friemls in South Cumbina; thence he passed to Augusta, (the residence of Andrew E:rwing the senior parther in the firm of Groce © Co. which Groce is the same Jural K. Groce. just mentioned.) Thence Bowen passed to Savamiaho the residence of James Enwin, the som of Andrew, and another member of the tirm of E'win. Giroce, \& Co. Here he learis, casualiy. that great sprobations were to be made at Amelia Istand, in sugar and coflee. Having been fure ished with means, chiefly by the credit of dames Fewin, to cmbark ion those speculations. he procecels to that ishand. Mere he finmis that the articlesolsugar and coffee were higher than he expeted, and he determines to return to the main. Biy ucciten, howerer. he is laft by the vessel in which he had intended to embark, and while he remains
 a cargo of hegrom in one of Com. Aury's privaters) he is indured to chage the subject ofhis specutatime and wists in the pmechase of that cargon negreses the funds which he mad cariad ore to buy sugar
and coftere The negres are deliverel to him at a place agreed on, being about one humbed in mumber. He selects about sixty of the mist minie and able mes, (havints procined a plare of lodering for the rechlure and artsum for the westwad. following a small holian tail, fathig fom the direttimo if St. Augusther to Flint river, which, acending to the map, crosses that river at Bamard's. He says that he berame ahamm the the security of his property, and had determines to seltie in West flomida, With this vies he proceds for fil. tem tays theough the womb and whaternes, and strikes Flint river
 roldgin liy the intelligene of the seminde wat: he finds himsiff,
 10 have hen anticipated in tmadheg himgh th wilderness with sixts Aramas. Sh shot, by the goint operation of atarm and lanine, he is indurd th cary thengroes to the Coek agenry, whe he arrives ahint the begiming of Decmber, having travellef, by chance, wer the exact route which Loving states Gemeral Mitchell to have infdiated to thing se far as 1 ean collect from comparative deseriptions.

In the fact, that his original destination was West Flonida, and Hat the remlution to cary them to the ageney waso for the first time, taken at Flimt Bivero ly the abom and famine which pressed him at that print, Bowen is cmitradicted by one of the men hired to assist in the tembal of the nemeres.

This withess states, in his alldavit, that, at he house of Charles Lome in East Flombla or chase fin the acighbomond of it, he fomme the negroes in prosession of Willian Bonco and James Longe that he was empheved by homs hissist in cariving the mernes to the aracy: that they Bowene Lomg. and himself, with the neproes, erossed the St. Marys. River at a ford called the phe log fond, and proceded with the negross to the rigency, where they depasited the negroes, at the lower end of (am. Mitchells feht, and where tiey buit honses for the nespocs, and put then to work; a step certainly not defiecm in bohluese mi the presumpion that all this was dunc, without aty metoms understanting with General Miteliell.

It semin that Gen. Whechell was not at the ageney, at the precise time of the ariad of these negrom, which is fixed by the witnesses at the first week in Decmber. Biat he admits that lie amped at the asury on the sth of that montl, when he was infomed of the atrial of the Africms: that he sumtanglot at the agency, and saw and comsersed with Bow mon the subject. What was the nature of this emberation, themighon. it will be mine sate to leam from the acts of the partios than fom their dechations. It was, eetainty, not of a mathe to excte any new alarm in the mind of Bowen, susceptible as fue secms to have born of that emotion, no to deter him from a rimbition of the offence. For having giten to Long five of the negros as umand fir his assistance in carsing the gans to that phare. he sets mit to Amelia dand for the purpose of bringing on thersidmen his purghe to the yormen a step which it is not in the mature of things to believe that he wobld have taken, unless he, at least, undernad it to be anthmand by Gen. Mitchell.

Bowen's statement in his affidavit is calculated to make the impression that the negroes, when purchased, were not delivered to him at Amelia island, but somewhere on the Main, and that when he carried off the sixty before mentioned, the residue were left on the Main. It seems, however, that they were in fact left on Amelia island, and to Amelia Island he proceeded, taking with him, as assistants, the same John Oliphant already mentioned, and an Indian by the name of Tobler. 'They left Amelia island with the last parcel of negroes, fortytwo in number, and carried them up to St. Mary's river in a boat, to Drummond's Landing, in East Florida, where Bowen sold four of the negroes to Captain Drummond.

From this point it is understood that Bowen sent on the negroes by 'l'obler and Oliphant, mot intending himself, to accompany them any farther, but to pass through the interior of Georgia, where he had business, and then to "proceed by way of Milledgeville and Fort Hawkins, to the agency, and meet the whole ot the negroes." Befure parting, however, with 'Tobler and his convoy, he addresses the forlowing letter to Creneral Mitchell, which was sent by 'lobler.

## Drummonios Biuff,

25th Uecember, 1817.
I have got the balance of the stock that I had left on Amelia, say forty-two, and am just starting them under the care of 'robler, I believe 1 am narrowly watched, but think I have evaded discovery as yet. The risk of getting this lot through, I believe to be more, considerably more, than the first. A party was made up for the purpose of Gollowing me and lomg, three days after we left St. Mary's river. Mr. Clark, the collector, was at his mills, and some person lodged information that they were gone up the river and had crossed; he offered half to the inhabitants in that neighborhood, to detect us. Since the people have learnt that they would not have gest any part for the detection of those last sent up, I am informed, that they are displeased at the collector, for the imposition that he endeavored to practice on them, in offering what he could mot perform.

A detachment.of soldiers has, for a month past, been stationed near Camp l'inkney, to grard the river and prevent smugrsling.

On the $2 s d$ instant the United States took possession of Mmelia island. I had lelt there on the 21st instant; but am informed they seized all the Africans they could find. There was ateango of one hundred and sixty, when I left the island, which have been run off to the main the night before the United States troops landed.

I camot cannot say how the other prize property fared.
I go to Milledgeville by Sarannah, and wish you to keep the ne. groes employed, until I can come out to the agency.

I have directed Tobler to take charge ol the horses and packs, \&e. and to put the horses out in the Cane Siwamp and attend to them.

The channel through which Africans could be had, being obstructed, they will rise considerably, notwithstanding excellent bargains
could le had in the purchase of those that were run off to the Main from Amelia.

I would make another purchase, but my other business is too much neglected, to take the necessary time to accomplish the security of them.

Captain Thomas could have done well, if he had have come with me. Prime fellows were offered at Amelia $\$ 250$; ordinary 175 to 200.

> 1 am, respectfutly,
> Your obedient servant, WILLIAM BOWEN.

Gencral D. B. Mitcheid, Creek Agency.

Besides this Ietter, Tobler was furnished with a bill of sale, purporting to have been execited on the th of December, 1817, at Camden chanty, in the state of Gempgia, by one William Lane, by which, for the consideration of Si2,60日, Lame sold to Tobler an Indian of the Creek nation, firty-two megroes, whose manes are stated, and purporting to have been attested by Wm. Drummond and John Smyth. Tobler was also instructed to claim the negroes as his own.

Shortly after 'lobler and his party had sparated from Bowen, they were met by two men, whose aftidavits have been taken, and are in substance as follows:

Ludowick Ashiey states, that, about the 24th December, 1817, he sec ont from his residence for the low country, accompanied by Jason Brinson; that on their way, about twelve miles this side of 'Traders' Hill, (the allidavit being taken'in Telfair comme) on St. Mary's river, on what is called Blackshear's road, on the 26 th day of the same month, they met a white man, by the mame of Oliphant, and a Creek Indian. called Tobler, who had with them upwards of forty negroes, which Tobler said belonged to him; that they proceeded on to the honse of William Drummond, in East Florida, where they saw Bowen, and having informed lim that the negrocs were taking a very hazardous route, as thry would probably falf in with General. Glasscock's army, upon which Bowen offered to give the witness his cloice of the negroes to go and assist in conducting them to Timothy Barnard's, or to the neightorhood of the agency. The witness observed, that he should not like to be caught there, with the negroes, by General Mitchell; to which Bowen replied, that he believed General Mitchell was liis friend, and, that if the negroes were left or set down in the back part of the agent's field, it should entitle the witness to the negro before mentioned.

Jason Brinson. The allidavit of this witness supports that of Ashley, in every material point. The final answer that he imputes to Bowen, for the purpose of overcoming Ashley's apprehensions of General Mitchell, is, that General Mitchell was his (Bowen's) friend. It is proper here to notice, that Bowen has acknowledged the genu-
ineness of the letter from Drummond's Bluff, and the manner of his acknowledgment is worthy of notice. The original letter having been lost by Tobler, came into the hands of Governor Clark, through the agency of one William Moome. On the 30th October, Governor Clark presented this letter to $3 \mathbf{o w e n}$, in the presence of the three persons named in the margin,* and demanded to know of him, whether the letter was his lamiwriting, and bore his signature? To which Bowen replicd, "It is useless for me to deny it, as my handwriting is so well known;" which I understood to mean, "I would deny it, if I did not know that my handwriting could be so easily proved; but, since it can, it is useless for me to deny it." Governor Clark, however, considering the answer as evasive, pressed him by another interrogatory. " Are we to understand that you acknowledge this letter to be your's?" to which Bowen answered in the allirmative.

Bowen, in his aflidavit, adinits the correctness of the statement of his answers, as given by the above named witnesses. He states, also, that he was informed, at the time, that the object of the inquiry was not to injure him, but to ascertain General Mitchell's connexion in the affair; yet, anxinus as he has since shown himself to repel the charge from General Mitchell, no solution of this incident then occurred to him, to remove from that gentleman the suspicion which the letter was so well calculated to excite. Perceiving the bearing of the Ietter on Gencral Mitchell, the confession of its genuinencss is wrung from him with manifest reluctance, and he leaves it, for the present, to explain itself. The plausibility of the explanation which he afterwards offered, will be considered in its proper place.

Colonel Morgan's affilavit. Before the arrival of the second parcel of negroes at the agency, and about the 20th Dccember, 1817, Colonel Gideon Morgan, juns of the state of Teniessee, boing on his return home from Georgia, called at the agency at the request of Andrew Erwin, of Augusta, for the purpose of inquiring, it secms, if there were any negroes there, in which Mr. Erwin was interested, the said Andrew having been wholly igmorant of the previous operations of Bowen and his son James; and if such should be found to be the fact, for the further purpose of removing the negrocs to a place of more safety, or making such other disposition of them as he (Erwin, I presume, thought most advantageous for the brnefit of the purchasers. (Colonel Morgan's dejosition is stated wholly in the first person; he never mentions himself in the third person; hence, I presume, that by the relative $h e$, in this sentence, Mr. Erwin is meant.) As Colonel Morgan's authority to act in the case, he was furnished by Mr. Erwin with a letter, in the name of Erwin, Gruce \& Co. addressed to Gencral Mitchell, which is annexed to Colonel Morgan's affidavit, and in which not a word is said of the negrees, or any intelligibte allusion made to them. Col. Morgan is there represented as "a gentleman of first rate integrity, who visits Fort llawkins and the Alabama territory on business." "Should he (says the letter,)

[^1]have occasion for funds, or any other services in your power, you will confer a singular favor on me by rendering him any service in your power. We will accept his drafts, at any sight, for any sum he may think praper to draw on us for." Col. Morgan, in passing Fort Hawkins, (which is understood as being about sixty miles from the-agen:y.) fell in with Grneral Gaines, from whom he received a letter of introduction to General Mitchell, conched in the following terms: "This will be handed to you by Colonel Gideon Morgan, who is desirons to travel the nearest and best route to the Cherokee nation. He has applifd to me for a passport; instead of which, knowing him to be a man of sterling worth, I take the liberty to introduce him to you, and reguest you will be pleased to view him as my friend. Should he request a formal passport, I beg you will be pleased to give him one."

The character of Colonel Morgan is unimpeached. The hostility of (iencral Gaines to these speculations mects us at every step. Yet here are the two letters which Colonel Morgan bore to the agency; that of Mr. Erwin's, representing him as proceeding to Alabama territory on business; and that of Gen. Gaines, which represents him as requiring a guide and passport to travel by the nearest and best route to the Cherokee nation. Colonel Morgan, in his allidavit, represents himself as going home from Georgia to Tennessee, and as having called at the agency, at the request of Mr. Erwin, on the business before mentioned. That General Gaines tnok from Colonel Morgan himself his intended destination, is unguestionable; that his letter is merely surl a one as the standing of Colonel Morgan justified, appears equally undeniatole, and that General Gaines, so far from countenancing, counteracted this transaction in every stage of it, is so far from being denied by Gencral Mitchell, that he seems to have been involved in a perpelual and rather irritated defence of himself against the charges of General Gaines, because of the suggestions of the latter gentlemen that he appeared either to be participating or conniving at this breach of our laws. 1 am at a loss, therefore, to apprechend the fairness of the following passage in a letter from General Nitchell to the Secretary of War, under date of the Sd of February, 1818: "The truth, however. is, that so far from those negrocs having been brought here by speculators, they were claimed by gentlemen of respectability, some of rehom came to me with letters of introduction froin the Gencral himself, couched in the strongest terms of friendship." The documents before me shew mo letters of introduction from General Gaines to the agent, save only in the single case of Colonel Morgan; and it does not appear that this gentlemen claimed the negroes as his own.

That Colonel Morgan shewed the letter of Andrew Erwin in the name of Erwin, Groce A Co. to General Mitchell, cannot be doubted; hecause it constituted (according to appearances at least) the only anthority which Colonel Morgan had to interfere with the negroes. Yot it is admitted by General Mitchell that he was willing to have delivered the negroes to Colonel Morgan: Coltnel Morgan verifies
the same fact; and it is very clear that neither bond or security to carry them out of the United States, were contemplated to be required of Colonel Morgan as the condition of their delivery. He finally declined, however, to have any thing to do with them; and why he did so is very conceivable.

The engagement in the letter of Erwin, Groce \& Co. that they would pay, at any sight, the drafts of Colonel Morgan in favor of General Mitchell, to any amount, is certainly calculated to suggest inquiries which it would not be casy to answer satisfactorily. In a case, however, so pregnant with remark, it would be a work equally endless and useless to comment on every topic that presents itself.

The fact of the introduction of such a number of native Africans at the agency, the mysterious and clandestine manner in which they had been introduced, and the circumstance of their being fed and-supported at the expense of the agent, and protected by his authority, were calculated to excite suspicion and inquiry, and did excite them. It became necessary for General Mitchell to take some step to remove these suspicions, and to vindicate to the government his official purity; and both he and his assistants, Captain Thomas and Captain Mitchell, were soon heard to say that he had reported the negroes to the government, with the view of taking their orders in the case. This report was a letter, written by General Mitchell to the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States, of which an extract has been furnished by General Mitchell. It bears date on the 25 th December, 1817, the same day on which Bowen wrote his letter from Drummond's Bluff to General Mitchell, and consequently before the arrival of the second parcel of negroes at the agency. In this letter General Mitchell says: "A certain Capt. Bowen, who had been for some time employed by Col. Hawkins in the Indian department, is now engaged with some mercantile houses in Augusta and Savannah, and, as their agent, has purchased; some where on the St. Mary's, a smale parcel of African negroes, ahd during my absence carried them to tho neighborhood of the agency, on their way to the Alabama territory." After commenting upon the imperfection of the laws in restraint of the evil, he proceeds to say: "I told Captain Bowen I suspected him of being the importer, upon wohich he immediately produced a bill of sale for them, duly executed in Camden county, and solemnly affirmed that the bill of sale was made and executed by the agent and part owner of a privateer, and were actually delivered to him in that part of Georgia. Under these circumstances, I felt I had no other course but to order him to carry them out of the United States; and, when I reflected upon the facility with which such an order could be evaded, by just carrying them over the Spanish line, and re-introducing them; and believing, too, that the negroes were actually intended for the use of the parties interested, who, I have no doubt, are large land-holders on the Alabama, by purchase at the recent sales, and not for sale, I declined detaining them." After returning to the insufficiency of the laws, he proceeds, "My knowledge of the fact that the government had determined to suppress the present procecelings at Amelia

Island, and thereby prevent the recurrence of the oprence, had some weight with me in the present case. If, in your opinion, the subject. matter of this letter, or any part of it. be of sulficient importance to be presented for the comsideration of the President, or Secretary of War, you may so nes it, in which case I shall hope for a communication of the opinion that may be formed of the facts stated, and for such instruction as may be thought proper for the goverment of my conduct;" that is, for the government of his conduct in any future case that might occur; fir, as to the present case, the whole letter represents it as one which had been alrealy disposed of. It could never, I think, be inferred, from the structure of this letere that the negroes were yet at the agency. (ieneral Mitchell does mot state what he intended to do. but what he had actually done. He says, indeed, that, on his arrival at the ageme. which was soon after the arrival of Capt. Bonem and the nesreses. he immediately ordered that they should not be removed. wntil he had time to look into the sulject; an order, by the by, which was wholly umuecessary, il the General's wituess, Bowen, is to be believed. The Gemeral did than look into the subfect: ler states his reflections and recollections of the laws of Georgia and of the United States, the result of which was, to use his own words. "I felt that I had mo other course but to order him to carry them out of the United States." 'Then, after stating some other considerations, he winds up the sentence by saying, "I declined detainins them." Who could infer from this statement that the negroes were yot detained at the agency, and that bowen, so far from having gone to carry them out of the United States, had, to General Mitchell's knowledge, while he was writing this letter, gone back to the sea board for another parcel to add to them? Yet such are the facts, and 1 an sorry to be obliged to add, that this letter, from its apparent want of ingenuousness, is calculateri mather to thicken than to dispel the suspicims that antecedent facts had unavoidably excited.

This letter proves that Gencral Mitchell, at the time of writing it, knew,
lst. That those africuns had been unlawfully brought into the Cinited States, and that Bowen's tale of the purchase in Camden county from the owner of a privateer who had brought them in, ceen if true, would mot have altered the case. IIe must, consequentIy, have known that, mider the act of Congress of 1807. neither Bowen nor those for whom he acted, mor any person claiming under them, could have any right or title whatever to those negroes, or to their services.

2d. That certain mercantile houses in Savannah and Augusta were interested in them; and if Bowen had not previonsly informed him, the letter from Eirwin, Groce \& Cob. by Col. Morgan, and the visit of that gentleman to the agency, conld not have left him in ignorance of the fact, that the honse of Erwing, Groce \&o Co. was one at least of those inouses.

Bd. He knew that these Africans were intended for Alabama, and in be setted on the lands of thase gentemen in that territory.

4th. General Mitchell must have known that, to carry them to Alabama, was as clear a violation of the act of Congress, as to carry them into any one of the United States; for Gencral Mitchell is a man, not only of uncommon intelligence and acuteness, but, as it appears by these documents, a lawyer by profession; and his talents. which are manifest, leave no doult that he was a lawyer of distinction.
5th. That he had come to the conclusion to deliver them up to Bowen to be carried out of the United States, and this without any such bond as was afterwards required.
6th. That, under the exporting act of Georgia, of 1796, he was not the person authorized to take the bond and act in the case, for this is his account of the mode of proceeding under that law: the negroes are reported to the Executive of the state, with a view to their being sent out of the United States; to do this, the Executive orders them delivered to some one who will give bond, with security, for their exportation."
Not long after the date of this letter, and in the early part of January, 1818, Tobler and Oliphant arrived, with the second parcel of the Africans, and on the 28th of that month, General Mitchell delivered forty-seven of the negroes to Williand Bowen and Jared E. Groce, with the following passport:
"Cbeer Agency, 28th Jamuary, 1818.
"Captain William Bowen having brought to the Creck agency, some time since, forty yeven African negroes, which I had detained until time was afforded to inquire into the circomstances of their transportation through the Creek nation, and the said Bowen having now produced to me a bill of sale for the said negroes, duly executed in Camden County, Georgia, and asserting that the said negroes were purchased in that part of Georgia, and intended for settlement in the slabamaterritory, and not for sale; and, in order to remove all difficulty or cause of further detention, has, this day, entered into bond, with Jared E. Groce, as his security, binding themselves to carry the said negroes out of the states.
"I have, therefore, caused the said forty-seven negroes to be deliyered to the said William Bowen and the said Jared E. Groce, for the purpose aforesaid," and by these presents, grant them, or either of them, permission to proceed through such parts of the Creek nation as may be necessary; and which they may find convenient, in conveying the said negroes out of the United States.
"D. B. MTTCHELL, A. I. a:"
The bond is not among the documents, but it is a nullity, because General Mitchell had no authority, nor even the color of authority, to take such a bond; and even if it had been a valid bond, the act of taking the negrocs "out of the United States" into the Alabama territory would have been no breach of its condition, it the terms of the hond comport with those of the passport. Indeed, I consider the
passport, according to the fair and candid construction of its recital, to authorize, and to have been intended to anthorize, a removal of the negroes to the sluhama territory. It is proper here to state, that this step was not taken, hy General Mitchell, on a vague recollection of the laws which related to the case; for, in his letter to Grovernor Rabun, of 13 th February, 1818, he says that, previous to doing $i t$, he examined the act of Congress and the laws of Georgia with some attention. The slightest attention to which, 1 should have supposed, would have convinced a man of ordinary mind that the whole proeceding was irregular and iliegal.

It is worthy of yomark, that forty-seven of the Africans, only, were delivered to Bowen and Groce, or rather to Groce, (for Bowen did not proceed with thein towards the Alabama,) leaving still at the agency the forty two brought by Tobler. If to this latter number you add the five which had been delivered to Long, and carried away by him, (as before stated,) you will pereeive that just one hall of the whole number that had been brought to the agency were now carried away by Groce. Connect this fact with another's stated by Andrew Erwin, on his cross examination, that when his son advanced to Bowen the funds which were invested in the purchase of those Africans, "he has been informed by Janes Erwin, that he had received from Bowen, individuat notes for atiout haif the amount," and you have the interest of Erwin, Groce, $\& C 0$. fixed at one half the number of the Africans, thus coinciding with the number taken away by Groce. Whose those individual notes reere, we are not informed; James Erwin says nothing about them, and Andrew says no more than 1 have quoted.

It is here proper to call your attention to other documents which bear on the question of General Mitchell's interest in thase negroes.

John Lamberts affidavit. This witness states that he was in the employment of Gencral Mitchell, at the agency, as a gardener, from March, 1817, to the latter part of December, of the same year; he states the arrival of the Africans; he does not know who owned them; but he fed them with General Mitchell's provisions, by order of Captain Mitchell (the son.) He further states, that he understood from Gincral Mitchell, Captain Mitchell, Doctor Long, William Bowen, and others, that there was a division made of said negroes among them, and a part of which was said to be General Mitchell's, which part was distinguished from the others by a piece of yellow feret or tape tied in their hair, and were sent to one Michael Elliot's, about two miles from the agency, and atterwards returned back to the agency and there remained whon the deponent left the place.

Jolin Oliphant's affiduvit. 'This is the same witness and the same aflidarit before alverted to in rolation to another point. He states, that General Mitchell and Captain Bowen frequently came to see the negroes, after the second drove arrived. That some time after, the witness discovered that thirty or thirty-five of said negroes had a red ftannel string tied around their wrists, which, the witness understood, was to distinguish General Mitchell's from Mr. Bowen's;
that, some time after this, a gentleman, they called Mr. Groce, came and took away those that had not the red string on; these remained and were kept at work on Gencral Mitchell's plantation until they were taken by M•(Queen M•lntosh, de.

You will observe, that these witnesses cannot be referring to the same designation. The occurvence to which Lambert refers, must have taken place before the arrival of the second parcel of negroes; that of Oliphant alterwards: besides, the color of the badge, and the mode of wearing it, are different.

Oliphant further states, " that Mr. Bowen paid him for ail his services in bringing the negroes to the agency, and that General Mitchell paid him for his attention in taking care of them and issuing to them their provisions."

Henry Walker. This is an extract of a letter from Mr. Walker to Governor Clark, and consequently is not such evidence as would be received in a court of law.

I do not observe that this paper has been in the hands of General Mitchell, and to crown all its imperfections, the facts which it professes to state, are heresay merely. The character of the writer however, is sustained by gentlemeu high in ollice; and as the document will necessarily pass under your eyes, it is within the sphere of the duty, which I understand to be assigned to me, to notice it in this report, intended only fir your use.
The letter is dated the 7 th Junc, 1820; and the writer states, that le understands Gemeral Mitcholl intends, or has already taken the testimony of certain persons in the Creek nation, to exculpate himself from the charge which is now exhibited against him, and in order that Governor Clarke might have an opportunity of availing himself of evidence in the same place, he thinks proper to make the communication. He then states as follows:
"Whilst I was at the late talk on the Chatowhochic, I held a conversation with Mr. Doyle, marshal of the mation, on the subject of the Atrican business, in which he informed me, iat the accusation against Genceal Mitchell was, to his knowledge. truc, and that the money which the Creoks ought to have received through the agent, Was paid by the agent fir the Africans."
Gem. M'Intosil and Doyle have both informed me, that the agent solicited them to buy the negroes whilst they were stationed at the agency; that they refused to do it, unless he would make titles; he said he would not do it himself but that Captain Bowen woulld."

M'Qucen M'Intosh, the surveyor of the district of Brunswick and port of Darien, in Georgia, having been informed that these Africans were at the agency, proceded to that place witio a view of seizing them. He arrived four days after Groce had set out with his gang, pursued and overtook them about trocuty miles to the westrourd of fort Mitchell, on the road to the Alabma terrilor:. Groce chaimed the negroes as his, and was thereupon made a prisomer by M'Intosh.

On his way back to the agency, he was deserted by a man of the name of Langham, whe had promised to assist hain as an escort.
but who perfidinusly hurried on to the agency for the purpose of giring notice of M'hitusle's approarh and intention, and enabling those who had charge of the negroes there, to put them out of the way. Siveral days previous to M'Intosh's arrival, Captain Melvin, of the fourth infantry, states, that he had observed fifteen of the Africans (the choicest nf those brought to the agency by Bowen) building huts and clearing lands at the agency, the plantation of General Mitchell; on the night of M'Intush's return to the agency, these fifteen were removed and secerted in the woods by William B. Mitchell, the assistant agent: A'Intosh states, that Captain Melvin accompanied him to the negro houses of General Mitchell, about one mile and a half from the residence of the agent. where they found fifteen Africans, which, from the severity of the cold, were sufferd by him to remain in those honses; that on their ecturn to the agency, he informed Capt. Mitchell of the seizure of the fiftren Africans, who repteed, that it was rerlf; Capt. Mitchell at that time gave no other information of any other Africans. (t) their return to the negro houses next morning, for thre Tifteen Africans, who lad been seized the evening before, thiry received information froin the negroes, that General Mitchell's overseer had the night before supplied a great many Africans with provisions, and taken them into the woods; that Captain Melvin and hinself fill umon their trail and found about fifteen in the rooods, who tried to make their escape, but were apprehended, and the whole thirty were brought to the agency; Captain Mitchell then delivered up plesm small africans (children I presume) fromi the huts in the yard. Captain Mitchell, also, followed M'lutosh after he had proceeded about a mile and a half from the agency, on his retirn to Georgia, stating that he had left two or three more of the Africans behind, and that if he would send back for them they should be delivered, which M'Intosh declined.

M'Intosh further states, that the whole number of Africans seized at the agency was forty-one, insteal of fifteen, the number reported to him by Col. Brearly, which last was the number given to the Colonel by the agrot. That Col. B. also informed lime that General Mit hell clained a portion of the Aricans that liad been left at the agency. The negrocs thus seized by M'Quen M'Intosh were caried and delivered to the collector of the port of Darici; and some proceedings seem to have been had against them, in the courts at Savannal; of what kind I cannot state, no copy of the record having been furnished me.

Willium .Moore, affidarit and letters. If this witness is to be believed, there is anend to this question; the griil of General Mitchell is placed beyond dimbt. 'This man was a public blacksimith at the agency, and seems to have berin (at least it may be believed) of some trist and comfidence there. He states, that, having bren refuested by Captain Mitrhell, the son, to search the General's desk for some letters from Arbuthot, which the General was anxions to bring to the city of Wabhingtom. he fond in his search two letters from Bowen to General Mitclell, of which, under a sense of public duty, be made
copie - and handed them to Governor Ciarke; he swears that the originals were in the hand writing of Wm. Bowen, and, he believes, are now in the possesion of General Mitchell, if not destroyed by him or some other person at his request. These letters are as fullows:

## Milledgeville, 7 th March, 1818 .

Mr. Groce arrived here last night on his way to tho Alabama, and laves this morning by the uppre route. I am hapy to state that Mr G. has succeeded in bridling his tongue in sume measure; he appears sensible of the inportance of being less communicative to the inquisitive. He has averred to me last night, by many protestations, that he never will, in any court, divalge any thing to the prejudice of any party, and further states that he would go to all lengths-to senve any of the party concerned, and requested me to name to you lis wish that you would signify your belief that he was not concerned in the introduction. From the very eccentric cliaracter of Mr. G. it would probably be well to indulge him in this particular. as I fear nothing but from his apparent anxiety to convince the public, by explanations, of his innocence, a letter from you, stating to him your belief that he was merely a bonds manfor the removal of the _would satisfy him. He states that if the party will justify lim, he will not stop at any thing [iin] the justification of the others. Mr. Andrew or James Erwin will be liere this day, and I can be able to hear on what footing the affair stands in Savannah. I cannot understand Mr. G's explamations any more than if he was speaking Congo; however, I have from Mr. G. that he has employed Mr. W. S. Bullock, for an advocate. Mr. G. presented himself to the district attoriey, and has been released with a certificate that libel has been lodged against him for the illegal introduction of slaves.

1 learnt yesterday, that the governor has received a letter from the district attorney, informing him that the negroes would be libelled on the part of the United States. It seems that the Governor has written to the collector at Darien, wishing to know his opinion of the propriety of having the ${ }^{* * *}$ libelled in behalf of the state of Georgia, or letting them be libelled by M'Intosh, in brhalf of the United States; the collector inforined, that it was his oppinion that the best method for the present, was to libel in behalf of the United States; prosecute Mr. G. and force him into explamation of other discoveries. This I have mentioned to Mr. G. who swears that a court shall never draw from him any thing detrimental to the character or interest of any one whatever.
If find that it will be most prudent to humor the capricious notions of that consummate fool, to secure his, or rather prove his silence on the affairs.

I have never dreaded any thing but his imprudence; however, hope he will now stick to what he has promised.

I am, very respectfully, Your obedient servant, WILLIAM BOWEN.

Gencral D. B. Mitcuril. Creek . derncy.

Mhlemenvilife, Side March, 1818.
I wrote you last mail respecting the bills of sale, and for your opinion of the best probable means of conducting the aftair. I have procrastinated my departure to Savanal, to bear from you. It appars to me best. that the last parecl should be clamed by me, from a right of purchase from Tobler: his right could be made from a purchase in Camden county, or trom East Floridag as the case may be thought most sate: in the cave of Tobleres claim, if he does not claim from a purchase in (anden, they camot prove that they ener were in the sate of dempia.

Captain Thomas speaks of going to Savamal with me, and if I can gre the whoir on board, I can make some apparent arrangement with him for the twenty ecight of yours: I shmold be very glad to hear from you as som as possible, and if mo immediate chance offers, perhaps Brady romb rome in agan and bear your adwire. Several of the attorneys who have attended court here, have oxpressed their npinions, that the property combd net be lost, nor be kept from me. Vory little in said mi the matter at all, and it seems to have died away since yon publication.

> I remain. with much regard, lour most obedient servant. BowEN. WILLAMM BOWEN.

## Gencral D. B. Mitcuenid, Creck digency.

It is ouly neressary to adll to these letters at present, that the character of Bome is supported by a host of witnesses, "some of whom hold the most respectable ofices in the state, and among the rest, by Governor Clark himself; while, on the wher hand, he is represented as among the hasest of chanacters, and affidavits have been taken to support this representation, which will be hereafter referred to.

Thus far the circumstances which appear to me as going to support the accusation.

I turn now to the evidence in defenes: and as explanatory of it, will give an epitome of Gemeal Mitchell's several communications on the suliject. for the purpose of shewing what he admits, and what he denies; and, conserpuenty, the points $t$ which his evidence is ap-
plied. As I procoed with this analysis, I propose, in order to preyent the necessity of returning to these communications again, to suggest any circunstances which strike me, as inconsistent with the claim of entire innocence on the part of the agent.
I have already presented the substance of the agent's communication of the 25th December, 1817, and noted my objections to it.
The next communication of the agent is, a letter to the Secretary of War, under date from the Creek Agency, the 3d Fobruary, 1818; and, consequently, written before M-Intosh's seizure of the negroes. but after the parcel under Groce liad left the agency. In this letter the agent eaters into a delence, against several accusations which had been lodged against him by General Gaines with the Governor of Georgia, and which had been published in the Milledgeville Gazette, of the 27 th January, 1818. Among others, he takes up the sulbject of the Africans, thus:-"As to the African negroes of which the General speaks, l liave already communicated the facts in relation to them, to the Sccretary of the Treasury."
This alludes to the letter of the 25 th December, on which I have already commented, and which I think it manifest, did not contain a communication of the facts. Ainong other things, the letter was written before the arrival of the second parcel of negroes, and this being a new fact, having occurred since the date of that letter, but before the date of this which we are now examining, ought to have found a place in this; let us sec if it does: The letter proceeds "and in addition to that communication have now only to add, that after I had made that communication, and on the most muture reflection and consideration of the act of Congress and the lawo of Georgia, I deemed it best to require the party claiming the negroes, before I permilted their removal, to give boud and security, to carry then oit of the $U$. states; bectuse, that is the course pursued under the law of Georgin, and appearel to the to be the proper conrsc."

There is nothing here, you perceive, of the parcel which had arrived, after the communication to the Sccretary of the Treasury.
There is nothing said of the forty odd negroes which yet remained; but the statement is calculated (like that to the Secretary of the Treasury) to convey the idea, that all the negroes which had arrived at the agency, at the time of its date, had been sent off out of the United States, and the sequel of the statement tends to confirm this idea. The letter proceeds, "the scarcity of provision at this place, made their detention extremely inconvenient; and hence, I became anxious for their removal, otherwise I should have delayed acting in the case, until I had received the orders of goverument. The negroes having been here, however, and my taking no care to explain their situation to every one I saw, Ieft room for conjecture; and the General sees in this case an organized system of opposition to himself, and consequently to the public service. The truth, however, is, that so far from these negroes having been brought here by speculators, they were claimed by gentlemen of respectability, some of whom came to me with letters of introduction from the General hiniself;
couched in the strongest terms of friendship. I do mot know that the (ieneral was apprized of the object for which these gentlemen visited the agenry; but. I mention the fart for two reasons; first, to shew that the (ieneral is entirely in eroor', when he asserts, that mesoes have been recently carried to the agency by speculators, by whom a spirit of opposition is excited to his measures, injurious to the publiceservice; and second. hecouse. it is my desire that you shonld knowe coery material fact which takes place here, and the fact in this case really is, that the negroes it apprars are the property of some respretable gentlenen, purchased by an agent for their use, and not one of them for sale: and reere intembel for settlement on the ilabamu, but will nure, I am confident, be carried beyond the limits of the V'uited States."
'rhis is the whole of the agent's letter of 3d February, which relates to this rase, and on which I think it my duty to remark: first, that the scurcity of prorisions is a new motive for the permitting the departure of the weross, whish finds mo place in the agent's letter of 25th I ecember: second, that the course of proceeding under the law of Georgia, as described in this letter, is radically different from the account given of it in the Icter of the asth December. In this last nontioned lefter, the comse is represented to be, to report the negroes to the Exacutive of the state. 'The Exarntive then gives the order for their exportation, and takes the bond. Ke. Sd, That every consideration urged for permitting the departure of the negroes, applies to them all; and the letter gives the impression that all had grome, whirh is a material variance from the facts of the case. 4th, 'I'hat he Gemeral here expresses his confident belief. that the negroes, although originally intended for Alabama, would now be carried ont of the Cnited States; the fain meaning of which is, that they would mot be carried to A labama; while his passport authorized them to be carried to that territory: But, the great objection to the communication is. that it amits to state the material facts which had ocrured. since the date of the letter of the $\mathbf{S}^{5}$ th December, and before the date of the lefter under consideration, to wit: The arrival of the second parcel of Alricans, under the direction of Bowen, and this, too, after he had had an intrview with Bowen, on the arrival of the first parcol: and second, the fact, that, at the date of the last Hetrer, nearly one-half of the Africans still remained at the agency. It is diflicult to conceive, that fiemeral Mitchell was not aware, that these were among the most suspicions facts in the case. They ought, therefore, to have bern promptly and framkly stated, and accounted fine. The theal omission to notice them, and what is worse the giving an aspect to the case, in both these communications. calculated to keep them ont of view, and to make an erroneous impression on the government as to the true state of the case, is, to say the least of it, extremely unfortumate.

Will it be said that, although (ieneral Mitchell, in stating, in this last letter, the exportation of these jenple, nses and repeats the terms "the negroes," which are equally applicable to them all, yet, inas-
much as lie refers to his letter of the 2.5th of December to the Secretary of the 'Treasury, he must be considered as alluding to the negroes therein mentioned? the answer is, that even if this were a fair view of the rase, (which it certainly is not, General Mitchell was then bound to state, as a new and subitantive set of facts, the arrival of the second pareel, the detention of that parcel, and the reason which existed for the discrimination he had made. Charity, and even credulity. camot suppose that he thought these immaterial facts, more especially after the sample he has given us of what he thought materinl, in relation to the respectable gentlemen who had come to chaim these negroen, bringing letters of introduction from Generel Gaines.
The next commmication is to the secretary of War, and bears date, Creck Agency. 18th February, 1818. In his Ietter he gives a very acrimonions account of the proceedings of Mr. M•Lntosh and Captain Melvin, and says, that "if they had seized only those which had been given up on bond and security, under the impression of that being an mauthorzed pooceeding. Le should not have complained, although he should have conceived their conduct wawarantable; but to seize by force thase in my possession, regularly reported to the gorernnient, and the commandins afficer (Col. Brrarly) duly notified of the fact, and even of the fact too, of the oflicial opinion of the district attorney, being required in the case, and assured by me that they should mot be removed upon any terms, until that opinion was received, or the government should order the course to be taken, I can find no apology for their conduct."

This is the first ofticial intimation from the agent of the fact, that any portion of the negrops had been detained at the agency, yet he speaks of them as having been regularly reported to the government. 1 should have thought, from the statement. that sone commonication had been made by the agent to the government other than those that I have already brought to your view, were it not that he himself, in his letters to the Secretary of War of the 25th March, 1818, and 27 th July, 1820 , refers to all the communications which he had made to the govermment on this subject, and notices none other of prion date to this, under consideration, save only his letter of the 25th December, 1817. to the Secretary of the Treasury, and of the Sd February, 1818, to the Secretary of War'; and in neither of these, as I have shown, is there any communication of the fact, that any negroes had been detained at the agency; that he allodes, in this statement, to his letter of the 25th December, 1817, as constituting the regular report to the government, I collect from the assertion which accompanies it, "o and the commanding officer duly motified of the fart." The commanding ofticer was Colonel Brearly; and Gencral Mitchell has proved, by lis son Captain Mitchell, that on the 20th or 2 ast of the month of December. 1815, the General returned to Georgia, accompanied by Captain Thomas and the witness, to spend Christmas with his family: that at Fort Hawkins he fell in with Colonel Brealy, whom the agent informed of the Aricans being at
the agency, and of his intention to detain them, and report the case to government; and the wituess adds, that he knows a letter was written to the Secretary of the Treasury on Christmas day, reporting the negroes, and that he had since seen the Secretary's answer. The letter of the 25 th December then is the letter relied on, to authorize the assertion, that the negroes, detained at the agency, had been regularly reported to the government: whereas this tetter states, that he had not detained then, but had ordered Bowen to carry them out of the United States: besides, the letter of the gith December could not possibly have had any allusion to the negroes which had beendetained, for their arrival at the agency occurred after the date of that letter; the litter expressly related to the megroes brought thither by Bowron himself, and for which he then shereed a bill of sale fo himself-this was the parcel, arcording to the description in the passumert, which had been delivered to Groce; whereas, the last parcel, and conserfuently that which was detained, was covered by a bill of sale to 'Tobler, the Indian. This representation of General Mitchell, that be had reported, the the government, the negroes detained at the agency, at the time of M•Intosil's seizure, is so boldly made, when he must have kmown, or at least supposed, that his conmunications were here to confront him, that charity might have im. puted the statement to a want of recollection; but for the discovery of the fact, that the General keeps copies of his correspondence. In relation to lis letter of the 25th December, I have nothing before me except the extract furnishod by himself, the original having been misplaced; so that if it contained any thing beyond this extract, which would have justified the statement in question, (and which extract was furnished to the Department of War. for the information of that Department, as to what the General done, the omission to insert it is unfortunate. It is not conceivable, however, that this is the case.

Before I leave this letter of the 18th February, it is proper to remark, that, although we have it here oflicially anomed, for the first time, that a part of the negroes had been delivered up, on bond, to (iroce, and the residue detained at the agency, no reason is yet given for the discrimination, much less is any thing said of the fact of their having been introduced in separate parcels, and the last parcel after the agent had had an interview with Bowen.
"It is now insimuated," says Gemeral Mitchell in this letter," as an excusc for this flagrant contempt, that I am interested in the ne. froes; and, as exidence of the fact, that I have fed them, and had them at work; and that, whilst on their way to this place. they were seen by some one, in the possession of Indians, who said they were taking them to the agency, and a variety of other surmises equally futiln."

This word surmases is loosely used in this place; for the circumstances of feeding them, and having some of then at work, tugether with the arts of distributing articles of clothing among them, and adminiserering onedicine to the sick, are inmediately admitted by
the General Limself; and as tolthe fact of their being met in the possession of an Indian, who was bringing them to the agency under the superior authority of Bowen, and whor in fact did bring them there, it is in proof, and is not controverted. These were not surmises, thereforc, but facts; and yet, facts, which, of themselves, (so far as feeding, \&c. go, ale lutile, as to lixing any degree of guilt on General Mitchell. The most innocent and lionorable man, on whom such a body of helpless human beings had been lawlessly thrown, would have arted in the same way, while they were necessarily in his care. I think, therefore, that no importance is to be attached to these facts of feedinis, clothing, \&c. while the negroes weie, necessari$l y$, at the agency.

In this same letter, we meet with Grneral Mitchell's first denial of the charges agrainst him; the first at least commumicated to this goverment. It is in these words: - Sir: I assure you upon my honor, I had no interest whatever, directly or indirectly, in the purchase or introluction of those negries; neither had I any knowledge or information of the intention of tho parfies interrstral to bring them here, until their actual arrival." On first reading this document, I was transiently struck with the special form of this denial, as not coveriag the whole case. I dismissed it, however, as a subtlety which ought not to be permitted to enter into the judicial consideration of such a subject, fraught with such serious and affecieng persomal considerations; and should have probably thaght of it no more, but for the perpetual recurrence of the same form of expression, not only in the Gencral's other communications, but in the allidavits of his witnesses. A coincidence of expression so singular among so many various minds of different orders. naturally excited me to attend to the terms; and I perecived, at once, that the truth of the assertion that Genemal Mitchell had mothing to do with the original purchase or introduction of these Africans, and that lie was even ignorant of the intentime of the parties to bringeg them to the agcncy, until their actual arrival there, was perfectly compatible with a guilty connection formed with Bowen, after the arrival of the first parcel, and with that connivance which General Gaines had charged on him; and pursuing this train of thought, it appeared to me that although there were circumstances tending to the belief of a previous general understanding, at least between Bowen and Mitchell, yet the evidence was much more strong to establish the probability of a subsequent connection. It is not necessary to stop fur the purpose of bringing together the instances in which this same form of expression recurs, in the letters of General Mitchell and the affidavits of his witnesses; they will present themselves as we go along; and if, at least, you shall think the criticism more ingeninus and severe than solid, you will casily throw it ont of your consideration of the case.

The next communication of Giene:al Mitchell is a letter of the 19th February, 1818, addressed to the Sccretary of the Treasury; as I learn by reference; which, however, is not before me.

On the 25th March, 1818, the agent addressed another letter to the Secretary of War, in which he answers varinus cliarges that had been preferred against him by General Jackson, and amnng others this charge of the African urgores. In this, be goes into a statement as to thise delivered to (iroce; censures Colonel Brearly for certain misstatements, which he charges him to have made on the sulpect, and this the more severely, because he says Colonel BrearIy was filly infurmed of all his proceedings; and, among other things, of his having declined bonding any more, after the forty-sevon, "in consequrnce of understandins that there vas some difference of opinim as to the proper course to be parsued with regard to them." 'This is the first explanation of the canse why the last pareel was detained; but as they were in lis possession when the first parcel was delisered to (iroce, we are yet to be informed why they were not delivered at the same time.

This passage, however, calls up a still more serious question. When did this difference of opinion occur, and when was it made known to General Mitchell? When he wrote to the Secretary of War on the sd February, he suggests no such difference of opinion; he represents the case as quite an easy one, and gives an impression that the whole of the negroes had been sent out of the United States; it was only four, or at the most, five days afterwards, that they wert seized hy Mr. M'Intosh; for the (ieneral's letter to his son, on this subject, amnexed to the allidavit of the som, bears date on the 8 th of February. This difference of opinion, then, which had changed the General's course as to the negres, must have oceured and beot made known to him between the stl and 8th of February, of which there is nio evidence in the case, and, I fear, no probability, in fact. Even in his letter of the 18 th, he does nint place the past detention of these negroes, on any such difference of opinion as this; but on the ground of his having repmeted the case to the government (by his letter of the 25 th December) and his waiting their orders, or the opimion of the District Attorncy.

In the letter now under consideration (25th March, 1818,) the agent says to the Secretary of War, "Permit me to reiterate the assurance atready given yon, that I not only had no interest in the purchase of these negroes, but was entirely ignorent of the purchase andintrofuction of them, till hrought to the agency." In Gen. Mitchell's Jet. ter of the 28 th Apil, 1818, to the Secretary of the 'Treasury (of which an extract furnished by the Gencral, is before me) he takes no notice of the detention of a part of these negroes at the agency, nor of any such difference of opinion as that which le assigns in liis letter of the 25 th of March; to the Secretary of War; on the contrary, he vindicates the course taken in bonding and sending ont the negroes, as the only course, as to the propmety of which he speaks of wo opposing opinions.

In this letter, he also says, "As to the purchase and introduction of those negroes, I give you my sulemm assume that I had neither knowledge of, nor participation in cither."

Here the commmications of General Mitchell end, until the charge was revived by Governor Clarke, and presented in stuch a form as made it the duty of government to examine it by evidence. A judicial investigation of the subject had now been barred by the act of Limitation of the United States. An attempt was made to institute such a trial before the circuit court of the United States in Georgia, but was stopped at the thereshold by the court, on the ground of the har by the act of limitation. The grand jury, however, took up the subject on general grounds, and founded a presentment on it. 'The legislature of the state. two expressed its indignation at the illicit comtinance of the slave trade, in a report, which, together with the presentment of the grand jury, before mentioned, and the sentence of the comt, have been commmicated by Governor Clarke, and are now before me. The goverment, desirous in a case so derply interesting to the comitry on one hand, and to the individual, one of the oflicers of govermment thes accused. on the other, repuired that the facts should be presented in the form of affidavits, taken on notice; a direction which was so imperfectly executed, that it was repeated, and in the mean time both the soverno of Georgia and General Mitched were mutually furnished with coppes of the evidence which had been commonicated by the other.

The first commmication of Gemeral Mitchell with which I meret in this new series, is his letter to the Secretary of War, dated the 2ith July, 1820. 'This letter accompanied the General's original evidence, and comments on that, and on the accusing evidence.

On the fourth page of this letter, he makes the first commmicatron to the government with which I have met, of the separate parcels of Africans brought in by Bowen, in succession. He again admits the interview with Bowen, on the arrival of the first parcel, but says that he remained only one night at the argency, "conserguently, had no timeor opportunity to make any arrangement with him on the subject." What General Mitchell is represented as having said to Loving was quite enough, and cond have been said in a very few minutes. He proceeds, "Neither did he know that I had seized the first parcel of his negroes, until the arrival of the last parcel, when I informed him of the fact, and detained the whole; that is to say, that General Mitchell had seized the first parcel, but kept Bowen in ignorance of that fact, till the arrival of the last parcel, which was ahout the first week in Jannary, when he informed him of the fact and detained the whole; this statement is supported by the evidence of Capt. Bowen, Capt. Mitchell and Capt. 'Thomas; but is perfertIy irreconcilable with the agent's letter of the 25 th December, to the Secretary of the Treasury, in which he represents himself as having tieclined to decmin any of them, but having ordered Bowen to take the whele of them out of the Linited States.

In the conse of this letter, Gen. Nitchell comments on the evidence furnished by Governor Glake, and before I take up the Generals onon cridence, in order. I think it proper th etate what he has
said in reqard to the two first witnesses presented in support of the changre, losing and Woodwad.

In pegard to loving. he sags, in substance, that he has mo rerollertion of any such conversalion as Mr. L. relates; that Mr. Io. ving was a stranger: and that it is very imporobable that he would have given adsice to a stranger, which he would bot have griven to one , f his own family. He states, also, that he has heard ferm a gembeman of veracity that the very combersation which he represents himself to have had with him, (Mitchell.) he had with that gentleman, relon replicd prerisel! i: thr lengunter rehirle Loving has attributed to the Gromal: and the (iemeal leanes it to any man of sense to determine whether it be teasomathe that he wond haye held such a comorsation with a stranger. Whathis gentleman af veracity is, we are mot infomed by Gemeral Mitelall. We harm, howerer, fom the alidasit of A. E. Wing, that it was (apt. 'Phomas, one of the Ge-
 further states, that. on his sumsestime the pobability of this mistake to Jowing, he hat appeared mach murtified. and expessed his regret at havine miven this information. I'he whole wf whely statement
 Falmehood dentitute of all fammation.
 flections: Why shotal any man of semse fommone it improbable that he should hase hedd such a romorsationg, of trien such advice
 "hich is, that the adlice was riminal, we the conese advised illemal;
 hate held such a comersation wish a stratrer as som as with ally other. Gen. Mitchell, Jhen. was aware, in July, dish, of the crimimality or illegality of this comrse, and wot hise acts, with regand to Bowern, and he Africans imported hy him, aresalostantinlly in unison with the conversation which is imputed to him by laving.

Asain. is it probable that, in a case of so much importance, I arimes should have mistaken (apt. 'Themas for the asente and, still more, is it prohahle that Thomas shomid have rembered the very answers which Loomge attributes to Mitchell: that Thomats should have advised Loving to brings diricans to the atrency, and that he, Thomas, would protert them there, and rive factities for their sater at the reserve de. mome e-pecially. when we are told by 'Thomas. himself, that the agent whose power at the aremey was sowereigh, had uniformly ahised him to hate mothing to do with such a business, for, that those who did womle not on!! involve themselves in troubte but goould alsodestroy their reputations? 'The assumption nt these answers bs Capt. Thomas may be an midence of gallant self-devotion in behall of a fiand, hut, I confess. the statement surpases my eredulity. Iavinges character is most perpectably supported: and. if his evidence required any extrinsic circumstances to romber it probable, those eircomstances wondt, I think, be found in the comdnet of the agent hionself, in the alliar of Capt. Bowen.

With respect to the evidence of T. Woodward, which, as yon will recultect, is a hearsay statement only, fiobil Cohomel Howard, General Mitchell observes, that, as Mr. Wooduard is of respectable family and connexions, and some of them his particular friends, be wih just observe that Col. Joseph Howard, from whom, he says, he had his information, is living on the Alabama, and is rertainly better evit dence than Mr. Woodward. Ite thinks that Col. Howard will not support the statement of the wituess as to bringing Africais into the United States, in violation of law. "I have no doubt (siys her) but that I have had conversations with many upon this subject, for, at oue time, it was much spoken of, and some have reduced it to practice, while others. fike myself, hate only talked of it."

Mr. Woolward's statement of Col. Ilowards conversation is no pridence which would be received in a come of jodicature had it even beenom math.' Not being even on oath, it onglit wot to have bern offerel. Why the albdavit of Col. Howard has mot been taken on either side, I am unable to conceive. Gen. Mitchall was not bound to take it, and yet it is a matter of surprise to me that, knowing the residence of the witness, his high standing, and the use which had ben made of his alleged conversation, the just sensibility which cem. Mitchell seems to feel for his own charactir, had not impelled him to call on Col. Uoward to gescue him from this imputation.
The words which I have quoted from Ger. Mitehell above seem to me to mean, in their fair and obvious sprise; that Grm. Mitchell had, at one time, talked of embarking in the business. They are used in relation to the conversation which Woodward, on the remert oi Col . Howard, imputes to him; a conversation which implied his disposition, after his acceptance of the ngency, to engage in such an enterprise. and to furnish funds for it. At this time, too, he had reason to believe that the aflidavit of Col. Howard might be taken against lim, and how it would result was yet uncertain.
It was provident, therefore. to anticipate any result of such an affidavit by an explanation. The words are to be construed in reference to the occasion and circumstances in which they are used. When, therefore, he says "I have no doubt but that I have had corversations with many persons upon this subject, for, at one time, it was much spoken of, and some have redured it to practice, while others. like myself, have only talked of it," I understand him to mean, "while sthers, like myself, only talked of doing it," which amomits to an admission that he bad at one time talked of doing it, and is substantially all that either Woodward or Loving state.
I proceed to General Mitchell's testimony in the order in which he has offered it.

William Boroen. Affidavit, Nu. 1. He left fort Hawhins, where he liad resided for some time, in July, 18if; went formenth Carolina to visit lis fricids; after a short time, procectal by Ausposta to sa. vaniali; here he entered into a mercantile parterahiq wilh Stantenbry \& Thom, in a store, to be kept by Bowen, iii Milledperille. Having selected his goods, and while emphyyd in forwarding limem,
he was informed by a friend of the great speculations which were to be made in sugar and coffec, at Amelia Island; determined to procrastinate the opening of groods in Milledgeville till he could visit Aumela Istand, which he does; disapminted in the price of sugar and coffer, and having been left by the vessel in which be intended to return to the Main, he is detaned in the island, and, during his detention, a cargo of negroes arrived in one of Anrys priab teers, which le purchases with funds furnished wholly by the eredit of Erwin \& Co. and Stanterbey \& Thorn. He then detailshis journey with the first parcel of these negroes to the agency, where he is received hy Gapt. Thomas, who adrises him to proceed on his route before the armal of Cem. Mitchell, who. if he fombl the negroes there, would probably interfere with them; but this, he fold Thomas, was impossiblh, as the megroes were win down with cold, fatiguc, and humger, and comid bint mose till their strength was secruited and he could prowne lefter transportation; besides, be iodd him be had left a number of the smallest ones hehind, more caposed than he hand cappecter.

Finally, having aranged with Thomas to supply provisions for the first pared, he returns for the secomd. [not a word of his interview with General Mitchell: it is mbioms that this is kept studiously out of sight.] He then procerds to denail his operations with the seromd parcel of negroes, admits that he wrote the leter from Drummombls Blaff, but this was without the comsent or knowledge of (iemeral Mitchell it was prely to secure the passage of the proper ty, shond it meet dillicalty: he never intended, after the arriwa of the negroes at the aroncy. fion that letter to be produced or detivered to the agent, and had instructed the hearer to destroy it on his arrral. After secing the bearer of it, alter the negroes had arrived, he asked him for the feter, and was answered, that it was lost in the woods: and. thinking that the Ietter would never be found, he was atisficd. On his arrival at the ageney, he was informed by Gemeral Mitchell that he would detain the negroes until he could be beter satisfied wilh the rircmonstances of their transportation through the Crek mation. The then informed General Nitchell that he had purdhated the megrow in 'Camden, and intended going westward with them. [General Mitchell, in his Jetere of the 25 th December, 1817, to He seretary of the lowamy, says, that Bowen gave him this information at thie first infrerime: that is, on the arrival of the first parcol. and shew wi him the hill of sate]. (General Mitchell dechared that fre shoudd detain the neswoess "hll he combld hear from the Government un the sulyide. l"malls. Iteral E. Groce came to the agency with a S Wer of introdution to Bowon from James Erwin, and Groce, as Hur and of Erwin $\mathcal{A}$ Co... and, for the purpsese of securing the 25,000 dollars which hiey had ardvanced, entered into bond witi Bowen to cary out of the I nited states as many of the megroes ats he wished, "ho were th he mader his control, as collateral security to the Er"ins; a sedertinn of forty-sinem was made out of the whole parcel, and diliverd to (arme oin hond, as adrady stated. Bowen returned
to Georgia to procure security for bonding the rest, which was superceded by the seizure made by M•Intosh. IIe then states what was done with the negroes alter their seizure, which is irrelevant to our inguiry. He then avers that Gen. Mitchell never hat any knowledge of the purchase or introdnction of these negroes into the U. States: that he paid not one cent towarts the purchase, that the whole sum was raised Chrough the aid of the belorementioned firm; and that General witchell knew nothing about it watil the urgroes were taken sto the agency, and reported by him to the Gocernment. That. but for the interference of Gen. Mitchell, he would probahly have had his property sale in West Florida. where it was intended that they shouldbe carried. "Any imputation, therefore says Bonen, that General Mitchell was concerned with me in the purchase and introduction of that property is mere conjecture only."

Before I proceed to the cross-examination of this witness, I will remark that. long belore the taking of this depositiong not only the letter from Drummond's Bliff, but the two letters purporting to have been written by Bowen to Gen. Mitchell, from Milledgeville, had been before the public, and had produced considerable excitement. The two last letters, if genuine, placed the guilt of General Mitchel beyoud all doubt, and were comsequently resisted by the General and his friends. by every meais which they could command. The General had denied, on oath, that he hall ever seen such letters; his son Captain Mitchell had deuied. on oath, that lie had cyer given Moore that direction to search his fathers desk for Ietters from Arbuthoot, from which the discovery was alleged to bave procecden; and toth Captain Mitchell and the General's clerk, Imlay Vanscriver, had sworn that they had never seen such letters in the General's desk, or elsewhere, and that thoy must have seen those, if they had been there. Bowen had denied the authenticity of the letters in a hand bill, which is annexed to his afidavit, and declared them base fabrications. The reputation of William Moore, the alleged discoverer of these letters, was assailed with great vehemence. He was accused of having forged an orler for money, from one Rimothy Barnard; of having forged a bill of sale from Tohler for the last parcel of negroes, and having attempted to suborn witnesses to attest it. But slill the outh of bareen, denying that ho had worillen these Letters, wois waning. When, therefore, in the body of the alfidavit before me, his mind was called to this subject by his admission ot the letter from Diummond's Bluff, it is surprizing that he did not avail himself of the same solemu occasion to do justice to himself and Gen. Mitchell, by drnyins the two last letters which he had already denies! in his pubishted hand bill. This, however, he does not do: he says not one word of: these letters, in the body of his allidavit, which is the whole of his voluntary statement: and to increase the suspicion arinus from this cirenimstance, when, on his cross examimation. he is dieertly interrogated as to these letters, lie twice evales the question. altogether, and, each time, so exactly in the same words, that it is extremely dillicult for the most candid man to resist the comviction, that the erasion, as welk as the form of it, was promeditated and sattret.

He is asked hy Govermor Clarke, did you not write the letter, or nes sinilar to it. of the $i$ th March. 1818, to the agent, Genemal 0 . 13. Witchell, which was pmblished in the Journal sometime since, and which Win. Mome states he copied, \&c.?

This answer is-. - Mave alrendy denied the authenticity of that letter, and I convider ditare a forserer."

Ho is then asked, did you not write the letter of the 2Sd March, 1818. de.?

His answer is-" " Harealsodenied lic authenticity of that letter, and I ansterer as ationt."

It was the he hal already dmind the anthenticity of those Ietters, but mot on oath; it was in a hamdibil. And it might be true lie considered Moore a forget in regard to the two acts of forgery already mentioned, of" which he had been publicly accused-the order from Bamard, and the bill of sale from Tobler. Were Bowen now to state, on oatho that he did weite those le tters, he could not be convicted of perjury on the streugth of those answers; for he has not here denied them on oath, mur has he said any thing at all incompatible with the fact that he did write them.

Are these the terus which wnuld have been used by any man who was in trath innocent of the charge of having written those letters? For my own part, the evasion appears to me so gross and palpable, and withal so stutied, that I consider it as very little short of a confession. that he did write the letters.

O: this cross examination. he states that he did "once give Geo. Mitchell a certificate that he was mot concerned in the purchiese or ine troduction of these nergoes into the Luited states."

He is asked "Do you not know that the agent expressed himself in a way from which yon inferred hes permission to convey the second gaing of Africans to the agency?"

The answer is-" He never expressed any approbalion to me." Hee is amother evasion; the question was not as to the expression of approbation. In the rest of hisccross-examination, he states, in substance, that lie does not know that Geueral Mitchell claims any part of the Alricans that lad been brought to the agency in his oun right.

He never had any consersation with General Mitchell as to the profits to be made on speculations in Africans, previous to his taking the Ifricans to the agency.

General Mitehell might have been apprised of Long's taking off the five negries. for all he knows. The witness refuses to answer a guestion comicerning Jared E. Groce, (which stands compected with the authenticity of one of the last of the letere before mentioned) on the ground that it relates to his and Groce's private affitirs.

In annwer to General Mitchell, he states the interview which he had with the Grovernor at the time of his confessing that he wrote the hetter from Dammond's Bluff. He moderstood from his excellency, and. probathy, some of the otlier gentlemen, that the object of the iimpury was hoi to injure him, as mast of them were friendly to fhim, but to inserthin General Mitchell's connection in the affair. He does mot recollect that any particular pronise was made him.

IIc says, on further examination, that General Mitchell did not know from him that he intonded to cary the second gang of Africans to the aspency in the wister of 1810.01818.

Being asked whether the agent, or some one for hime, did not purchase or come to an undirstanding reith hem for some of the Ahimans?

He answerge " he agent did not purchase any of me. I hat may offres by sumdry persoms to sell, but ant for the express use as: maphicd use, as I understand, of General Mitchell. I invariably declined selling any of them to any one."
Andrewe Erzein's affidavit, No. a. This is a very long and verbose aflidavit-to give you an idea of it, although the witness knows nothing personally of the guilt or innocence of General Mitchell, five folio pares of the affidavit are employed in giving us a history of the aseillations of the witness' mind as to General Mitcholl's guilt. The nmber of these vibrations, and the causes which produced them, are detailed with a minuteness and prolixity rather amusing than instructive; until at last, the witness, on the representation of General witchell and Captain horeen. selties down in the conviction that the Gencral was entioly inmoent " of any concorn, interest, of participation, in the parchase or introduction of the negroes alloded to." According to this witne:s, Groce also is imorant-unt only immocent, but, it secms, Mr. Erwin had some dianculty in apprasing the virtuons indignation of Mr. Groce, upon the discovery that his partuers had been concerned in a breach of the fans. - I comsinced him, I believe," says the witness, with the utmost apparent simplicity, "of my imocence in any such trade." 'To make sure work of it, however, he sent or wrote for his son, James Erwin, to Savamah, to explain the true situation of the business. James Erwin then came tol Augusta, and while there, Mr. Groce returned, and James Ervein then expressed his innocence in the busincess, as above. This Mr. Groce, whose moral delicacy the Messis. Eirwins manifested so much solicitude to soorthe, is the same Jared E. Groce, whose conversation with Mr. Breithanpt has been already detailed. Andrew Erwin swears, in the most posifice manner, to use his own words, "That Jared E. Groce had no interest in the property," meaning the negroes. Why then did Andrew Erwin, in his letter to Gen. Mitchen, by Colonel Morgan, bind the firm of Er'win, Groce, \& Co. to any amount, without limit, which Colonel Morgan might choose to draw for, in his megotiations with regard to negroes?

Will it be said, when he wrote that letter he was ignorant of the fact that Mr. Groce was not interested? But we are told that there were two firms, Erwin, Groce, d Co. at Augusta, in which Mr. Groce was interested; and Erwin d Co. al Sinunnah, in which Mr. Groce was mot intersted; and Andrew Erwig docs not profess to have been igmorant when he wrote the letter that the funds had been adranced ly Erwin \& Co. at Savannal, in which Mr. Groce was not interested. By what right, then, did he use the name of Groce in that letter? 'I he circumstance is calculated to infuse a strong suspicion that the witness knows more on this subject than he has
thought proper to disclose. His guilt or innocence, however, is not the question, exrept sol lar as it may affect his credit an a withoss. His prinary object. and what may be called the busimess of his aitidavit, is to exculpate hionself form any charge of being involved in this business: and. in this point of view, it is unfortumate for Mr. Erwin, that. in a case so derply afferting his chararter, he has but preserved the lifter froma . Mr. Ihmas, whonow resides near Dilledgeville, then, perhaps near thr "gency, from whom he received his firct intelligence on this whbject. mor any copy of his letter in reply, and that the orisinal answer, also, has been lost by Mr. Thomas.

The only facts material to (ira. Mitchell, which this witness states, are. Int. 'ihe lact that the whole purchase money fir the negroes was advancod by Eiwnink Co. and, consequently, ihat Gen. Mitchell is innocent of havims madreany prequiary contribution to the purchase.
ad. The rireumstances ahich he states to impugnt the credit of Loving, to which I have already ahberted.

With respert to the first, however, he aimits that for one half of the adance, indicidnal motes were phaced in the hands of his som; these notes wore anonymusty mentioned; why they are so, is not explatued: there may be some motive of mercantile delicaty in the case, but without mentioning names it would have been easy to have sad that no note in which General Mitchell's name appeared, was among them, and, in a case like this, it would have been better to have done soo even if the caution had been over abundant.

James Erwin's uffidarit, No. 3. This witness supports the statements of Bowen, as to the parthership with Stantenbry d Thorn; as tel the information which carried Buwen to Amelia lsland; as to the fare that all the funds were fuminhed by fawind Co, He srives also his letters of justruction to Bowen, the first sentence ol which is, " Buy all and arery thing you ara sure of making mon'y on." IIesupports Buwen in the asserton that the funds sent were vested in negroes, ant, before the sale was closed, he was consulted by the vendor as to the cathority of Boween to druw on him for the amount. That he assured the render Boucen's bill would be sood. . Ind, from the impussibility of communicating ceven by express acith Gencral ilchell. \&ce. as urpli as from Buwen's private. confidential. and pasitive commmenications, the is confident that General Mitchell had no knowledge of the purchase and introduction of thase negroes.

Joseph Thorn's affidurit. No. 4. In strict accordance with James Erwm, he also is of opinion, for the rasoms he grives, that it is inpossible General Mitchell could have had any knowledge or interest whatsoever in the purchase: or introdaction of those negroes into the Lnited States.

Coloneb G. Mortan's affilarit. No. 5. 'The effect of this evidence has bern, as to all substantial points, before stated. This witness states that "from the best information hecomble art at the agency," with what he had been wold by Jumes Erwin anil his fathir. Jamen Erwn's advancug money to buwen, as well as from a knowledge of their situ-
ation at that time, it is his opinion. decidedly, that Genemal Mitchell had in interest, directly or indirectly. in the purchase, ofotership, and introduction of those African negroes."

John S. Thomas' uffilavit, Ni, 6. He supports all the statements of Bowen. Andrew Erwin, and General Mitchell, which could be supprod to fall within the sphere of his observation, and gives it as his derided conviction that General Mitchell not only had no interest or concern in the purchiase or introduction of the negroes, but was entirely ignorant of both. He then states the advice which General Mitchell had given him, to have nothing to do with the purchase of Africans, \&c. "for thense who did would not only experience pecuniary loss, but dretroy their reputation." Sound advice, which proves that Gerie ral Mitchell was a ware of the illegality and disrepute of such proceedings.

This John S. Thomas is the Captain Thomas who belonged to Grieral Vitchell's family at the agency. The deposition opens with saying " Being called upon by the Governor of Georgia, by authority, as he states, from the Secretary of State. \&c.; importing that the evildence has been given in the presence, and under the superintendence, of the Governor. This appears not to have been the fact. It is certified by H. Allen, who signs himself J. J. C. that, on the 6th of March. 1820, having been called upon by one of the Secretaries of the Executive: Department of Georgia, to attend at the Executive Clamber in the State House, for the purpise of taking some depositismis, Johin Sherwood Thomas was called; and, appearing, refused to be qualified, or to give evidence in regard to his knowledge of the participation of the Indian agent, D. B. Mitchell, in the illicit introduction of Africans into the United States, as he said, " on accoint of sone communication or writing made by himself to onc of the parties which he wished first to secure."

It is here proper to give General Mitchell's view of the subject of Captain 'Thomas' reluctance to give evidence in the case. It is in these words: "The Governor, I understand, has resorted to various expedients to justify his conduct to Captain Thomas; and, among the rest, has obstinately charged him with refusing to give his testimony. But, if Captain Thomas would condescend to make a statement of the facts as they really occurred, it would cover the Governor with shame and confusion, if he is capable of feeling either the one or the other. But Captain Thomas is lifffident and smassuming, and the Governor, presuming upon that, and an intimacy of long standing between-himself and the family of Captain Thomas, he thought he could manage the Captain as be pleased, by dictating to him the testimony he should give. His first effort was to draw from the Captain a declaration that he knew nothing about my transactions with the Africans; but finding that he was not to be surprised into an assertion of a falsehood, lie then clianged his tone, and endeavored to dragoon him into his measures. The integrity of Captain Thomas, however, baffled all the arts of his Excellency, and he then thought it necessary to throw a shade over lis testimony."
I have before had occasion, sir, to call your attention to the ex-
treme inconveniencies under which strangers to the witnesses must labor in drciding any question of fact, depending on their characters. How impossible would it be, to infer this diffidence and want of assumption in the cliaracter of Captain Thomas, from the following statement:

"Executive Office, Georgia, " Milledgeville, зd April, 1820.

"We, whose names are hereunto ammexed, do hereby certify, that on this day, about 3 o'clock, P. M. Captain John S. Thomas entered the Executive office, and inguired from his Excellency Governor Clarke, if he had muderstood him (Thomas) to have said, when summoned to testify to the Executive office, sometime since, [pointing back to the time mentioned by Mr. Allen,] that he declined to give ceidence, then, from having some paper, of document of some kind, in the hands of General Mitchell? The Governor replicd, that he (Thomas) had declined, alleging that "he had a paper in the hands of one of the parties, which he wished first to withdraw, as it might injure him," and that the Governor understond from his (Captain 'Thomas') expression, that the paper spoken of was in the hands of Mitchell. Thomas then rejoineil, that he did not think the Governor was right; that he thought he had been mistaken; that he did not state, or intend it to be understond, that it was in Milchell's hands; but in thic liands of one of the parties interested; some conversation then ensued, and the Governor then mentioned, that lif, Thomas, had promised again to call at a particular day and give his evidence, and had failed to do it. This was rudely denied by Tlommas, and re-asserted by the Governor; and, on Thomas' assuming an insolent tone and manner, the Governor inquired, if he had come to the office with an intention to insult him; and, if that was his intention, lie, the Governor, wished him to retire. After some further insolent deportment, he said, he (Thomas) would retire, and on doing so, exclaimed, in a loud and menacing tone, "By thie eternal Gool, I weill have satisfaction out of you one day or other."
" in witness whereof; we have hereunto set our signatures.
" DANIEL HUGHES,
"WM. F. S'TEELE."
Wm. S. Mitchell. Affilavit No. 7. This witness declares his con- $^{2}$ viction of the innocence of the agent; and I hope he is sincerc. It is the evidence of a son in relation te liis father. The document will be before you; and 1 forbear further comment.

James Mass.- Affidavil No. 8. Was at the agency at the time of the arrival of the Africans; had frequent conversations with Bowen, Long, and Captain Mitchell, and from none of hem was induced to believe that Gencral Mitchell was, in any way, engaged in the purchase or introlluction of the negroes into the state. He impugns the credit of John Lambert, a witness on the other side, because he had spoken, iguorantly, fro:n hearsay; and because Lainbert told him
there were things put into his affidavit that he did not consent to have put in it, \&c.

Jolin Binion. Affilavit No. 9. He was a captain of cavalry on the Flint river, stationed at the agency, in January, 1818. Observing the Africans at the agency, he asked General Mitchell if they were for sale? to which lie replied, that they were not, for he had put his thumb upon them; meaning thereby, as he explained, that the agent had taken them into liis possession, and repmeted them to the government; and that, consequently, he would permit un sale of them.

Colonel Brearly. Affilavit No. 10. 'This witness is neutral; he knows that the Africans were at the agency; but he knows nothing of General Mitchell's guilt or innocence.

M6Qucen M•Intosh. No. 11. This purports to be an original letter from Mr. M•Intosh; to whom addressed does not appear:. At the font of it is this statement: "'Whis letter, and the extract from M•Intosh's report, which I have quoted, were sent to me by a friend.
"D. B. M."
The letter expresses M•Intosh's opinion, that he was entitled to one-half of the negroes seized. The motives of MrIntosh in making the seizure, are foreign to the question of the agents guilt.
Johin Oliplant. Affidavit No. 12. This is the same witness whose evidence has been exhibited in support of the charge. This aftidavit is subsequent to the former; and the witness here complains that the magistrates, on the former occasion, would not insert that he had denied the explanation of the red strings, from the negroes, $\$ c$.
Thomas Rodney. Affidavit No. 13. The witness was employed ahout the agency. About the day before Gen. Mitchell's departure to spend Cliristmas with his family (the Christmas of 1 17, , Gen. Mitchell called the witness and direrted that, during his absence, the witness should have an eye upon the Africans; that they had been brought in contrary to law; and that witness must not suffier any one to tonch the negroes during his absence, for that he meant to report them to the government, and they must remain for further orders, ofe.
Let this testimony, offered by Gen. Mitchell, be compared with his letter to the Secretary of the 'lreasury, written a few days afterwards, to wit, on Christmas day.
George Sterison. Affidavit No. 14. This witness charges William Moore with an attempt to suborn him as a witness to a bill of sale from Tobler, \&c.
James Thompson. Affilavit No. 15. To the same effect. This man is said by Governor Clarke to be a discharged convict from tho Pennsylvania penitentiary; and this to the knowledge of General Mitchell, when he took his testimony; which I do not understand the General as denying.

Timothy Barnard. Affidavit Jo. 16. The witness accuses Wm. Moore of forging an order for money, in his name; and states, that Moore and Mr. Humphreys (a justice of peace of the state of Georgia,) had endeavored to prevail upon him to swear to the contrary; and he believed would forge an allidavit in his name to that effect.

The counter allidavit, with the statement of Mr. Humphreys, will be found among the papers furnished by Gov. Clarke, and by him numbered $1:$.

Wim. s. . Mitchell. Affilurif .No. 17. I have already given the
Imlay Vanscriver. Aiffilurit No. 18. $\}$ effere of these papers. Their tendency is to show, that Monere could not have found in Gen. Mitchelles desk, the two leters from Bowen, of which he professes to have furnished copies.

Londozeick Jshli'y. Affilavit No. 19. Immaterial. A copy of a deposition in an admiralty procerding. concerning these negroes, in the mame of Migurl De Castre, against ninety four Affican negrons. The proceeding must have been fictitious. The affidavit is not substantially variant from that formerly presented from the same witurss.

I have thus emideavored to extract from this vast mass of communications and documents, all the facts which appear to me to be material. In the laborions operation, however, of examining upwards of seventy separate docmments, and some of them very long. and then comparing them tugether themghout. and of comnecting and combining the circmestances. dissurered and scattered, as they are, through such a dark and extonsive wilderness, some important circumstances may have "scaprod me; and I may have thought some inportant, which you may derm incomvidfable. It will be of some assistance to you, benever. to hare had the case broken, even in this imprefet manner; and any errors. that I may have committed, will be easily corrected, in your own examination of it.

You must, I take it fin sranted, have been struck with the force of the three letters alleged thate been written by Bowen to Gen. Mitchell: (that from Drummondss Bluff, and the two from Milledgeville.) It will be proper, therefire, to examine the ground on which the probability or improbability of those documents rests, and the answers that have been given to them by the parties interested.

## 1. Letter from Irrummond's Bluff:

On the sil Normber. 1819, when Bowen made his acknowledgmant to (ionerome dlarke, that he had written this letter, no solution of it, favorable to the innocener of Gemeral Mitchell, occurred to him: for if it had, the solicitude which he has uniformly discovered to defend General Mitchell, even, at his own expense, can leave no doubt that he woudd have suggested it.

On the 4 th November, 1819, when he published his hand-bill, he says." The letter wrote at Drommond's Bluff was written by me: 1 wrote it, without the consent or knowoledge of General Mitcheli," but still mo reason for weriting it is mentioned. As this hand bill was intended fir the express purpose of vindicating General Mitchell, this was the time, and this the occasion, that he should have given an explanation of the purpose, consistent with General Mitchell's innocence, if he could. Bowen is, obviously, a shrewd and acate
tran, and he knew that the world would not be satisfied with being told, that he wrote that letter, without the knowledge or consent of General Mitchell; this was saying nothing, for it was never pretended that General Mitchell was at Drummond's Bluff on the 25th December, 1817, to know or consent to the writing of that letter; nor was it at all material to the question of a guilty connection between Bowen and Mitchell. that General Mitchell should have conseinted, by anticipation, to the writing of such a letter, or that the arrangement which occasioned it (to wit, the sending on the last parcel by Tobler) should have been made, and provided for, before Bowef left the agency. When Bowen, therefore, was stating, in his hand-bill, that he wrote that letter without General Mitchell's knowledge or consent, he could not but know, that the next question, which would occur at once to every inquiring mind, would be, "Why, then, did you write it?" And this question it was material fir him to have answered in this hand bill, at least, if any satisfactory answer was at haid Such an omission, on such an occasion, justifies the conclusion, that he bad no answer at band, but that one was yet to be sought for.
On the stli day of Junc. 1820, when he gave his deposition, he could think of mo better solution of this difficulty, than or that it was pirrely to secure the passage of the property should it meet difficulty." Tu this, it is answered, and with a force which I confess I cannot resist, that the letter is not at all adapred to that purpose; it is, as you will perceive on referring to it, an open avowal, that the negroes were smuggled in froin Amelia Istand, and states Bowen's apprehensions that they would be seized, before they could be carried through to the agency. Suppose Tobler and his party to liave been stopped, under a suspicion that the Africans had been smuggled in, would tho production of that letter, which confessed the very fact of smuggling, have removed the suspicion? Is it not manifest, that its production, insteal of insuring protection, would have insured the seizure of the whole party? Had Bowen been devising a letter for the purpose he mentions, the has sense enough to have devised a very different one from this. 'There is, in this letter, a minuteness of confidential details, a friendly familiarity of speculation and advice, exactly adapted to the supposed relation between Bowen and Mitchell, but totally unadapted, end most flagrantly hostile, to the purpose avowed.
Besides, Tobler was furnished with a bill of sale for the negroes to himself: for what was this intended? Surely to protect them, in his own right, if he should meet with difficulty. Thus he was doubly armed; the only misfortune being, that the one weapon was at direct war with the other, and that they reciprocally destroyed the effect of both; for, while the bill of sale aftirmed the negroes to be 'Tobler's, the letter shewed them to be Bowen's.
Bowenf further attirms, that he never intended this letter to be delivered to General Mitchell, and that he so directed the bearer. "Why, then, did he write it:", The purpose lie assigns is incredio bli; and no other is suggested.

I have un doubt that the letter was intended to be delivered to Gemeral Mitchell, beramse I can comece mo other rational purpose for which such a leftom. athonding t.o all its parts, combld have bere written. "I go to. Millongeville by Satranaah, and wish yon to kerp the urgroes employed untit I can come outitn the ugency. I have directed Tomer to take charge of the homses and packs, \&c. and to put the har. ses oul in the cante sreamp, and allend to them.: Was this request not intended to reach demeral Mitchell? Why, then, was it inserted? It was mot necessary to the purpose of protection, muless, in. deed, we could be so creduloms as to suppose. that by arguins a familiarity and understanding with General Mitchell, it would ath as a protection. It was from white men. however, and citizens of the Cnited States, that interuption was expected; it was from mentom, as their letter shows, whose suspicions were already broad awake, as to the volation of our slave laws, that were going on in that gharter of the union, and who were determined to suppress it: with such mem. a lether courheol in these terms, had it been written by Gemral Mitchedl himself, instead of Boven, wond have affordsil no protection, but, on the contrar. wond have insured a scizure.

It apprars to me impossible for credulity itself to stan the terms of this letter. and to dombt that it was writem, and sent with the intention of bring delivered, to General Mitehell; for, it seems to me that there is mother conceivable purpose, within the seope of human innention. for which it cond have been writen. On the mo. ment we reach this conclusion, there is only one further question: Whold Bowen, or any other man in his senses, have sent such a letter to Gemeral Mitchell, without any apology, or the semblance of apology, for so doing: but, on the contrary, with the air of easy and familiar fricndship and confidence, unless authorized to do so by the foroting on which he knew himself to stand with that gentleman, without a perfect understanding with him before hand? And, if this question inust, from the nature of things, be answered in the negative, the impuiry is at an end; the fact of a guilty understanding and comection between Bowen and the agent is established.

It is true this letter did not reach its place of destination. It was mot delivered to (iemeral Mitchell, because both the letter and bill of sale were lost by Tobler, lost, I presume, before he had an opporthnity of delivering the letter; I presume so, because, as it is clear to my und retanding. that the letter cond have been written for no other parpose than to be delivered, I can conceive no reason why it should not have been delivered, if an opportunity had occurred of doing so. That those papers were lost before such opportunity occurred, is rendered highly probable, ly the following circumstances. Bowen's affidavit is calculated to give the impression, that after parting with 'Gobler and the megroes, at Drummond's Bluff, he had never seen them again until after their armal at the agency; that the negroes arrived before him, and that he found them there on his arrival. It is true he dors not say this explicitly: such, however, is the fair inference
from his narrative. But he docs say, explicitly, that his inguiries of Tobler for the letter, were made after the negroes had arrived at the agency. Now it is important to observe, that John Oliphant, the witness, states that, after landing the negroes in Camden county, about six miles below camp Pinkney, they proceeded about sixtem miles on Blackshear's road, "where Whe Bowen Jeft us about midnight, and did not join us again until we got in a fiwe days travel of the "forncy." In confirmation of this statement, Gencral Mitchell, in his communication to the Secretary of War, implies, and Captain Mitchell in his allidavit expressly states, that Bowen did arrive reith the second parcel of negroes.

Now, if Bowen was so desirous that the letter from Drummond's Bluff should not be seen by the agent, would it mot have been natural for him to lave demanded it immediately upon his joining the party, woithin a fero days travel of the asency? Nay, if it had been intended to be delivered to the agent, yet, inasmach as the necessity of its delivery had been superseded by Bowen's personal presence, and more particularly as the letter was full of danger both to himself and General Mitchell, can it be believed that he would not have demanded and destroyed it immediately on re-joining the party? Can it be believed that he would have travelled for serear days, with the party towards the agency, leaving to Tobler, an Indian, addicted, it scems, to habits of intoxication, the custody of a paper which it was no long. er necessary to preserve, while every moment of its existence was big with danger both to his friend and himself? And if, with all these means of prevention, he didi permit 'Tobler to continue in possession of that letter, nay, to carry it to the agency, can it be believed that he felt all the solicitude he professes, to keep this letter from the knowledge of the agent? 'To me the only natural and probable comse scems to be that, whether the letter was originally intended for deneral Mitchell's eye oe not, Bowen should have demanded the letter immediately on rejoining the party, a few days journey from the agency; I believe that if he deemed it necessary to demand it all, he must have demanded it there, and that he there received the answer that it was lost, and consequently that no opportunity ever was afforded of delivering the Ietter; hence, the weight which General Mitchell attaches to its non-delivery is destroyed, and the solution of this difincult problem which Bowen has labored to extract from the hypoihesis that 'Tobler had arrived before him, and had not delivered the let. ter, according to his order not to deliver it, is dissipated. Indeed, if the letter had been lost alter the arrival at the agency, and amomg the usual haunts of the Indians and white people at that plate, it is ditficult to conceive how Mr. Bowen cond have regained his composure and satisfaction at such a discovery, on the supposition that it would never be found; such a supposition, and such an effect from it. would be natural enough, if the letter had been lost in the wilderness, before he had joined the party, and several days journey from the agency; but they are both extremely mmatural and improbable. in relation to the loss of such a document happening at the agency,
among the dwellings of the penple, where there was every probability and almost certainty, that it would be found. Finally, if this paper was list at the agency, after the continued opportunity which Bowen had had. for several days, of preventing such an accident, I repeat it, that he must give up all pretensions to any concern, from its meeting the cye of the agent.

You will observe that Moore does not profess to have been, himself, the finder of this letter. He received it, tugether with Tobler's bill of sale, from an Indian woman, by the name of Mary. When, and where, Mary found it. from whom she received it, we are not informral. Moore's impression is, that it was lost by Tobler, at the agency. Be it so; of one thing we are certain-that Bowen wrote the letter; and there is no rational doubt that it was originally written with the intention of being delivered to Gen. Mitchell. We are certain of another thing, that, if the letter reached the agency ia the charge of Tobler, it was because Bowen felt no solicitude about its fate.

The letters of the 7 th and $23 d$ March, from Milledgeville, are next to be considered. Notwithstanding the strong negrative proof furnished by Gen. Mitchell, these letters carry with them an internal pronf of thrif own genuineness, which, when compared with the acknowledged ietter from Drummond's Bluff, with the other circumstances in the rase, and with Gen. Mitchell's whole conduct, throughout, it is very diflicult to resist. And when, to these violent presumptions, we add Bowen's alirupt evasions of Gov. Clarke's interrogatories on this subject, (so much like the cowardly flinching of a guilty conscience, and so uttedy unlike the intrepid openess of consious innocence, 1 comess that I have little doubt that the letters were written by Bowen. Gov. Clarke's romanks on these letters are well worthy your attention.

The results of this examination are,
First. That there is no proof that General Mitchell made any peconiary adsance for the purchase of these megroes.

Sicond. That there is ne proof that he had any personal agency in the purchase or introduction of them.

Thirt. That there is no proof that he had any knowledge of this specific purchase and introduction, until the negroes arrived at the agency.

Fourth. But that there is presumptive proof that there was a pretious general understanding, at least, between Bowen and him, on which Bowen founded his whole plan of operations in regard to those negroes.

These circumstances are,
First. The conversations imputed to General Mitchell by the witnesses Loving and Woodward, and the conversation of Groce with Breithaupt, as explained by subsequent acts.

Second. The comnexion of General Mitchell with Bowen in the distrifution of the $\$ 10,000$ worth of goods at Fort Hawkins, in July, 1817, mentioned by Captain Melvin, and the fact in this very month (when too General Mitclell acknowleges that he had seen him;)

Bowen set out on the expedition, which terminated in the purchase of the negroes.

Third. The fact of his taking the negroes to the agency.
Fourth. His reception there, which was just such as the conversations related by Loving and Woodward, and that relatell by Breithaupt as being held by him with Groce, made it natural to expect: for Bowen, so far from being molested for what he had done, is jermitted to relurn to Amelia lsland, and repeat the offence.

Fifth. General Mitchell's whole conduct in the affair, which is in strict consonance with those conversations, and with the hypothesis of such a previous understanding with Bowen.

But let it be admitted that there was no such previous undersfanding, and that General Mitchell not only had no concern, of any kind, ind the purchase and introduction of those negroes, but that he was wholly ignorant of their purchase and introduction until their arrival at the agency; yet,

Fifth. His comluct, after their arrival at the asency, is, in my opinion, utterly inconsistent with the supposition of his iminocence.

General Mitchell admits, in his letter of the 25th December, 1817, that he was fully apprized of the solicitude of this governmest to suppress the African slave trade: and, if his witness, Thomas, is to be believed, he was also perfectly aware both of the danger and disrepute of having any thing to do with such a business. He must, in the nature of things, have been perfectly apprized of the odium which was attached to it, not only in Georgia, but in every other part of the Union, among respectable men.

Thus informed, let us inquire how it would have been natural for a man of common intelligence, and of ordinary pride of character, to have acted, when, on the 8th Dec. 1817. he discovered that Bowen had dared to intude himself into the agency, with half a handred of smuggled African negroes; a measure so directly and inevitably calculated to throw upon the agent public suspicton and infany? Would not his indignation have been excited to the highest pitch, at such an act of audacity; and must he not have seen that motiong could save him fiom the corsequence of such a step, but the most prompt and rigorous measun of of resistance? Would he not instantaneonsly have seized the culprit and given him up to the laws of his country? Would he not have immediately given-up-the negroes to the Givernor of the state within whose constitutional limits and juristliction the agency was established? And would he not. Torthwith, have forwarded a full and fair report of the whole case to the government, whose ollicei he was? Such, it seems to me, would certaninly have been the course of any man, even of common intelligence and pradence, so circumstañced, and this for his own sake, putting aside every incrutior ol patriotism; for such a course would have repelled every suspicion which the presumption of Bowen was calculated to throw npou him, and have placed his purity beyond the reach of question.

How different was the comese pursual hy Gom. Mithell! Intelli-
grnt. proud of chararter, and energetic, as he secms to be, instead of arresting Bowen, he permits him to return to Amelia Island, and repeat the offine by bringing another cargo of Africans to the agency. Instead of handing over the negroms to the execntive of the state, he makes mo communication of facts whatever, so far as the proofs speak, to that cxerutive, until after the seizure by Mc:Intosh; and, to bis own severmment, (whm he wais bound, by his duty, to keep conredy informed. he writes only the leters of the asth December. 1815 , and sif Febmary. 1815, both of which are calcalated to mislead the gowemment as 10 the true state of the case, for both kecp out of viow the donhe importation ly Bowen; both represent the negroes as being all grome: fom meither could the government infer that there was any cave artualy pemlins at the agency, on which their comsel was asked. for buth: Interes trat the case as if finally disposed of; mer was there any disclowime of the important fact that nearly one half of the mespocs were set at the aremey, until the explosion produced by Mrofntosh mendered longer concealment impossible, and robled the subuergent disclosure, on the part of the agent, of all preteusinns to merit.

But. what in "orse, while the goverment was thus kept in the dark. Gem. Mitcholl, moder color of an obsolete law of Georgia, Wholly inapplicable to the case; and which, if it had been in full force and viene and alyo appicable, he well knew gave him nopower to act. sibe "pto (inore one half of these Africans, with a passport which anhorized him to carry them to the phace for which he knew, as appars ly his commonications, that they had been originally purchasidl: thens lending the anthority of his oflice to aid in the consummation of a comseinus breach of the laws.

The other half are detained at the ageney. Why? Because, says Mitchell, he was waiting the orders of the govermment, when he had remorted no case calling her any orders; beranse, says Bowen, it wats necessary for him (Bowen) to go back to derorgia and get other sreurity to bond this parcel too. Between them, however, there the negroes remained until they were seized by Mc(bueen Mchintosh.

That Gen. Mitchell should sufter the agency moder his command to be made a place of rendezvous fin smugsled A frican negroes; that he should make the govermment no fair report of the case; that he should co-operate with the violaters of the law in the execution of their purpose, and that under so flimsy a pretext as the law of Georgia of 1 :!6; that he should reduce himself to the degrading necessity of obtaining from the culprit, whom he ought, in the first instance, to have seized and dragged to pmishment, a certificate that he, . Mitchell, had no concern in the affiair, as Bowen states he did; and that he should do all this without reward, and from an innocent mistate of the law, would certainly be a very charitable conclusion; but, as it would, also, in my opinion, be a very irrational one, especially in reverd to a math of (ien. Mitchells superior understanding, I am constratined to allopt the conclusion (painful as it is,) that Géncral

Mitchell is grilty of having prostituted his porver, as agent for Indian. affiairs at the Creck agency, to the purpose of aiding and assisting in a conscious breach of the act of Congress of 1807, in prohibition of the slare trade, aud this from mercenury motives.

I have the homor to remain, Sir,
Most respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
WM. WIRT.
The Presinenty of the IThited States.


[^0]:    The President of the U. S.

[^1]:    " S. nock well, \%. Lamar, L، Atkinson.

