COMMISSION MEETING Sept. 21, 1967

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS 1016 16TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

AGENDA
MEETINGS OF SEPTEMBER 20, 21 and 22, 1967

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1967 -- Room 459, Executive Office Building

9:30 a.m. HEARING ON CONTROL OF CIVIL DISORDERS.

Howard R. Leary, Police Commissioner, New York City.

E. Wilson Purdy, Director of Public Safety, Dade County (Miami), Florida; formerly, Commissioner, Pennsylvania State Police; formerly, Chief of Police, St. Petersburg, Florida; and former agent, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

William M. Lombard, Chief of Police, Rochester, New York; formerly, Supervising Officer, New York State Police.

Byron Engle, Director, Office of Public Safety,
Agency for International Development, Department
of State; former Captain and Director of
Personnel and Training, Kansas City Police
Department; former Chief Administrator, United
Nations Command, Tokyo, Japan.

LUNCH

2:00 p.m. ROLE OF NATIONAL GUARD AND UNITED STATES ARMY IN CONTROLLING CIVIL DISORDERS.

> Brigadier General Roderic L. Hill, Director of Operations, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Military Operations, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C.

Major General George Gelston, Adjutant General, Maryland National Guard; formerly, Acting Commissioner of Police, Baltimore, Maryland.

Brigadier General Harris Hollis, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations, United States Army, Washington, D.C.

6:30 p.m. DINNER MEETING. Pan-American Room, 2nd Floor, Statler Hilton Hotel.

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS

1016 16TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1967 -- Room 459, Executive Office Building |

GHETTO LEADERS' VIEWS ON TENSIONS AND GRIEVANCES 9:30 a.m. THAT RESULT IN DISORDERS.

> S. Joseph Sanders, Director of Summer Projects, Westminister Neighborhood Association in Watts, Los Angeles; student, Yale Law School.

Father John Groppi, leader of current open housing demonstrations in Milwaukee, Wisconsin; adult advisor, NAACP Youth Council; Assistant Pastor, St. Boniface Roman Catholic Church.

Ernie Chambers, militant civil rights leader and demonstrator, Omaha, Nebraska.

Piri Thomas, Puerto Rican-American; native and resident of Spanish Harlem, New York City; author, "Down This Mean Street," an autobiography.

LUNCH

2:00 p.m. THE ROLE OF POLICE IN REDUCING COMMUNITY TENSIONS AND GRIEVANCES -- PART I.

Dante Andreotti, Community Relations Service,
Department of Justice; formerly, Chief,
Police-Community Relations Division, Police
Department, San Francisco, California.

David Hardy, reporter, New York Daily News; born and raised in the west end ghotto of Plainfield, New Jersey with many of those who rioted in Plainfield; formerly, reporter, Plainfield (New Jersey) Courier.

Professor Albert Reiss, Professor, Department of Sociology, University of Michigan; consultant, President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice.

William H. T. Smith, Director, Inspection Division, Department of Housing Urban Development; formerly, Chief of Police, Syracuse, New York; former official, New York City Police Department.

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS 1016 16TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 1967 -- Room 459, Executive Office Building

9:30 a.m. THE ROLE OF POLICE AND LOCAL OFFICIALS IN REDUCING COMMUNITY TENSIONS AND GRIEVANCES -- PART II.

Honorable John Conyers, Jr., United States
Representative, First District, Michigan;
Representative Conyers' district includes the
12th Street riot area in Detroit.

Mrs. Charlotte Meecham, National Representative for the Police-Community-Corrections Program of the American Friends Services Committee.

Patrick V. Murphy, Assistant Director for Law Enforcement, Office of Law Enforcement Assistance, United States Department of Justice; former Chief of Police, Syracuse, New York; former Deputy Chief Inspector, New York City Police Department.

Honorable John Doar, Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, United States Department of Justice (tentatively scheduled).

LUNCH

PROPOSALS FOR INCREASING POLICE-COMMUNITY EFFECTIVENESS IN PREVENTING AND CONTROLLING CIVIL DISORDERS.

> Quinn Tamm, Executive Director, International Association of Chiefs of Police; formerly, Assistant Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Dr. Kenneth McFarland, formerly, Superintendent of Schools, Topeka, Kansas; author, "Topeka's Plan for Law Enforcement" (tentatively scheduled).

Honorable Roger Wilkins, Director of Community Relations Service, United States Department of Justice.

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS 1016 16TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

September 19, 1967

Memorandum To: Security Office, EOB

Col. Norman J. McKenzie, Executive Officer From:

National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders

Meeting of the National Advisory Commission on Subject:

Civil Disorders, September 20,21, and 22.

Request the persons on the attached list be cleared for entry into the Executive Office Building on September 20, 21 and 22, 1967.
They will be attending meetings of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders.

Norman J. McKenzie Executive Officer

THE WHITE HOUSE

MEMBERS OF SPECIAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS

MEMBERS

Otto Kerner, Chairman Governor of Illinois

John Lindsay, Vice Chairman Mayor of New York City

Senator Fred R. Harris Senator from Oklahoma

Senator Edward W. Brooke Senator from Massachusetts

James C. Corman

U.S. Representative from California,
Twenty-Second District (Los Angeles)

William M. McCulloch U.S. Representative from the State of Ohio,

4th District

I. W. Abel President, United Steel Workers

Charles B. Thornton President, Director and Chairman of the

Board, Litton Industries, Inc.

Roy Wilkins Executive Director of the NAACP

Katherine Graham Peden Commissioner of Commerce, State of

Kentucky

Herbert Jenkins Chief of Police, Atlanta, Georgia

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS 1016 16TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

GUESTS

Mr. Dante Andreotti

Mr. Ernie W. Chambers

Mr. Byron Engle

Major Gen. George Gelston

Father John Groppi 🧼

Brig. Gen. Roderic L. Hill

Brig. Gen. Harris Hollis

Mr. Howard Leary

Mrs. Charlotte Meachum

Mr. Patrick Murphy

Prof. Albert Reiss

Mr. Quinn Tamm

Mr. Perry Thomas V

Prof. James Vorenberg

Mr. Roger Wilkins

Congressman John Conyers

Two persons accompaning Father Groppi:

Mr. James Pierce

Mr. David Rogers

J. STANCE Sanders Hon John Doar !!

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

STAFF

David Ginsburg, Executive Director

Victor Palmieri, Deputy Executive Director

Gerald Astor, Look Magazine

Fred Bohen

Charles E. Brookhart

James E. Booker

David Chambers

John Christman

David A. DeLo

Roger Fredericks

Barbara Jo Grace

Claudette M. Johnson

Nathaniel R. Jones

John Koskinen

Jay Kriegel

day Kireger

Stephen Kurzman

Roye L. Lowry

James Luikart

Merle McCurdy

Kyran McGrath

Norman J. McKenzie

John F. McLawhorn

Richard M. Scammon

Dr. Robert Shellow

Richard Spencer

Alvin A. Spivak

Henry B. Taliaferro, Jr.

Donald Webb

Steve Weiner

Stephen Ailes

Arnold Sagalyn

David E. Birenbaum

Roger L. Waldman

Paul Bower

Richard Baun

wer Haysen

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS 1016 16TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

Ward and Paul Reporters and Messengers

Miss Ruth Taylor

Mr. Alvin Mills

Mr. Frank Shelburne

Mr. Ben Firshein

Miss Frances Garow

Mr. Robert Cantor

Mr. Eugene Joseph

Mr. Jessie L. Ward III

THE NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON CIVIL DISORDERS 1016 16TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

Additional Guests for the Meeting of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders on September 20, 21, and 22, 1967

Mr. E. Wilson Purdy

Mr. William H. T. Smith

Mr. David Hardy

Col. John J.Hennessey

Additional Staff

Howard Margolis

Added on STEPHEN KURZMAN ROBERT SHELLOW JACK (EFROWITZ ROYE LOWRY ERIC BLANCHAND Will monroe. Revoll T. Valoure Branden M. Pettway Riches Moore

ORIGINAL

EXECUTIVE CONFIDENTIAL

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE

National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders

EXECUTIVE - CONFIDENTIAL

Place Washington, D. C.

Date September 21, 1967

Pages 1510 to 1739

PRESS STENOTYPISTS ASSOCIATION

Specialized Press Reporting
Washington, D.C.

A. R. C., Inc. 300 - 7th Street, S. W. 628-2345

W & P, Inc. 25 K Street, N. E. 628-4266

11		
u-	·	
% 1	CONTENTS	
Phone (Area 202) 628-4266	STATEMENT OF:	
202)	Companya (Alice Control of the Contr	PAGE
ne (Aree	J. Stanley Sanders, Los Angeles, California	1512
ર્ક 4		# J # #
5	Father James Groppi, Milwaukee, Wisconsin;Accompanied by	
6	Father Patrick Flood,	• ,
7	Dwight Benning, Major, Commandos, and James Pierce, Lieutenant, Commandos	1519
8	Ernie W. Chambers,	3.5.2.2
9	Omaha, Nebraska	1533
. 10	Piri Thomas, New York City	1553
11	Honorable Roger W. Wilkins,	
12 NA	Director, Community Relations Service, Department of Justice	16 39
∞ 1⊃	David Hardy,	- 640
Q X 14	from the Staff of the New York Daily News	1 6 48
15	Professor Albert Reiss, Professor of Sociology at the University of	
16	Michigan	1661
	William H. T. Smith,	7.690
17	Director of the Inspection Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development	1680 [.]
18		
19		
2000	EXHIBITS:	PAGE
ü 21	56 Thru 65	1637
		1 638
	67	1739
K Straet, N.E., Washington,		
¥ % 25		

5 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002

CHAIRMAN KERNER: If Mr. Sanders and Father Groppi and Mr. Chambers and Mr. Thomas will take their seats at the table here, the photographers have asked to come in and just take a picture, which they will do. We will sort of visit until the time they arrive.

We will start off with a happy appearance, though it is crying outside.

The probability is Mayor Lindsay will not be with us again today since he just completed some problems of union negotiations that ended late last night I think after many hours of sitting in negotiation.

This morning we will continue our hearings on maintaining law and order. Yesterday we explored the problems of controlling civil disorders once they have begun. This morning's hearings will be directed specifically towards identifying the grievances and tensions that lead to civil disorders and towards the control of riots from the point of view of those living in the area in which they have occurred.

As yesterday, we will be receiving testimony from two panels, one this morning, the other this afternoon. Each panel will have four members, and each panel member will make an opening statement for about ten to twenty minutes. The balance of each session will be devoted to questions and answers.

I would like to welcome the first panel, whose members are, from left to right, Mr. J. Stanley Sanders of Los Angeles,

ARD & PAU

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 200

Father James Groppi of Milwaukee, Mr. Ernie W. Chambers of Omaha, and Mr. Piri Thomas of New York City.

We will first hear from Mr. Sanders. Mr. Sanders is a graduate of Whittier College, a Rhodes scholar at Oxford, attending Modlin College. He is presently a third-year law student at Yale University.

He is a native of Watts and worked there this summer with the Westminster Association under the poverty program, directing a job training program for the young people.

Mr. Sanders.

STATEMENT OF J. STANLEY SANDERS,

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MR. SANDERS: Gentlemen, and ladies, I suppose I want to dwell mainly on three areas. One is the simple assertion and what follows from that, that urban ghettos are the site of urban disturbances, civil disorders, and have been and continue to grapple under the same conditions that prevailed ten and possibly 100 years ago.

And the problem isn't too much that the ghetto community is getting any worse. It is just that it is not getting any better and the conditions that people live under in 1967 simply aren't adequate for life, American life in 1967, and relative to 1940, they may have been adequate but certainly in 1967 they are deplorable.

The second thing is the perverse impact that the

5

7

9

10

11

13

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

23 24

25

Federal Government has on Negro life in America. I can't think of any single, one single factor in life in Watts that runs at Watt the community's aspiration, than the Federal Government and all of its agencies, particularly the welfare system which tends to aggravate family instability rather than contribute to stability.

The poverty program which seems to encourage a catchand-run attitude among workers in the community, the employment practices of the Federal Government, and last but not least, the overwhelming bureaucracy and lack of experimentation and imagination of programs administered by the Federal Government in communities like Watts.

This has all kinds of repercussions. It sort of saddles everybody who works in the community with an emergency relief mentality in that it seems to be the national attitude that we are going to wait until something happens before we step in to take corrective measures.

Well, by then it is simply too late. We get into the difficulty of deciding, of trying to distinguish causes of riots from what to do about riots after they begin, and it seems like it is part of the national confusion now in talking about riots and civil disorders to confuse the causes of riots with the remedies of stopping them after they get started.

The latter -- the latter part which you probably addressed yourself to yesterday is of no concern to me. I

8

_ _

3

happen to feel that because it is just a simple matter of applying force and it is a matter of how sophisticated you want to be when you apply the force, whether you want to be brute or whether you want to be a civilized force, and you can always put down a riot after it begins and then measure the cost and calculate the cost afterwards, but the real problem, it seems to me, is the causes that underlie riots, and in this Commission's report I think it would be ridiculous to dwell on the methods of putting down riots. That can be left to various national militia, state militia, across the state, that this should really deal with the impact of the government and coordinate efforts that government makes with the private sector to deal with the real problems of Watts.

Because I believe the family instability contributes to hard core unemployment, contributed to the life style of the Negro, I think that efforts should be made to stabilize the family, namely, by making the impact that the Federal Government has on the ghetto.

For example, in the summer work program that I administered this summer, it never occurred to me but that we simply haven't addressed ourselves to the problem of hard core employment. We have summer crash programs, which is money thrown into the ghettos on a very temporary, uncertain basis, which again encourages this kind of summer employment of get what you can during the summer and get out, and it is not so much --

that is not a racial characteristic among Negroes, but that is a characteristic, it seems to me, of anybody who has really any sense and any kind of awareness and ability to operate within the American system, that if you know a program is going to phase out September 8th, then it seems that everybody tries to get what they are going to get before September 8th, and after September 8th the program is going to be done because the kids will be back in school and everything will be cool.

I think that is simply the wrong attitude. It not only disrupts the program that the Federal Government has in the cities and encourages this kind of irresponsibility, but it also neglects the permanent, nonseasonal character of the problems in the ghetto. Riots may be only a threat in the summer, and that seems to me to be a press invention. Riots isn't a seasonal phenomenon either. I think very shortly we are going to see the emergence of what the press will probably call coolhot winters, or hot-cool winters. This business of — in fact, I would hope just to break this pattern, this mental pattern that we establish, that riots only occur between the months of June and September, so that we tend to look at it as a year-round problem and not a problem that just happens in the summer-time.

This I think is -- for one reason I think that the reason that, for example, a community like Watts and the typical response from a Yale law student or someone in the white

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

18

19

community from this past summer in Watts was that nothing happened. Watts had a cool summer, and we measure the success of all government programs against the standard of whether or not there were fire bombs thrown or whether windows were broken, and if all was quiet, then we had a successful summer, and this simply isn't true.

In fact, I would prefer, I frankly would have preferred disruption to no energy at all, and this was I think one of the tragic reasons that Watts had no, what you would call, disturbances, simply because there is no energy to do anything. There is no energy in either direction, in a destructive or in a constructive direction, and I would much rather play the game of brinksmanship, of taking the community to the very edge of the border between disruption and order, between order and disorder, than to continue on in this sort of lethargic, dormant condition that we find Negro communities in now.

One of the great characteristics of the average youth hired in our program this summer was this tremendous vulnerability to criminal laws. There wasn't a single day passed that a young man didn't come to me with some court order or some citation or some minor traffic violation, having to take off, having to go downtown "to see the Mayor", and this continual idleness, I suppose, of a guy standing on the corner doing his nails with nothing to do, and we address ourselves to it three months out of the year and then after that we quit.

6

17

20

24

1

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

__

Ω **4**

25

I would much rather have him identifying with the community in motion and whether it is disruptive or orderly seems to me to be a bit irrelevant until we address ourselves to those underlying causes, and I think that those causes aren't -- those causes aren't -- they don't lack obviousness. It seems to me that it would occur -- it is almost self-evident. At least it would occur to the average reasonable man, a Governor Romney, for example, walks the streets, that no American who has any self-pride would want to live under these conditions, and it is going to take money. I think it is going to take money that focuses on family stability, and the closest thing I can think of in current social theory that approaches anything that contributes to this family stability is a guaranteed family income. And until we make this national commitment and as firm a national commitment that we make to the policies that are even as uncertain as the military policy in Vietnam, then I think we are going to continue to have disruptions in the ghetto, and I, for one, would certainly encourage that disruption because I think the old business about giving something to the Negro and no man wanting anything given to him is sort of a middle-class morale, sort of a middle-class way of looking at people who don't have money, and the problem with an enactment of guaranteed income, it seems to me that it would depress the morale of the middle class, but I think now we are reaching the point where the social

cost of riots is much too high, and when it gets that high it is

(2)

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 200

8

9

11

12

13

10

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

23

24 25 much cheaper, it is much cheaper to allocate ten or eleven, whatever, how many billions of dollars it costs to begin, and unless we begin we are going to have Wattses and we are going to have Newarks and we are going to have Detroits, and from the point of view of public authority we are simply going to have to take the approach of yesterday's meeting of putting them down.

But we have to address ourselves to these causes, and I think the remedies are the ones I have outlined, namely, the guaranteed annual income.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Is that all of your statement, Mr. Sanders?

MR. SANDERS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Father Groppi? STATEMENT OF FATHER JAMES GROPPI, MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN, ACCOMPANIED BY FATHER PATRICK FLOOD; DWIGHT BENNING, MAJOR, COMMANDOS; AND JAMES PIERCE, LIEUTENANT, COMMANDOS.

FATHER GROPPI: Well, gentlemen, let me begin by saying that I am a little cautious of this Committee here because in my experiences in the civil rights struggle, we are accustomed to getting a number of things from the power structure when we begin our demonstrations or bring about a kind of creative tension.

One of the things we get is usually a study or a committee and I don't mind saying that I don't like appear before

25 K Street, N.E., Washi

10

14

12

17

18

19

20

22

committees unless they are willing to do something, unless there is some action that is going to come forth.

So I am hoping that here today I did not come all the way from Milwaukee merely to tell you again what the problem is, but that we are looking for some action.

Secondly, I am also cautious of this, that there is a great deal of social tension existing within the ghettos of our large urban areas, but sometimes I wonder if we are not studying the wrong people in the wrong place. Gunnar Myrdahl made the statement, you know, after he produced his two-volume work on racial relations in the United States, that he was studying the wrong people, that perhaps what you should have here are representatives from the white community to study their problems, because in the black community, I am convinced of this, that we deal with nothing more than the effects of the white man's problem. We deal with the effects of discrimination, of segregation, and the relegation of the black man to the secondary status in the American system. And it is this frustration and hopelessness that causes a great deal of the tension in our urban areas.

Now, I happen to be a white man and I know what it is to be accepted in society by the very fact that you are white and also by the very fact that I -- by the fact that I happen to be a priest, and that gives a person a certain amount of social status in society.

3

8

11

16

21

l

WARD & PAUL

3

25 K Street, N.E.

In coming to work in the black community a man in my position tries to identify with the black man and his problems, and one must become, and I mean this, hated in many areas of life in order to be accepted. Such accusations, for example, of the black poor concerning the conduct of the police department is something a person almost has to experience in order to believe.

Now, yesterday you heard from the Police Department, and today, you know, you are hearing from me, a man who has submitted himself to arrest about six times in civil rights demonstrations, and so forth. And when I say the attitude and the conduct of the Police Department in the ghetto area is not correct, I say this because I have experienced this.

For example, just last week when I was arrested for violation of the Mayor's proclamation, when I was put in a paddy wagon, I was called literally a fuckin' white nigger, and one of our Youth Council members was put in the wagon with me, his head was bleeding, he had been hit by a billy club and the blood was down the side of his face and on his shirt, and sitting across from me, and as the wagon pulled off, a rock hit the side of the truck and the police officer shouted to the man in the front, kill the dirty black bastards. Shoot the black bastards. Kill them. You see.

This was the conduct of one police officer and it is common in the Police Department to have this type of attitude

2

7

6

8 9

10 11

12

14 15

16

18

17

19

20

towards the black community.

I have listened to policemen on the witness stand and I have heard them lie, and I mean this quite literally. For example, when I was arrested, oh, back in May or April because I protested the arrest of a Youth Council member, I got to the Police Department, the officers claimed that I cursed at them, called them mother fuckers and shouted from the end of the wagon, now is the time, start the riot.

Now, this was a lie. And the police officer under -after taking an oath, asking God to witness the truth of what they say, literally told a lie.

In another arrest situation last week, again in violation of the Mayor's proclamation, as I was being carried to the wagon, the police officer intentionally dug his fingers into my foot, and this caused a reaction; I kicked away. I asked, when I was placed in the wagon, what is that policeman's badge number, but, you see, the policemen in Milwaukee do not wear their badges. They have not worn them and they will not wear them on a demonstration site.

The police officer who was sitting at the end of the wagon refused to tell me what his name was and what his badge number was. When they got downtown, the police officer went to Mt. Sinai Hospital, got an X-ray of his chest, claimed that I kicked him very viciously in the chest, and he was trying to swear out a warrant on battery against myself.

· 1

12

10

14

17

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

I say these are some of the things. Now, there are other things that have happened in Milwaukee, and I am speaking of personal experience.

For example, I was followed every night for a period of three months. The Police Department began and every evening at four o'clock followed me everywhere I went, and the Youth Council members; the picked up Youth Council members, they followed me to their homes, took down their addresses; go home to my mother's house on the south side, they followed me there; go out to eat with the pastor and the housekeeper, the Police Department followed me into the restaurant, watched me while I ate. They parked in front of the Freedom House every night, stood on the corner with binoculars watching people come in and out, drove very slowly past the Freedom House, stuck a camera out the window, took pictures of individuals coming in and out. We even had one lady come to the Freedom House with food for the poor which the Youth Council members would take this food and distribute it to the community. The Police Department stopped, got out of the car and took a picture of her license plate.

Or they would come past the Freedom House and stick out a movie camera. They would take pictures of individuals coming out of the Freedom House. They have done this in front of the church.

Now, after three months of this type of intense harassment, the Youth Council had an explosion out in front of

WARD & PAUL

. .

the Freedom House and one of the officers arrested a Youth

Council member. I protested this. There was a disruption on the

corner. I went to the corner, took the member, was about to

place him in my car, that police officer ran a quarter of a

block shouting, "Tolliver, Tolliver, you're under arrest."

I said, "What for?" The man said, "He used profanity." I

said, "Look, he didn't do anything. Let me get him in a car,

I am going to clear the area." I have had experience in tense

situations such as this and it is very foolish for a police

officer to run into a crowd that is extremely tense and to

arrest a person for a little thing like profanity. It can cause
an explosion.

At any rate, we were arrested in that instance.

Now, you have asked for the cause of the social tensions existing in our community, and I think all of us here will agree it is the intolerable conditions in which the black man is submitted to living in the community, in the inner core communities. It is just unbelievable, the living conditions, the housing conditions, the third-rate education, improper medical attention, the third-rate school system to which a child must go.

We talk about H. Rap Brown, we talk about Stokeley Carmichael, and their advocating violence, and so forth, but I can understand Stokeley Carmichael and I can understand H. Rap Brown because, you see, H. Rap Brown and Stokeley Carmichael

25 K Street, N.E., Weshington, D.C. 20002

6

4

7

10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

were part of a non-violent movement for over five years, and they are angry and they are frustrated simply because we have not gotten any results.

Now, you take the School Board in the City of Milwaukee, for example. We have had at least six detailed studies on the problems of the de facto segregated school. We have had demonstrations. We have had freedom schools. We have had boycotts. We have had everything imaginable in order to get that School Board to do something about the intolerable situation in our education system.

Now, just let me tell you what they are still doing in Milwaukee. They are taking black children from overcrowded inner core schools, putting them on buses and sending them to all-white schools at which they are received and segregated. They are segregated completely during classroom time. They are segregated during recreation hours. And when they go to lunch, again they are segregated.

At one time the Milwaukee School Board was even doing this. They would bus black children from an overcrowded inner core school to a receiving school and then not only segregate them during classrooms and recreation, but when lunch hour came, those children were placed on those buses and bused back to the sending school for lunch hour. After lunch they were placed upon those buses again and sent back to that receiving school.

This is what Dr. Kenneth Clark called psychological

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

brutality.

Despite all the constructive ways in which we have protested, we have gotten no results, and I want to say this, that when we demonstrate, we picket. This is an honorable American way of protesting social evils. But the School Board in the City of Milwaukee doesn't realize this nor does the Police Department. The Police Department identifies a demonstration and a picket line as being synonymous with a riot.

Now, in Milwaukee we have been working for fair housing legislation. I just want to point out some of these areas and the attitude of the structure towards what is going on in the black community because I think this is the cause of the social tension, and when a man uses all the ordinary procedures for the attainment of one's rights and still must live under an oppressive government, morally I have no problem whatsoever in that oppressed group in resorting to violence. Morally and objectively I have no problem whatsoever.

I might argue with Stokeley Carmichael and H. Rap Brown as far as strategy and tactics are concerned in the use of violence, but morally and objectively I have no problem here whatsoever because all of us have studied the history and all of us have studied the oppressed peoples, and all of us have thrilled when people who were oppressed have gathered together 24 around a leader and somehow or other worked for the overthrow of 25 that oppressive government.

1

2

5 6

10

12

11

13 14

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

23

We do it in the American Revolution. And when Rap Brown says that violence in the American system is as common as cherry pie, I don't know who in the world can give him an argument because it is true.

Now I just want to show you some of the things we have done in Milwaukee and have gotten no results. Take, for example, the Common Council. We have one black alderman in the City of Milwaukee. Four times she submitted a fair housing bill. Four times it has been defeated -- 18 to 1, 19 to 1, 18 to 1, and 18 to 1.

The first time she introduced that bill we brought to that hearing the Episcopal Bishop, we brought priests and ministers, black and white, university professors, black and white, rich and poor, black and white. We filled that Common Council in talking about the necessity of fair housing legislation and the moral implications involved.

That bill was defeated 19 to 1. It has been defeated three times afterwards, 18 to 1, 18 to 1, and 18 to 1. Now in the City of Milwaukee we have begun our demonstrations. We have picketed the homes of some of the aldermen and we began our demonstrations on the south side, and let me show you what happened.

the Commandos, to which the two young men with me here belong, it is a direct action committee -- the Milwaukee NAACP Youth

1

6

11

15

Council began our demonstrations --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Would you identify the people who are with you.

FATHER GROPPI: This is Dwight Benning who is the Major of the Commandos. He leads the Commandos. Mr. James Pierce, who is one of the lieutenants in the Commando outfit.

We began our demonstrations on the south side. We have what we call a viaduct in Milwaukee. It crosses what we call the Mason-Dixon line. No black people live south of that area.

The first night we marched in the south side we met with a terrific amount of abuse -- eggs, rocks, bottles, and every time of imaginable name was given to us. Our lives were endangered. We marched deep into the south side, into Kosciusko Park, stayed there awhile and then marched back.

The danger was so great that night that I called up the Governor and I called up the Mayor of the City of Milwaukee and said, Look, we need more protection. The Milwaukee Police Department did a good job but there were not enough of them. The Mayor's office said to me, well, we just can't call out the National Guard for anything whatsoever.

So the Youth Council marched again that night, and let me tell you, the bigotry and the hatred on the south side of 24 Milwaukee was so great that we were nearly slaughtered down 25 there, and I mean that literally. We were nearly slaughtered.

So what I am talking about to you when I say, you know, perhaps the study ought to be in the white community, this is what I am talking about. The people over there have a problem and they call us niggers and black bastards, and go back to Africa, and throw rocks with the intention of killing people, well, there is something the matter there.

At any rate, we marched the second night. We were nearly killed. We came back to Milwaukee and the next day the Mayor came out with a proclamation, and this proclamation read, no more demonstrations.

My criticism of that is this. When we had a disturbance in the black community, the Mayor did this. He called in the National Guard and slammed a curfew on the entire city, very rigid militaristic control of the black community. By that I mean National Guardsmen walking around with machine guns, people placed at the top of all the buildings, and whenever a group of black people gathered together, the Guardsmen stood down there pointing their guns at people, and again the wrong attitude on the part of the policemen.

For example, we had a black man who belongs to the Sheriff's Department coming home one night, you know, and he was stopped by the police after the curfew hour, ordered to get out of the car, stand up against the car, and be searched. Hey, this nigger has got a gun on him. He belongs to the Milwaukee Sheriff's Department.

7

14

17

16

18

20

21

23

But, at any rate, this is what the Mayor did when that disturbance occurred on Third Street and Vliet Street in Mil-Some of the Youth Council members -- the Mayor called it a riot, called everyone who was involved a hoodlum. I have said they were merely freedom fighters using this tactic. Youth Council called it a social revolution.

At any rate, he put a very rigid control upon the black community, and yet when we exercised our Constitutional right to demonstrate, our Constitutional right of freedom of speech, in demonstrating for our God-given right of freedom of movement within the confines of our own country, what happened? We were penalized. A proclamation was brought forth, no more demonstrations.

Instead of protecting our right to demonstrate, he took it away from us.

All right. The Youth Council took the proclamation and our decision was this, that we will give it to our lawyers and see if it is Constitutional. We will not demonstrate tonight. Instead we will have a meeting at the Freedom House, a rally on the front porch, and that is where we will discuss the grievances of our black community and what we are -- where we are going from here.

By the time I got to that Freedom House, which had been burned, incidentally, the night before by the tear gas that the Police Department had thrown in, the Police Department was

15

16

17

21

already arresting people. They were throwing our women in wagons.

I walked to the Freedom House and right next to me was Mr. Sam Dennis from the Justice Department. The Police Department was behind us. All right, hurry along, hurry along, you are going to be arrested. This is an unlawful assembly. This is an unlawful assembly.

I thought, now, isn't this interesting. They are going to arrest me this time with a member from the Justice Department. He happened to be a black man.

Anyway, we had this rally on the Freedom House steps. And every time one member that was at that rally, and the people were on our porch and on the porch next door and on the porch across the street, every time one member at that rally stepped off our property and onto the street, the sergeant pointed him out and the Police Department ran in, grabbed him, and arrested him.

Fifty-eight people were arrested that night. Finally they called it an unlawful assembly, ran in and arrested everybody they could grab, ran into the Freedom House and into the lady's house next door, by the way, arresting people.

My complaint is this, you know, that I think in a way 22 || in Milwaukee we have the last test demonstrations of a nonviolent tactic. H. Rap Brown I see in East St. Louis told people to stop singing and stop marching, stop demonstrating, and go 25 home and get a gun, and his reason for saying this is simply that

13

15

17

19

20 21

he has lost faith in marching and in demonstrating.

This is a constructive way of social protest. grievances and the anger of the black community are not only justified, but this anger is good. It is a sign of life. It has to be channeled into a constructive pattern of social action. I agree with Mr. Sanders here when he says he would sooner go to that brink, you know, of violence or -- in the sense of bringing life into the black community because unless a man rebels against the secondary status in society, that man is dead. As Malcolm X says, he needs a psychiatrist. Any man that isn't angry in the ghetto.

At any rate, this is our complaint. Right now we are fighting in Milwaukee for a fair housing bill. We are demonstrating and there is no doubt in my mind whatsoever that next week, when Mrs. Val Phillips presents here bill again, despite the fact that we have marched and this is a form of lobbying, it is the only form of lobbying the black man can afford, we don't have any money, they are again going to vote against a fair housing bill.

They are displacing a thousand black families in the ghetto through an urban development project. There is no place for the black families to go. The discrimination even on the fringe areas is that great. We need territorial expansion, and what it is going to do in reality is increase the tension because it is going to increase population density.

11 12

16

18

25 K Street, N.E., Wash

ashington, D.C. 20002

I just want to say one thing more, and that is with regard to employment. Do you know there is a plant in Milwaukee that receives Federal funds, Federal contracts. It is smack in the middle of the black community, and every day it is rather interesting to watch this army of white people come into the black community, work in this plant all day, and every night this

army of white people leave this plant in the black community.

It receives Federal contracts.

You know what that plant did when that neighborhood had a change from white to black? It took its employment office, which was right smack in the middle of the black community at this time, and moved it five miles away, from Teutonia Center, it moved it all the way up to 124th and Burli.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: What is the name of this company?

FATHER GROPPI: This company is Briggs & Stratton,

and I think one of the things this Committee is stop Federal

funds, educationwise, urban-developmentwise, Federal-contractwise,

with those companies and those cities that are promoting segregation.

This is all I have to say, gentlemen.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: The next speaker will be Mr. Chambers.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

, 16

17

18

24

REMARKS OF ERNIE W. CHAMBERS, OMAHA, NEBRASKA

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Mr. Chambers, were you born and raised in Omaha?

MR. CHAMBERS: Yes, I was.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: I wasn't quite certain of it. I just wanted to cover it properly here. And Mr. Chambers has been in the forefront of the militant civil rights demonstrations in Omaha.

Mr. Chambers.

MR. CHAMBERS: Thank you. As Father Groppi and Brother Sanders have pointed out, we have marched, we have cried, we have prayed, we have voted, we have petitioned, we have been good little boys and girls. We have gone out to Vietnam as doves and come back hawks. We have done every possible thing to make this white man recognize us as human beings, and he refuses.

He teaches us in school, as Father Groppi mentioned, about the American Revolution. Do you know that those people you teach me in school are patriots around Rhode Island burned a 21 British frigate because it was too active in cutting off what 22 they felt were their legitimate smuggling activities? 23 The Gaspe was the name of the British ship.

And do you know that those people who you teach me in 25 Virginis were patriots did not condemn it? They praised this

patriotic action, this blow struck for freedom?

George III was the king. The 13 American Colonies were British territories. They were extensions of the mother country, and therefore the purpose of Britain. A colony provides raw materials and then markets for the mother country.

You all know what colonialism is, and we know what it is in fact in America as black people.

You teach us that these colonies were not wrong when they spoke against George III and when Patrick Henry came out specifically against him and compared him to Caesar with his Brutus and somebody said "Treason" and he said, "If this is treason, make the most of it." Then I look at what you are trying to do to Rap Brown and Stokeley Carmichael, calling it sedition and treason and saying if there isn't a law against them, there should be, and then you want to turn around and tell the world that these men couldn't speak like this if they had freedom of speech. If they lived in Russia, in fact, what would happen to them. Yet what you are saying when you are saying a law should be passed against these men, is that Russia in fact has the right idea, and you better catch up with Russia and pass a law against these men so they cannot tell the truth.

Then there is a Freedom School in Tennesse which you want to say is teaching hatred because it tells black people that your ancestors brought us over on the good ship Jesus. You raped our women, you mutilated our men. You took away our

5

6 7

8

10

9

11 12

14

13

16

17

15

18

19

20

21

22 23

25

25 K Street, N.E., Wasl

dignity and our manhood. Any vestige of a culture, religion, or language you took away from us.

You can understand why jews who were burned by the Nazis hate Germans, but you can't understand why black people who have been systematically murdered by the government and its agents, by private citizens, by the police department, you can't understand why they hate white people.

And you know what you want to do? And again we are learning all this in school about how you reacted to the way people have done you, because in your background and history you have a revolution of which you are very proud. July 4th you celebrate as Independence Day because you stood up against the British Empire and told them to go to hell. Your ancestors committed treason and you celebrate it now, and you were not treated nearly as badly as black people in this country.

As Malcolm X said, we are catching more hell than Patrick Henry ever saw or thought of. He wouldn't have been able to take it. You can understand Patrick Henry and make a hero out of him to me in school, but then you are going to turn around and condemn us when we use peaceable methods like Father Groppi and other individuals to get the rights that your Constitution promised us.

I didn't say being born or naturalized in this country was enough to make me a citizen. You said it. The Bill of Rights is yours. The civil rights bills are your bills of

3

6

7

9

10

11

13

16

17

15

18

20

22

2324

25

rights. When the government itself violates the law, it brings the whole law into contempt.

A policeman is an object of contempt. A policeman is a paid and hired murderer and you never find the policeman guilty of a crime no matter what violence he commits against a black person.

In Detroit you were shooting snipers, so you mounted a 50 caliber machine gun on a tank and shot into an apartment and killed a four-year-old sniper.

FATHER GROPPI: That is right.

MR. CHAMBERS: Yet you have the Mafia setting up headquarters outside of Cicero, Illinois, where the black people are not good enough to live, and taking out a charter of incorporation in Delaware, and you don't bring tanks and machine guns against the Mafia.

The Justice Department leases some of its offices from the Mafia. And you want to talk about respectable law.

In history they teach me how great Teddy Roosevelt was, yet when he wanted a certain canal built, and he didn't have the authority based on the way the laws are constructed in this country, you know what Teddy Roosevelt said? Damn the law. Build the canal.

They taught me that in a white school. And they taught me that Thomas Jefferson was a hero and a patriot because he wrote, all men are created equal. And Thomas Jefferson was a

6

8

10

11

slaveholder. And you want to teach my child that this man who would have enslaved him had he been alive then is a hero for him?

Patrick Henry, who talked about freedom being so great that he would rather take death than enslavement was a slaveholder himself.

Then George Washington, the President, first one of this country, first in war, first in peace, first in the hearts of his countrymen, was a slaveholder. And you want to teach my child that these are great men.

And then Abraham Lincoln, one of the most pious hypocrites of all time, and you can read from his own words where he said he had doubts about whether black men were as well endowed as the white people. Here is the only thing he would grant the black men, the right to eat the food that his hands produced. He was against slavery morally, but he said since he was the President officially there is nothing he can do except what will benefit the Union. And his wanting to do what benefited the Union prevented him from carrying out what he stated many times he felt was his moral responsibility, and then you want to tell me about morality operating in this country, the last stronghold of freedom. The free world, the Statue of Liberty, give me your tired, your poor, your hungry, those yearning to be free, and a black man born in this country who $_{24}$ | fought in every conflict that this country ever had, that this country ever had, the first blood spilled from the body of

15

17

16

19

Crispus Atticus during the American Revolution.

Now, I want to draw a parallel between what happened at the so-called Boston Massacre on Boston Common and what we do now. Here was Captain Preston with a detachment of British soldiers, and they had a right to be there because this was a colony, but the colonists felt oppressed, because Boston was being occupied by a foreign force. So some of the citizens got together. They didn't just sing We Shall Overcome. They didn't ask the soldier, can we sit down here and pray to our God? They got slabs of stone and snowballs and clubs and attacked the soldiers, and when the soldiers fired into the crowd and killed seven people, the Americans called it a massacre, and they say that was a great patriotic action by those people. Yet black people doing ordinary, reasonable, peaceful things in this country are attacked by the police and the police are praised for it. And you talk about giving the police more money and more power. You have got them walking arsenals now -- pistols, guns, pistols, clubs, saps, some of them carry knives, cattle prods, the new tear gas canister, high-powered rifles. They will be giving them hand grenades. They can call in tanks with 50 caliber machine guns. In the United States of America in 1967, when you are raising hell in Vietnam killing people, and then you can't straighten out what is happening in this country and you wonder why I would tell a black boy, Don't go fight for this 25 | racist country, and it is a racist country. They use the term

6

7

8

10

9

16

17

20

"nigger" on the floor of Congress, and look at Senator Dodd, good old Christian Senator Dodd, and then old black Adam Clayton Powell. Dodd had more charges against him than you can shake a stick at, and in black and white from his own documents what he had done, and you people sat around debating whether we are going to censure him or whether we are to reprimand him. You didn't talk about taking away his seniority. You didn't talk about unseating him. None of these things.

Then here is Adam Clayton Powell where the charges are very nebulous and uncertain, but the real problem is that he was a black man with too much power, and he was uppity and he acted just like you have always acted. He arrogantly smoked his cigar which you couldn't stand. He said, Yeah, I live high on the hog, just like you, and you couldn't stand that. He took junkets just like you and you couldn't stand that. So you kick him out and say he is a bad man for us.

Then we look at Senator Dodd. And you know what Dodd said? I'm sorry I'm the first one, but the reason I am is they haven't checked all of your files.

The Long in the Senate stood and said, He is here and we're not because they exposed what is in his files. Implying that every Senator is a thief. Every Senator misuses public funds, and then the same scoundrels are going to get up and talk to me about lawlessness in the streets and far more lawlessness 25 is perpetrated in the halls of Congress than anywhere else.

5

1

6

9

8

10 11

12

13

WARD & PAUL

16

15

14

17 18

19 20

22

24 25

And do you know why I think they voted against that rat control bill? It fits right in with what Senator Long said. The first victims may have been sitting in the Senate chambers. That is where the rats are.

But then let's make it literal rats. They fear black people more than they do the Bubonic plague and other diseases that rats carry, because you wouldn't appropriate \$40 million to control rats, but you will appropriate all kinds of money to give the National Guard increased training in how to wipe us out, and it is a funny thing that in all these so-called riots the police and the National Guard kill far more people than the so-called rioters.

And as for the sniping, don't you believe that. If all of you were sitting in this room I could just shoot at random and I would hit somebody. Why are no cops killed? They ought to be killed. I think the cops should be killed. I believe the National Guard should be fought like they are telling us we should fight in Vietnam.

When a man comes into my community and he is going to endanger the life of my wife and my children, he should die, and if it is within my power, I will kill him. We are tired of sitting around with white people and saying we have to die for what we believe. We have been dying ever since we have been in this country for what you believe and what you have taught

1

10

11

9

12

13

14 15

17

18 19

21

20

You know what you are going to tell your kid? George Washington, Patrick Henry, the great patriots, Benjamin Franklin discovered that lightning and electricity are synonymous. Everybody who ever did anything is white.

Here is what you are going to give my little kid. I am going to send him to school and teach him to respect authority. So here is a cracker teacher standing in front of my child making him listen to Little Black Sambo. See, that is the image that the school gives him when he is young to teach him his place. A caricature, wearing outlandish clothing that even the animals in the forest don't want to wear. His name is Sambo. His mother's name is Mumbo. And his father's name is Jumbo.

What are you telling him about family ties in America? That child does not have the same last name as either one of his parents. Since his parents have different last names, they are not even married.

All right. So he goes through the caricature like I did when I was a small child in grade school, and I don't forget these things. I wasn't born from the womb with the attitudes I have now. They were put in me by crackers.

I sat through Little Black Sambo, and since I was the only black face in the room, I became Little Black Sambo. And if my parents had taught me bad names to call the little cracker kids, and I use that term on purpose to try to get the message across to you, you don't like it. Well, how do you think we

5

7 8

16

Đ

2 3

feel when an adult is going to take our child whom -- we teach our child to respect that adult and give these little white kids bad names to call him? Why don't you have Little Cracker Bohunk, Little Cracker Dago, Little Cracker Kike? You can't stand that. But yet you are going to take our little black children and expose them to this kind of ridicule, then don't understand why we don't like it.

All right. He gets a little older, so he can't be Little Black Sambo because he is too old for that. So you turn to good old Mark Twain, one of your great writers, and he grows from Little Black Sambo into Nigger Jim, and these white kids read this stuff and they laugh at him and he has got to sit there and take it. He is required to attend these schools by law, and this is what he gets.

All right. After he is Nigger Jim, he goes to high school and reads Emperor Jones, written by Eugene O'Neill, who they are taught is a great playwright. And not only do they have to read it, quietly, and master it, they have got to come to school and discuss orally about the bush niggers. But still nothing about kikes. And nothing about dagos and spiks, and wetbacks and bohunks and wops.

And then after he has passed through this degrading, these degrading ages of the black man, and they have whipped the spirit out of him, they have made him feel he is not fit to walk the earth and he always has to apologize to you for being

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2001

3

6

5

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

11

WARD & PAUL

(6)

19 21

22

23

here, then they crown. They say, I am going to tell you what your grand-daddy had been, what your daddy had been, whatyou are going to be, and that is Old Black Joe. And you know how Old Black Joe comes? With his head hanging low.

You tell us what you want to do to us, and this is the educational process which our children go through, and you wonder why they don't want to sit up in a school. And there is brutality in Omaha schools. A junior high school teacher named Dallas Hemingway had beaten, kicked, and cut little black children. We took these children with their parents to the Mayor's office. He would take no action.

So in conjunction with his Human Relations Board Director, a man named Homer Floyd, I think one of the sharpest human relations men in the country, he is now in Topeka, I believe, head of the Kansas Human Relations Commission, went to the office of the City Prosecutor with these parents who wanted to bring an action on behalf of their children and show the injuries, and there was a doctor named Johnson who photographed the injury and was willing to testify in court as to the extent of it and the treatment he gave, and you know the City Prosecutor refused to accept it and would not let them file the charge?

We got two lawyers, one's name was John Miller, other Leo Eisenstadt -- I mention the names because they are influential in Omaha -- they contacted various members of the

10

16

14

17

19

25

Omaha Board of Education, and we sent copies of the complaint to each individual member of the Board, to each individual member of the City Council, the Mayor, the Safety Director, the Chief of Police, and all of the so-called cracker agencies that are interested in teaching us about law and order and decency and democracy and respect, and not a bit of action was taken. man was promoted from junior high to high school and he now teaches in South High School.

What do you think of that? A teacher named Borschinger wrote "fuck" on a piece of paper and showed it to my little sister who was in the fifth grade. When she told me I went straight over there and I stood in his room and I told him if he looks wrong I'd beat his brains out, and I meant it.

So I went to the principal and told him the same thing, that if he didn't do something about moving that man, I was going to move him out of that classroom physically myself.

The man is gone, but do you know what the principal wanted to do first? Put my little sister in a different fifth grade. I said, how about those other children, man? You miss the point. This monkey shouldn't be in the schools at all. So he said, then, we will put him over in a different fifth grade. I said, better get him out of the school. So he's gone.

At Horace Mann, not two months ago, my nephew -- the teacher didn't know he was my nephew. They don't bother with my nephew or the little children who are friends of mine.

6

7 8

10

11

9

12 13

14

15 16

18 19

20

17

25

didn't know because my sister's last name is different from mine, naturally, because she is married. His name is Russ. She hit him on the side of the head with a paddle and broke the skin. I went up there and I said, you're going to get rid of her or I'm going to do the same thing she did to my nephew. And they tried to defend her again. But she's gone.

Why do we have to take each individual case of brutality and handle it personally like this? The school system is terrible. It is rotten. They have incompetent teachers. There is discrimination in the placement of teachers and the placement of pupils. They spent \$5 million to just complete a white high school and arguing about whether they should have a planetarium in it, yet in Technical High, where most of the Negroes go, they can't even get blackboards, and again I had to go personally to the Board of Education and tell them they had better put some blackboards in there or some of these other schools that have got them are not going to have them, but Tech is going to have everything they need.

Then the blackboards found their way over there.

Some books that my little boy didn't have in his classroom this semester came there only after I made a personal visit to the school, and here was water leaking through the roof, buckets all over, and I have pictures of it with me, running through the light fixtures, dripping in the cafeteria onto the table where sandwiches were being made. Then when I

6

8

9

11

13

16

18

22

went over there the principal, instead of wanting to correct this, wanted to know why in the hell they didn't come to get him and let him know I was in there. So I tell them, you ask him why in the hell he doesn't come see me. He knows where I am.

And, by the way, I don't pick on people smaller than He is about 6'4" and weighs 260 pounds. And I call him the Jolly White Giant, and I told him and the Superintendent of Schools he had better muzzle this white ox before I slaughter him.

And you know why I don't mind telling you this stuff? Because you put us in jail for nothing. This man told you what causes you have for putting black people in jail. If I go to jail it is going to be something, not like the last time about a year ago when I was standing on the barber shop step where I work, and I looked at a cracker cop and went to jail for interfering with an officer and disturbing the peace, and I have a transcript of the trial with me, because you don't like to believe what we tell you. And then you want us to respect the police, help your police fight crime. To do that we have to fight the police because they, with Congress, are the greatest perpetrators of crime in this country.

You know what they are mad about in Supreme Court decisions now? They are mad because it says you have to respect the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution and other amendments that guarantee the freedoms of people in a so-called democratic

5

10

17

Washington, D.C. 20002 25 K Street, N.E.,

1

2

7

8

6

9

11

12

10

13 14

16

17

15

18

19

20

21

society.

We have to get together and fight the police, and you know when I believe that saying We Shall Overcome is an effective way to fight the police? When I see you send your Marines and your Airmen and your Infantrymen into Vietnam led by the Mormon Tabernacle Choir and then make a landing on the beach singing We Shall Overcome, and fighting them with prayer books.

You know that is not going to work there. It hasn't worked anywhere. And we are going to fight you people like you fight us.

And don't say that I am revealing too much, because if something happens to me there are other people who will come up. They killed Malcom and produced a Stokeley and a Rap. You kill Rap, he will multiply. You kill Stokeley, he will multiply

Now, you don't know me, so maybe you don't want to kill me. You might just want me in jail. But you get me off the same and I'll multiply, because each time you handle one of us in this way you show what you are and you show the way you have to be dealt with.

There are a couple of specific things I want to mention, and then I am going to stop because I don't want to take up the time. But there is discrimination in housing in Omaha. 24 | he can afford to, anywhere he wants to. Bob Boozer plays professional football -- I don't know if any of you know him. I

6

8

11

15

18

20

24

4

7

9 10

12

14

16 17

21 23

25

think he plays with the Chicago Bulls now -- and he wanted to buy a house and they refused to sell him a lot on which he can build himself a house, and he made more money than any cracker in that neighborhood. So the Mayor was caught here in a vice, because here he is talking about buying -- a Negro being able to buy a house anywhere he wants to in the city, then he goes to the Nebraska Legislature to support an open housing law. Why? If we can buy wherever we want to.

By the way, before the Legislature killed open housing, they passed -- they authorized the Governor to use \$500,000 to put down riots as they call them in our area. We can't buy a house. They refused to pass the legislation necessary to help relieve these tensions, but they will pass, they will authorize this man \$500,000, and he made some threats about his little 1800-man National Guard is going to come into our community and do something to us.

When they come, something is going to be waiting for them. And it is not singing We Shall Overcome. And it is not playing these little footsy games we have been playing all these years.

And let me tell you one other thing about the Omaha police setup, and it comes right from this open housing thing I mentioned in the Legislature. The paid representative of the Police Union is also in the Legislature, and he voted against open housing. And yet he is still paid by the Omaha Police

ED & PAUL

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002

(7)

Union. His name is Bill Bloom.

When he did this and the officials refused to take action, then the 17 Negro officers withdrew from the Police Union, and they brought forth other complaints which we had brought against the Police Department two years ago, when I was co-chairman of the Police-Community Relations Council.

We have exhausted every means of getting redress and it has not come. They murdered a black boy a year ago named Eugene Nesbitt. He was against a fence. The cop was supposed to be chasing him. His car — the tires had been shot off. His car hit the fence and a cop named Metula came about nine feet behind him with a shotgun and shot him in his back. And before the boy's body was cold, the Safety Director came out and said the shooting is regrettable, but it is justified, and there was no inquest. There was no autopsy. The cop was not suspended pending an investigation. Nothing.

In March of this year a 5'2" Negro youth was detained in the county jail. Five-feet-two.

The following morning -- there was a door frame six and a half feet high from which he was found hanging with his belt, supposedly. There was nothing for him to stand on. Yet a five-foot-two-inch youth committed suicide. And again there was no investigation but a whitewash.

We are getting tired of having our people killed. You have a national Teacher Corps in Omaha, and some interns were

dropped from it because they claimed not to have the money. You know which interns were dropped? Two Negroes. And the program is supposed to function in the Negro neighborhood.

If those Negroes don't get back into the Teacher Corps, you are not going to have a Teacher Corps operational in Omaha. And I'm telling you that, too. And I'm going to do everything in my power to keep that Teacher Corps out of our area. And if they send them in anyway, they send these young whites in at their own peril.

I have taken a long time, and I guess that is just about everything I'll say for now.

One other thing. We have a mayor who is being consulted by Mr. Weaver on a lot of things because this mayor talked in behalf of some legislation Mr. Weaver wanted in Housing and Urban Development.

Now, this mayor is on the Board of Directors of what is known as Good Neighbor Homes. There is a Negro church with an Uncle Tom chicken eatin' preacher for the pastor who is fronting for the mayor's corporation, and they are the sponsoring agency. Yet the mayor is on the Board of Directors. The mayor's personal lawyer, Shafton, represents this group and he is making that Federal money that is put up for lawyers' fees. It is 221(d)(3) housing. And this project was built in an area that is already overcrowded. The schools are overcrowded. And it is supposed to be for low-income people, yet the rent starts

8

11

14

15

18

25 K Street, N.E., W

25

at \$115 a month. That is one of the mayor's interests.

He is in charge of what is known as the Omaha Redevelopment Corporation, and I have these things here if you want to see them afterwards. They have about 45 houses in the ghetto which they have been buying up, his corporation. Now they have got some money under a Federal program which is designed to help code enforcement, bring these houses up to standard.

Now, at first they are tricky. They went into South Omaha and used some of this Federal money, then they went into the fringe of the Negro area to use some of this money, and then the third time, it is called Project Pride, they went right into the area where between 30 and 33 of the mayor's corporation houses are located and \$40,000 in Federal funds is being used there.

He asked me to review his Model Cities proposal because he needed what he called a grass roots analysis, but what he really needed was somebody who could make a hodge-podge look legitimate. But I am going to give him just what that thing deserves. It is a justification for violent revolution in Omaha by black people.

We are late. If you read the admissions of the City 22 of Omaha's applications, you wonder why we Uncle Tom, handkerchief-head Negroes in Omaha haven't burned that city to the ground. This includes city hall and everything else.

They admit that they don't give us the social services.

7 8

9

11

12

10

15 16

17

19

22

23

25

We don't get the welfare attention. The buses don't give adequate service. The city itself doesn't clean the streets. There is inadequate garbage disposal. The police are poorly trained. They have bad anti-Negro attitudes. All of this is presented. And you know why he did it? Because of the promise of the possibilities of getting some Federal dollars made him admit crimes and flaws and shortcomings in the city which our considerations of morality never could.

These things we have been trying to bring to their attention for years and they won't acknowledge it.

The Federal Government said, if you can show you have the imagination and you understand the causes of the problems of the core cities, you can get some money. He laid it all out, and there it is. And this is what I come from in Omaha, Nebraska.

You had better be glad -- you see, some people there call me militant. How can you call me militant when in view of all of these things I have mentioned to you I haven't started a riot. I haven't burned a building. I haven't killed a cop.

You are looking at somebody who is more rational than any of you, or some of you, because some of you support the war in Vietnam but you wouldn't support us if we burned down Omaha.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chambers.

The next witness is Mr. Piri Thomas of New York City. Mr. Thomas has gained considerable attention for his writings and lectures on life in Spanish Harlem. He has published an

5

6

7

9

10 - 11

15

25

autobiography of his early life in Harlem entitled "Down These Mean Streets", is presently working on a second book.

He has also written a fine recent article in the New York Times Magazine on the summer riots in Spanish Harlem. Mr. Thomas.

STATEMENT OF PIRI THOMAS, NEW YORK CITY

MR. THOMAS: You know, Brothers, I have listened, listened real, real nitty-gritty, and as I listened, I asked myself a question, a question that I have asked many times.

Is this America? The land of democracy? Freedom? I wrote a book called "Down These Mean Streets", and one excerpt in it, I say, "White man, what is your value on skin? A pair of blue eyes and a pink ass hole? Don't you know dark skin is a pride, too?"

I am from a place called a barrio in Spanish Harlem where we are for real. Central Harlem. These so-called disorders that erupted, you know, in my Spanish Harlem, the barrio, were not just a sort of spontaneous event that came up out of nowhere, just a happening. It was an ugly head of despair, frustration, exploitation, hot and cold running cockroaches and king-sized rats, and crummy tenements and slum houses. It was an explosion from a long-burning fuse to a bundle of dynamite that had been slowly burning since I was a kid 24 Harlem in the early thirties.

My father is a black man. My mother is a Puerto Rican

9 10

11 12

15

16

17

19

21

23

24

25 K Street, N.E

woman. And between the two of them, they developed seven sons and one daughter. There are only two sons besides myself -- I am the oldest -- and my two brothers, Ray and Frankie, are soldiers for this great America of ours. They hadn't seen each other in a long time, and about a year ago, after a bloody battle in Vietnam, they ran into each other. I wonder what their feelings are.

Puerto Ricans, you know -- we are supposed to be the "johnny-come-latelies." We are supposed to be the gentle, unoffensive, happy, intelligent, hard-working Puerto Ricans from a little island, smaller than our own Long Island here in New York, and you know, the majority of us suffer from a complex of "me no speaka English" and let me tell you, baby, it was tough because we were surrounded on all sides by different ethnic groups. In most instances we were not welcome because there was so much poverty in Spanish Harlem, who needed more poor people?

All right. So our fathers and mothers came to a barrio -- a barrio is a place -- determined to survive by rolling up their sleeves and working when there were jobs available, and then to create a better life for their children.

You know, to give a feeling of this, I would like to read from a play I have written, "Ladies and Misters, What is a Man?"

"Ladies and Misters, Puerto Ricans poured into Nova, 25 New York, their eyes are clogged up with better living days. You

6 7

8

10

12

13

15

16

18

1.9

21

22

25

(8)

understand, of course, that mostly poor Puerto Ricans leave the island, dem dat's got bread come for a tour, take a fast look and make a fast split for the rolling hills of the green islands, But the poor Puerto Ricans, man, they hit the scene like all that jazz or a million plates of rice and beans, green bananas and codfish, is a thing of the past. We are working for so little a day in a factory or sugar cane field ain't no more."

I am not going to read from this. I am going to read from this.

I got a brother that some day I hope to find. this book his name is Bru.. Until the day that I find him again I'm not going to even tell his real name, but we went down South. I wanted to see what was happening. Oh, yeah, man, forget it.

But Bru told me one time, you know, we got real angry at each other, you know, and he said, you know, we had a big argument, you know. I tried to dig myself -- I figured I should try to get it back on a joke level. What the hell was I trying to put down? Was I trying to tell Bru I was better than he is because he is only black and I am a Puerto Rican dark skin? Like those people cut trees at a white man's whim? And whoever heard of a Puerto Rican getting hung? So he jumped. And Bru said, everybody got some kind of pain going on inside him. I know you're a little fucked up with some kind of hate called white, that special kind with the no Mister in front of it.

10

11

13 |

15

16

17

20

Dig it man, say it like it is out loud, like you hate all paddies. Just that fuckin' color, Bru, I said, bitterly, just their color. They're damn clean to blast out "My Country 'Tis of Thee, Sweet land of liberty, of thee I sing."

And now when I hear it played, I can't help feeling that it is only meant for paddies, whities. It is their national anthem, their sweet land of liberty. Yeah, I know, Bruce said, like it says, that all men are created equal with certain deniable rights, if they are not paddies, you know, whites.

We want to thank you, Mr. Lincoln, sir. Us blood folks got through that whole Civil War without fear, but we all had one old hell of a time still trying to get through that damn reconstruction.

You know the old saying, if you're white, that's all right, and if you're black, that's dead.

I appeared on the Bilingual Educational Act. Senator Kennedy invited me. And I read from "Sounds From a Street Kid" of a feeling of what it is to live in a ghetto.

If there is a definite way for a youngster to express 21 | himself to an adult -- and I am talking about adults, I mean power structure, you people who are here to represnt us, I don't think he has yet entirely found it. Even if his speech 24 | is articulate, his wants are not. He can only make the basic 25 overtures of asking to be understood.

8

12

10

14

17

16

18

19

21

20

23

24

25

My own background as a street kid -- incidentally, I am an ex-convict, served six years in Comstock between Sing Sing Prison and Comstock. Im an ex-drug addict. I am an ex-stickup man. Shot a cop. And got shot. And in prison I spent the first two years fighting my heart out to keep from being swallowed up by that society. And I wrote when I was in prison, I even got my high school diploma and I was very pleased that it didn't say prison diploma. It said New York University.

I spent six years and got out of prison and was rearrested right at the front gate. But I learned to use my mind and I said, when I had gotten shot, I said, "If I do not die, my life will be enchantment," because I come out of a cesspool and I am going to go back there and I'm going to put my arm down there and bring my people up, black, brown, blue, green, multi-colored men.

One thing I ain't got is political affiliations. My only political party is humanity, dignity. And I wrote and I said, I came into this prison a second-class citizen. Now that I'm here I'm supposed to be a third-class citizen. And when they release me someday, I'm going to be a fourth-class citizen.

Later for that, Baby. When I get out I'm going to be a first-class citizen because that's my right.

Well, anyway, this a mochacho street kid. his own language, like he says, nitty-gritty. Man, like I'm standing here and there ain't nothin happening. Dig it, man,

what's in this here world for me, except I gotta give, give, give. I'm tired of being a half-assed nothin'. I've come into this stone world of streets, with all its living, laughing, crying and dying. A world full of back yards, roof tops and street sets, all kinds of racesof people and acts, of hustlers and rackets, drugs and eyedroppers. A world of those who is and those who ain't.

I'm looking at me and no matter how I set my face, hard rock or soft sullen, I still feel the me inside rumbling low and crazy like, like I'm mad at something and don't know what it is. Damn it, it's the craps of living every day afraid and not digging what's in tomorrow.

What's the good of living in a present that got no future, no nothin', unless I make something. I fell into this life without no say and I'll be a mother-jumper if I live it without having nothin' to say.

I'm tired of feeling like the invisible cat in the flick, walking all over the place and the only time anybody looks at me is to say, "Get out of the way, kid." I know this world is on a hustle stick and everybody's out to make a buck. This I can dig cause it's the same here on the street. I gotta hustle too, and the only way to make it is on a hard kick. I dig that copping (getting) is the main bit and having is the main rep. You see, I'm really trying to understand and see where the Olders are at.

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

Right now, I'm standing on my street corner, looking out at your blippy world full of Pros, you know, professionals. At all you people what made it a success and be great, a real bunch of killer-dillers. I know about you. I've gone to the big school too. I've dug how to live too. Tell me, do you dig my "royal ass kick"? Are you willing to learn about me and what makes me click? Well, let me run it to you nice and easy.

Could you sense a coming danger, as on a bop you go? Can you feel the bond of belonging when with your boys you go down fighting? A rumbling of bravery, of pure corazon (pure heart), and guts to the nth degree? Have you ever punched a guy in the mouth with garbage can handle? Have you ever spit blood from jammed-up lips? Have you ever felt the pain from a kick in the balls? Have you ever chased in victory in a gang fight supreme or run to tasteless defeat with all the heart you can muster?

Tell me, just tell me, Man, did you ever make out in darkened hallways with wet kisses and fumbling hands? ever smother a frightened girl's rejections and force a love from her? Did you ever fill your dreams with magic at what you wanted to be and cursed the bitching mornings for dragging you back on the scene? Did you ever smoke the blast of reefers and lose your freaking mind? Did you ever worry about anything at all, like a feeling of no belonging? Did you ever lover-dubber

5

6

8

9

11

10

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

21

past this way?

Did you ever stand on street corners and look the other way, at the world of muchos ricos (the many rich) and think, I ain't got a damn. Did you ever count the garbage that flowed down dirty streets, or dug the back yards who in their glory were a garbage dumps dream? Did you ever stand on rooftops and watch night time cover the bad below? Did you ever put your hand around your throat and feel your pulse beat say, "I do belong and there's not gonna be nobody can tell me I'm wrong."

Say, did you ever mess with the hard stuff, cocain, heroin? Did you ever blow pot? Have you ever filled your nose with the wild kick it brought or pushed a hypo, a needle full of the poison, and felt the sharp dull burning as it ate away your brain? Did you ever feel the down gone high as the drug did take effect? And you felt all your hearnings become sleepy memories and reality become illusion, and you were what you wanted to be?

Did you ever stand small and a little quiet-like and dug your moms and pops fight for lack of money to push off the Did you ever stand with outstretched hands and lack of wants? cop a plea from life and watch your mom's pride on bended knees, ask a Welfare Investigator for the needy welfare check, while you stood there getting from nothing and resenting it just the same? Did you ever feel the thunder of being thrown out for lack of

(9) g

2

5

7

8

6

9

11

12

10

13

15 16

14

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

money to pay the rent, or walk in scared darkness, the light bill still unpaid, or cook on canned heat for a bunch of hungry kids, no hiss, no gas -- unpaid?

Did you ever sneak into the movies and dig a crazy set where everybody's made it on that wide-wild screen? They ride in long down shorts, T-Birds, Continentals, Caddies, and such "viva smoothies", the vines, the clothes like you never ever saw. And "oh man", did you ever then go out of that world and sit on hard stoops and feel such cool hate and ask yourself, "Why man?" Why this gotta be for me? The hell with school. I'm going to make that money fast.

I was a kid in Harlem and I had feelings that I wanted to be an architect, and I had a Jewish teacher, and it was in Harlem High, and I found a book on colleges, and I said, this is where I want to go, you know, and so I showed him the college and it was in a place called Georgia. And so the Jewish teacher looked at me, you know, and he was trying to break it to me real nice and easy, you know, like, well, you know, Petey, there are, you know, some places I can't go and some places that you can't go, you know.

You tell this to a young kid. What do you mean? I want to study, I want to be somebody. I said, what do you mean He said, well, there is a prejudice, you know. I said, what is that? You know, I mean, what is that?

The first time a man called me a nigger I ran and got

Washington, D.C. 20002

2

me a dictionary and found out what nigger meant. Niggardly, singy. I found out the right term for it and ran right back to him and said, Hey, you are a nigger. You are stingy. You are niggardly.

I got real angry one time. It was down South and Bru saved my life. We were walking through a white part of town, and a deputy sheriff came out of an alley. And he said, where re you goin, and I turned around, you know, I said, I'm going back to my ship, and Bru opened up his mouth and Bru spoke because Bru is from Mobile, Alabama, and he said, oh, you're one of our niggers, but you're one of them northern niggers, you know. And Bru said, I ain't nobody's nigger. And I said, me too. And proceeded to beat the living shit out of him. I mean it. Because he was going to beat the living shit out of us.

You can't put a man up against a wall and expect him to react as a human being. You can't expect people to live in conditions that are abnormal, in ghettos where out in the streets in the summer it is 90 degrees out there and when you crawl back into that hole that you call a home, it is 120 degrees. You can't expect people to live with their hands stretched out. We don't want charity. We want the god damn chance that belongs to all of us. This is our right as human beings.

Every one of you people here have children or grandchildren, and when you go home, they smile and say, daddy, or

2

5

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

 $\sqrt{13}$

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

grandpa, or mommy, or grandma. Well, we got them too.

MR. CHAMBERS: Tell 'em. That's right.

MR. THOMAS: We've got them, too, and when they come up, open up that door, poppy, man, a big smile on my little girl Swndy's face and my son Ricardo. Well, man, look, we're not asking to take over this country. We're just asking to share it. You give us the name Americans. You preach throughout the whole world democracy. You say this is the land of freedom. "Oh, say can you see."

Yes. My country 'tis of thee, sweet land of liberty." For whom? For a few? There are Indians starving over there, Navajos, Mexicans that are being treated like dirt, black men, brown men, Puerto Ricans, white men.

What is this, the old adage of divide and conquer? Sure. We cut loose from here and some of us, we go back to our ghettos and some of us go back to these beautiful apartments. Look, one of these days all of us are going to make a transition. We are all going to die. From time immemorial we have tried war, and war has not brought anything except the total destruction of mankind, and this is not going to be any more wars now. This is going to be a total destruction, a total hatred.

You think the Civil War was bad? Oh, Baby, this is going to be real bad unless white man, brown man, black man, yellow man, get together and really wheel and deal.

1

υ

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

24

ARD & PAUL

Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

I'm going to end up with one thing. I wrote this, and
I would like to say it. I call this "Fruits of Dignity."

"What is the value of a man's essence of beliving What is the true reality of the cry, Freedom! Liberty!

How do I think of these things?

Because within myself, awake or perhaps half asleep

As I probe inside of myself, very, very deep.

"Freedom! The cry heard since the beginning of mankind

Written on the faces of men's monumental works that

were created out of faces carved on mountainsides
Of Pharoh's (sic) tombs with diamond and gold-crusted mummies

laid inside -

The forgotten immortality of sacrifice slaves of milleniums ago,
Who up to this present day we can hear the wailing agonies
Of millions upon millions who left their essence Their song of hope and cry of agony and those along the way,
unto this day.

"I am a poet, I am an ex-con-

19 | I am a painter, I am an ex-drug addict.

O I am an ex-gang leader, I am a writer--

I am a human being.

Ask me for my positive belief and I will tell you-humanity.

3 Ask me for my ambition and I will tell you beauty and

creativeness.

Ask me what is my golden fleece and I will tell you-harmony

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

and the brotherhood of man.

Ask me what is to be my reward and I will tell you-one world. "It is not so simple in a world torn apart by fear To stand anonymously by the sideline and have your cheap sense of victory,

Of either making an unheard of cheer or not an unseen sneer.

"I am against the assinine (sic) intellectual bore as much

as I am against the useless, uncreative, destructive war.

I have cried and I have tried.

But think not for one moment that I have not been afraid, Not for the physical fear that death will put his gentle hand upon me,

But afraid that I cannot live long enough to see the total world forever more free.

Love and understanding, brotherhood and harmony-

Brown man, white man, black man, red man, yellow man, multicolored man.

Humanity enjoying the fruits of dignity.

"Try well world to make this dream a reality.

Try well, try damn well or we all soon shall be

From the present to generations to come-in the

biblical proverbial hell.

"Come on, learn, don't burn.

Reap, don't sleep.

Give- and live. 25

"We have tried all this hatred, all these fears, all

25

"Every man dies, Every man cries.

So therefore why can't every human being take his choice,

For ever after of one world, For ever after.

Harmony, dignity, brotherhood, love
And a swinging sense of happy laughter."

I would like to end this in one thought. You people, and I am very glad you invited me, I am very glad to sit here with my brothers, are the people that have the power. Power is good if you use it for a positive force. You cannot expect our youngsters, our young people, to accept what our fathers and forefathers have accepted before.

I would wish, it if were possible, that for three months in the winter and three months in the summer you would trade places with us. OK?

So think about that and then come back and see what you do, because I felt very hurt, many of us, when they made big jokes about the rat bill. Real, real angry. And my sisterin-law, who is a very wonderful, gentle woman, said, I am a good woman in my heart but I wish that rats could bite their children so they would know how it feels.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Thomas.

Are there questions from the members of the Commission?

Father Groppi, I have been wondering, I don't know whether there is a Human Relations Commission in Milwaukee. Is

1

3

4

7

8

9

10 11

13

14

15

12

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

there any means of dialogue there between the community and the city fathers?

By the way, I want to say I have known Val Phillips for years and have great admiration for this councilman there in Milwaukee. I also have a great deal of admiration for the way she handled herself and the way she has stood up for what she thinks is right.

But I wonder if there are any Human Relations Commissions, any means of dialogue between the community and City Hall?

FATHER GROPPI: No. Val Phillips, whom you quoted, put it very wisely. The Mayor does not listen. You listen when he speaks.

For example, after the disturbance in the City of Milwaukee, he did not call in leaders of the black community to decide what should be done. He decided by himself and then called in people whom he wanted to meet with from the black community, not people who really represented the black community

There is no meaningful communication, and this I might say is not only true of the Mayor's office, but it is also true of the Chief of Police.

We have had a very difficult time communicating with nim. Even the Governor's Commission on Human Rights and the Milwaukee NAACP Youth Council and myself, who complain about police harassment said this, well, the Chief of Police won't

Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000

meet with us, which is the Governor's Commission on Human Rights, and, no, there isn't any meaningful communication.

We have confronted him a number of times as far as the policemen following us. We said what he was doing was constantly sticking a needle into the black community, and in particular the Youth Council, that some day there was going to be an explosion. Well, there was an explosion. But it is unbelievable the Chief of Police, for example, in the City of Milwaukee, talking about getting some type of a program to educate policemen in intergroup relations, and citing the Chief of Police in Wauwatosa, Chief Johnson, who gives policemen more pay if they will go on to school, and when they get their educational degree in police tactics and behavior, they get more pay.

Well, the answer of our Chief of Police, we are not in the educational business. We are in the police business.

There is no meaningful communication with the power structure in Milwaukee.

Just to give you one example of this, I mentioned earlier, talk about communication, my God, when we had a hearing on the fair housing bill in Milwaukee, what more could you do than bring the Episcopal Bishop, priests, clergymen, those who are supposed to know something about morality, indigenous black poor, white poor, rich white, black man who is middle class, educational professors, and tell of our problem and the need for fair housing legislation still is voted down 19 to 1.

Communication is nothing. I think what you have got to talk to in terms of, here, Governor Kerner, is in terms of power.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Isn't there a State fair housing law, open occupancy law?

FATHER GROPPI: There is a fair housing law, but what is it?

CHAIRMAN KERNER: I am not familiar with it, but I am under the impression there is a State law.

FATHER GROPPI: It has exempted itself to death, let's put it that way.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: I see. 65 percent to 70 percent of the housing --

FATHER GROPPI: Is not covered.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: What is it, one of these fourapartment type down to individual residency and ownership?

FATHER FLOOD: Any homeowner does not have to sell to black people any apartment under four, and we do not have apartment houses. We have flats in Milwaukee.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: You have pretty much of a home type community rather than an apartment community.

FATHER GROPPI: 75 percent of the black people in the City of Milwaukee are renters and poor. Unless we have a bill that covers --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: What is your density of population in the Negro community, let us say per room?

FATHER GROPPI: Per acre -- the 1960 Census, this is an old census, and even the man who made the census, Dr.

O'Reilly, at that time he was connected with the University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, said it was 82 people per acre, but even he admits that this is not the true picture, whereas in other areas of the city, it is 30 people per acre, but that is not counting the suburbs. We are having a population explosion in the City of Milwaukee. Over 50 percent of the black people in the City of Milwaukee are under the age of 21. Over 40 percent of the black people in the City of Milwaukee are under the age of 15. And only two percent are over the age of 65. So we're having a population explosion.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: What is your comparative unemployment the white, not black, but I say ghetto residents?

one-half times greater amongst black people, but you see, I question these statistics, and this article in Newsweek that came forth a number of months ago, they claim that what was it, something like 200,000 black men have dropped out of the statistic bracket completely. They have ceased to become even statistics, that the unemployment rate in reality is up as high as 15 to 20 percent amongst the black people. That is the depression rate. And so when you talk about statistics in employment, you know, I'm not too concerned about them. I work

in the black community and I see the number of black people unemployed. I know the kinds of jobs they are getting. They are
getting jobs that many black men who have pride will not take,
and I mean many members of the Commandos, for example, the
Milwaukee NAACP Youth Council, they refuse to take these jobs
simply because they are labeled nigger work and only black people
get jobs such as this, and they won't take them.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: May I ask a question of all of you. Are the people in the ghetto, because I agree with you, it is not just black, it is a mixture -- there is a poverty culture and this crosses all color lines. Are they seeking really to see, to live among the whites, or are they seeking a better place to live necessarily?

MR. CHAMBERS: Do you want to take turns?

FATHER GROPPI; Let me just say one thing with regard --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Let me confirm -- something was said earlier. I quite agree, the white community has got to be educated.

FATHER GROPPI: All right. Let me answer this in a couple of things. You see, the Mayor of the City of Milwaukee was against the city fair housing ordinance because, he said, all the whites were going to flee to the suburbs, and the city was going to become black.

Our answer to this was, let the whites go to hell for

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20

Phone (Area 202)

WARD & PAUL

it, N.E., Washington, D.C.

all we care.

MR. CHAMBERS: Amen.

if they go to the suburbs. We don't care if the entire city becomes black. But when they go to the suburbs, let them take their white gyp merchants with them and their liquor stores that are present in the black community, and we will build a fine community of our own. But what we need is territorial expansion. See, what we are looking for is the right of a man to move wherever he wants.

Now, a black man can move in the middle of white society. I prefer to live in a black community, and I can't understand why this man wants to move in white society. But if he does, I feel this is his right, and even though I may disagree, you know, and not want to move there myself, I personally would die for that man's right to live wherever he wants. Maybe he likes to live with white people, I don't know. This is his right.

But what he really wants, I think, is a decent home in a neighborhood where he can send his kids to a school where he is going to get a decent education, although I don't know where that is, because I think white people are being culturally deprived in white society because they are learning nothing about black people and black culture. For example, you know one of the aldermen recently came up against fair housing

legislation. You know what that man said? He said the white man has gained his status in the American society through blood, sweat and tears, and the Negro only attained his rights in society through blood, sweat and tears. Well, that man was culturally deprived. He knew nothing about the black man's contribution to America. For heaven's sake, this country was built on black blood, sweat, and tears.

What I am saying is, if this man wants to move out into white society because he wants a decent home in a neighborhood where perhaps he has got a little room to breathe and there are no rats around and it is close to his place of employment, fine, that is his business. I'll die for him to have that right to move there. But the black man is not necessarily looking to live with the white man. I don't think -- you know, Dr. Kenneth Clark comes forth and says, we will never know where the black man chooses to live until the intransigent and the bigoted attitude of the white community changes. Then we will be able to make a judgment, not until.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Mr. Chambers, I think you wanted to make some comment.

MR. CHAMBERS: Here is what I say, and I hope by now you recognize the fact that I didn't come here to play footsies with you, but tell you what is on my mind, because --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: That is why you are here.

MR. CHAMBERS: OK. Fine. And I feel I will never

1

6 7

9

8

11

12

10

13

15

16

14

17

19

18

20 21

22 23

24

25

have another opportunity because I look at the kind of people who are appointed to these commissions and let me know they are not interested in black people who are black and know they are black, whose mothers are black, whose fathers are black, and who have no doubts about what they are mixed with. Me, I'm mixed, with black and more black.

I read in the paper where a white man will get mad because he got a reduction in salary and come home and kill his wife and all of his children, and then go out and kill as many of the neighbors as he can. I don't want to live around white people. Here is the kind of Negroes they want, and to show you I'm not that kind, since this is the only kind they accept, I will never live around them.

If my wife has a master's and is working towards a doctorate, I have a doctorate, I might be a senator, I dress like him, walk, talk, act like him, I go to Vietnam a dove and come back, all of a sudden I see the President's point of view, my wife will take in a little washing and ironing for the neighbors and not charge them anything, we stay at home, we go to bed by nine o'clock, we don't have any parties, we keep our kids in the yard -- in the summertime I'll cut his grass and in the winter I'll shovel his snow, I'm a nice colored boy.

That is the kind of black men they want, the old house Negro, the updated sophisticated Uncle Tom.

Well, those of us whom the white people fear are going

25 K Street, N.E., Wash

3

 to move into their communities don't want to be around them.

It's like Groppi here said -- Father --

FATHER GROPPI: Call me Jim, Man. They call me Grope.

MR. CHAMBERS: OK. It's a decent house that is weather-tight in the wintertime, one that you can cool in the summer and keep warm in the winter. If there are vermin, you can keep more of them outside than inside. It just happens that the property, the choice is given to white people. When property was up for grabs in the beginning of this country, all the white man had to do was squat on it and drive a stake and it was his. When a black man would try to do this, he was being owned as property and laws prevented him from having it, so white people didn't get it through blood, sweat and tears. They got it through lying, cheating, stealing, and treachery.

And by the way, taking scalps, which they blame on the Indians, was done by white people. When they came here they were paid a bounty for bringing in Indian scalps. And the Indians did what the white people showed them, so anyway they tricked the Indians, they enslaved us. So now the only way we can get property is when white people get tired of it, and I think realtors call it the trickle-down process. These old rambling large houses are not what is wanted now. So if a white man is not going to be a slum lord, keep it, he has used it as much as he can there, then they will sell it to one of

22

23

24

25

25 K Street, N.E., Wa

1

us, and we are poor, and we cannot maintain it. So other people move in to help pay for this big old shabby house which should have been torn down in the first place.

So it trickles down to us, and when we get it, we get a bunch of nothing.

It is amusing to me how these committees are gotten together. The very man who we black people fear, you see, we don't feel Lyndon Johnson is our President. We feel that he was a racist in Texas and he is a racist in the White House.

Because I read when he was in Congress and the Civil Rights Act came up, he said this pernicious legislation I won't vote for and I hope it not ever comes before this Congress again.

Then his old pal, Bobby Baker, and it is a funny thing, he is out on \$5,000 for fraud, income tax evasion and probably perjury, and the bond is only \$5,000, yet some Muslims who were attacked in Omaha on the streets for selling their papers and defended themselves, and the cops tried to shoot them, are out on \$37,000 bond.

Then there is Mr. Walter Jenkins. But, see, these people are close to the President. He went to the hospital and got sick after he was sent there on a morals charge. Whenever one of these scoundrels gets caught, then het gets sick, see.

So J. Edgar Hoover sent him a bouquet of roses.

And speaking of J. Edgar Hoover, I have read that he would have to approve of the wife that one of his agents is going to marry, of the woman he is going to take for his wife. Then he is going to put out these phony statistics to frighten all of you timid white people anyway and tell you that \$27 billion is being spent on crime annually, but you know what he includes among that \$27 billion? The salaries that are paid to police officers, because he is saying their job is to fight crime, so since we have to pay them this salary, then that is a part of the crime budget.

Yet maybe ten to fifteen percent of his time is spent fighting crime. And then if he would really fight it, he would have to put his brothers in jail.

Prostitution can't exist in the neighborhood unless the cop knows about it. Gambling, narcotics. The police know these things. They know who is doing it. They know where it comes. In Omaha there is a drug, it is a cough syrup called Robitussin, and the kids drink it, and it is tearing them up, and the police know it and they know who is distributing it, but they won't touch him. They won't touch him.

But what I want to do, and I'm not vicious, I'm not going to sell any to any kids, but I want to see Robitussin get into the white high schools and into the white neighborhoods, and then they will become concerned.

And this is what some Negroes think of when they

move around white people. They're just like kidnaper or bank robber will take a hostage for their own protection. Having white people around might protect your kid. If he goes to school where white people go, they repair those leaks and they see that the furnace doesn't fail, and they'll see that it is ventilated, they will see that he has books and crayolas and pencils and paper. They will see that the teacher is not brutal and sexually maladjusted.

But when they find these kind of people in the white schools, they send them into ours.

Maybe this is the reason some black people move around whites, for the convenience and utilitarian purpose, but if there is a black man who moves around white people because he doesn't like his own, he wants to be white, we tell him, like Groppi tells his own who want to move out, he can go straight to hell, and if there is a God in heaven and he is just, that is where he is going to go. But since God is white, too, we know he is going to get the best of this world and the best of the next one also, because if he is not white, he is an Uncle Tom.

MR. SANDERS: I would respond to that question simply by saying that the mood has changed. I think any time before 1954 and possibly up until 963 we endorsed as a national policy integration. I simply think that was a white definition of Negro aspirations in America. Since 1963 and the Groppian

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

2

5

6

7

9

10

12

11

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

redefinition we are undergoing now of what is required, what it means to be a man, and I think which Ernie Chambers has elaborated very articulately, this irreversible trend, the Negro is now a man now, and that this can't be turned around and it is in no sense -- in no sense can we pretend that our, or go back to the pre-riot mentality and say that he is not a man. And now integration is superfluous, and what we are trying to do is maximize choices, maximize options, so that if anybody wants to go to Wall Street, they can go. If they are Negro, let him That is the point. If he wants to stay in the community, if he opts for the Negro community on a value judgment, he is making a preference that he would rather play his radio at ten decibels rather than five, that he would rather gig on Friday night and Saturday night than go to Hello Dolly, then he should go, and he should live in the community that he wants to.

Personally, and because I am -- I suppose I am a child of a gray world, in between black and white, that I can't condemn either choice, whether a man goes to the white world, to the one America, or if he goes to the other. I personally would rather choose, because I think, you know, the real battle at least in this last one-third of the 20th Century is in the black community, and that is my personal choice, but I wouldn't impose that on anybody else.

Secondly, this switch from integration to what you

1

5 6

8

9

10

7

11

12

1314

16

15

18

17

19

21

20

2223

2425

25 K Street, N.E.

call it any number of different things, quality segregation, of black community, or black community development, is the fact that it is not only a question of the Negro not wanting to live in middle-class white America. I think you will find it in your own hippie movements, and it is a disenchantment --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: As I say, this is not necessarily a color line at all.

MR. SANDERS: It is a disenchantment with the banalities of middle-class America and everything that Richard Stroud in that Christian Science article, "Mood of America", series, where he went and wrote 30 articles in the course of summer around the United States, and in it was a very dismal conclusion. Yesterday it was something like, you know, there is something, these are disjointed times, there is something wrong with America, and you can feel it in every little rural' town. You can feel it in every big city. And this is, you know, what I think Ernie and Father were talking about earlier, that this is essentially a white man's problem, and as far as housing and the flow of Negro residents between white and black communities, a lot of those factors, the disenchantment with white America, all of these things that Stroud talked about, seems to me to encourage and to speed up this flow back into the Negro community of Negroes who would otherwise go into the white community, and this redefinition that I mentioned earlier of integration and putting it in Negro terms, community

· 6 · 7

development, this sort of thing.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Mr. Thomas, maybe you would like to make some comments now that you speak so literally, so forcefully, and so well.

MR. THOMAS: Well, I was thinking about my brother here when he was talking about the Indians. And I had written down in the book, "Down These Mean Streets", the Indian fought the white man and died, and the black man yells about, smiled and multiplied. And I'm sort of glad he did, to come to a power now, to come to a majority.

My sister is married to a white man and they sold me a house in a place called Wyandanch, Long Island. And it was pretty run down, two houses, pretty run down. There were no violations on it at all. Pretty run down. And in prison I had learned brick masonry and the whole bit, so I started to work, and my wife was pregnant with my son, and mixed cement, and we built a chimney in the hope that I -- I was working in Harlem with kids and felt that I could not keep commuting back and forth, so I decided to sell the house.

Now, nobody wanted to buy that house. You know, none of the white community. This was a white community in which I was living. I was accepted because I was supposed to be a Puerto Rican, which is supposed to be one little step above the Negro, and this is what they do to us. They divide and conquer us. They say, well, you are not a black man, you are a

Puerto Rican. Oh, boy. Anyway, I put my house up for sale. It was in damn better shape than it was when I got it. I couldn't get nobody to buy it. So I went up -- you know, I went up to the -- Wyandanch is divided by a railroad track, you know. On the other side of the track my black brothers live. And so I went there and I said, I am going to sell the house. They said, fine. We are going to buy it. You know. Because they wanted to get into that neighborhood.

And I went to my sister and her husband, I said, I found a buyer for the house. Real great, you know. They said, oh, that is good, very good. Who is going to buy it? I said, Mr. So-and-So, who lives on So-and-So -- he says, you mean Negroes? I said, no. Human beings.

I didn't know until later that my own sister and her husband belonged to a committee called Committee for Improvement in Civic -- jazz, jazz, jazz, jazz, you know. And all of a sudden I found about 40 million, billion, trillion violations on my house. And they took me down to the city hall and violation this, violation that, violation this, violation that, you've got to fix this up before you can sell it, and so like a nice young trusting American, I tried my best to get it fixed up.

I got it fixed up, went to sell it again. Then there were threats to my black brother, that if he bought this house, this was going to happen to him, and so forth.

1

2

5 6

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

9

(12)

12

13 14

So I left the house to take care of business in Harlem, Spanish Harlem, and took my family back, and then came back to see if I couldn't arrange to sell the house. They had gotten into my house -- this was winter -- they had smashed in the windows, left all their dogs walking in there. just for lack of a better word -- what do you say, excrement, shit, you know, all over the floor. My water pipes busted. You know, busted the whole house up, you know.

And when I went and found out, you know, that my sister belonged to this committee, I was really a hurting cat. She chose to pick her world. I feel this about a human being. I speak this with a blood that is in my veins, that we don't want necessarily to live with the white man. We just want to live like him.

I got pretty sick and tired in Harlem where Uncle Toms and sellouts, they would come in and they would throw a sirloin steak to Central Harlem and throw a bone to Spanish Harlem, and get them to fighting against each other. Then go and throw a bone to Central Harlem, throw a sirloin steak to Spanish Harlem, and get them to fight against each other. You dig it?

And as long as they are fighting against each other, the power structure is cooling its its role. You know, they are so busy now they ain't gonna take care of business.

My son is nine years old and he came up to me and he

2

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

said, Daddy, how come Sandy is so light and I am kind of dark and you are black? I said, Son, what do you say this for? He says, because kids on the block, a white kid on the block is telling me that I've got a nigger for a daddy. And I say, hey, you go back and you tell that kid that you've got the best damn nigger daddy in the world, because your daddy ain't no nigger. Dig it?

And you say, only we adults will teach our children children don't have prejudice, you know that. We teach them that jazz. We teach them hate. We teach them separation. We teach them to distrust each other.

I am going to end it up with all this. I came down in a taxicab from the airport. There was a white taxi driver and he got into a conversation. He didn't know who I was, but we started to discuss things, and finally we got down to nittygritty, and he was a white -- is a white man from a very liberal, undiscriminating place called Mississippi, and he was telling me jokes about our government. And he said, did you And this is the way he said it. hear the latest joke?

You all hear the latest joke about that hurricane in Texas? I said, no, I didn't. He says, well, a cat came up and says, did you hear about that big wind in Texas? The guy says, yes, he is in the White House.

You dig it? There ain't no respect for our government. There ain't no respect for Senators. There ain't no

2

Washington, D.C. 20002

25 K Street, N.E.

respect any more -- any more our young kids are just growing up with one damn thing. Man, we are going to have to fight for what we are going to get. You know? We are going to have to fight, Man.

We're just tired. We can't go out there all the time and cool it and say, OK, because we are going to get down there to the nitty-gritty and we are going to discuss with the people that are in power, and we are going to have -- we can't, because every time, promises, promises, broken promises, promises, promises, broken promises,

We got a thing that came out in El Diario, a Puerto Rican paper in Spanish Harlem, and it says this is to all the politicians, please, when election time rolls around again, don't show up around 158th Street in the Bronx. You understand?

Years ago our people, our fathers, our mothers, black, Puerto Rican, whatever it was, they accepted politicians' promises. I promise you that if you elect me into office, I will give you better housing, better education, better jobs, better opportunities, and your children will not regret it one bit. Put me into office and I will repair your streets, I will give you better, better, better.

OK. So every year it was the same jazz, and so our fathers and mothers who were resigned to this jazz, they accepted it as saying, well, that's the way it is. You know,

l

25 K Straet, N.E., Washington, D.C.

politicians.

And then the politicians would come in and some angry guy would jump up and say something and he would be smothered because the politician would throw a nice block party and put out some fried chicken or some rice and beans, whatever it was, and a nice band playing, and get the people to dancing and forgetting all their troubles of hot and cold running cockroaches and king-sized rats and crummy tenement housing, lack of education, lack of opportunities, but it ain't no more this way now. No, no. We have got one thing beat. We have learned to use our minds and our young bloods have learned to use their minds.

We would rather live than die. We would rather share and care. We would rather reap than burn. We would rather learn.

But if you give us no opportunities, how can I go back, how can he go back, how can all our young people go back, those of us who have children, and look them straight in the face and say, Daddy, why can't we have this, and Daddy, how come this?

You know, my little daughter is looking for the day that I can buy a house. You know. She wants a house that has upstairs and downstairs. I didn't get to see a car myself until I was 16 years old, and the only way I got to see a car, I joined the Boy Scouts of the Young Men's Hebrew Association,

I saw beautiful grass and I used to see it all the time, but it was in Central Park. That was my country, Central Park. And I went through this big field and here I had -- papa had really wailed and saved and I got my Boy Scout uniform and I saw these beautiful big mud pies and I with fiendish glee said, Gee, some cats, some kid, they really built up those mud pies, and I am going to smash them all. And so deliberately I went through the field stepping into big mud pies, and I had mud pies up to my knees until a kid pulled my coat and told me, hey, you're stepping into cow shit.

I didn't know. Well, my son tells me, Papa, when are we going to have a house? I say, Sure, son, we are going to have a house. I am going to die someday, people, but I sure would like to leave it a better world for all our children

MR. CHAMBERS: Amen.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Senator Brooke.

SENATOR BROOKE: Mr. Chairman, in listening to Mr. Chambers, I know that Mr. Chambers is a very angry man, a very bitter man. And I can understand his anger and I can understand his bitterness. Mr. Chambers made some statements that I would like to explore him.

He condemned every member of this Commission. He condemned the President. He condemned God. In a sense he even condemned Mr. Anderson, for Mr. Anderson goes to a white

1

2

5

6

8

7

9

10 11

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

24

university, a very great university. He condemns in a sense Father Groppi because Father Groppi is a white man, even though he certainly thinks like a black man, and all others. And there are many others like Father Groppi who are ashamed of what has happened in this country and want to right the wrongs that have happened in this country.

And in a sense he differs with Mr. Thomas, who I think still has indicated some hope. Mr. Thomas ends in his poetry by sharing, by living, and not dying.

Now, I am certainly not taking issue with you, Mr. Chambers, because I understand your bitterness and your angriness. But I know that you also reflect the thinking of a good many black people in this country who are angry and bitter, and in many instances more angry and more bitter than you.

I went into Spanish Harlem, I went into Central Harlem, and I talked to some Mau Maus, so-called, there at the coffee shop -- I don't know if you know about it -- and I heard, as I listened, intently, as I listened to you, bitterness and anger which had been built up in them over years and years.

Now, this Commission has the responsibility for making recommendations to improve conditions in this country. I don't think there is a man on this Commission or a woman who didn't really know something about what the causes were that started the riots, and we have had others that have appeared before us who documented those causes, and you have very eloquently told

0 1

(13) 23 (13) 24 us and given us chapter and verse, and you are filling the record with what caused the riots.

But I don't think that you want to leave us, nor would we have you leave us, nor will we benefit unless we get down to practical things as to what can be done in this country now.

This is what we want to do. I know you are bitter about the past. I am bitter about the past. There are a lot of people who are bitter about the past, angry about the past.

You can say, well, you are a Senator, a United States Senator, you are part of the white power structure. You can criticize me and everything you want, and you know, I don't scare very easily and I don't worry about criticism. I couldn't do what I did if I did.

And I have been bitter, and I am bitter about the past. I want to see equality in this country, as you. I don't think anyone has monopoly on it, even black men. I think Father Groppi is a good example that some white people, hopefully a great deal, a number of white people are angry and bitter about what has happened. But let's be practical. Let's examine what can we do about the future.

You named your child, your children. You have them.

You mentioned Sandy and your son -- I don't know whether Mr.

Sanders has children, but if he doesn't, he probably will.

And I think to a man you want to improve conditions for them.

This is the way human beings have happened since the beginning of man. What can we do about it?

Now, you said you can burn down Milwaukee and we can shoot policemen, we can shoot the National Guard, shoot the Federal troops. Revolution is a way of changing governments. It has been tried, and I think you most eloquently, and with your brilliant knowledge of history, you gave us examples of it. My State of Massachusetts and the Boston Tea Party and all the other things.

Revolution has always been and is going on today all over the world. We know that. Now, on a practical point of view, are you advocating or do you believe, and I am not trying to get you on the record for anybody to come and arrest you, and if they do, I would like to know about it. I think anybody who speaks before this Commission, Mr. Chairman, certainly has immunity for that purpose. I think you care about it enough to not even care about being arrested, because I think you took that chance when you came. I am not saying that you are trying to advocate revolution in this country, but I am asking you now whether you really believe that the way to achieve this goal is through revolution.

Then I give you another practical question. Do you have sufficient numbers, and I mean when I am talking about revolution, talking about do you have manpower, and the fire-power and the material power and all those things to bring about

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

10

13

16

18 19

21

22 **2**3

24

25

a successful revolution? That is number one.

MR. CHAMBERS:

SENATOR BROOKE: Number two, do you believe that you can make conditions so unbearable in the country through riots and through burnings and, say -- let's say guerrilla warfare -- that you can bring out the desired results, of which I don't think too many people are in disagreement with you.

Let me say that.

I don't speak for any member of the Commission. I speak for myself.

Or do you feel, or do you see any possibility of something less than either of these alternatives? Do you see certain things that can be done by this Commission, by the Congress, by the President, by the Mayor of Milwaukee, things that can be done to practically bring about the results that you desire?

Now, you understand the nature of my question.

MR. CHAMBERS: Sure, I understand.

Senator, I am glad you asked me those questions, and the reason I am glad is because, as you said, you understand the problems that we have and you phrased them to give me a lot of ammunition to deal with.

SENATOR BROOKE: Purposely, I want you to know that.

MR. CHAMBERS: That is why I said I appreciated it, because I knew what you were doing.

6 7

4

5

8 9

11

12

10

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

22

20

23

24

25

25 K Street, N.E., Wa

Now, the first thing I would say about the revolution, as has been said here repeatedly, no man wants to die. This is why I said we will kill now, so that we can live and raise our children.

But if there is to be a revolution, it wouldn't be called that until after the fact. We have succeeded in overthrowing by violence this particular form of government.

Well, now, if America existed in a vacuum with just white and black and, say, they outnumber us ten to one, which I don't believe, I think they are closer to 40 to 50 million black people in this country, there might be six million in New York alone, there might be five million on the south side of Chicago, there might be a million and a half in St. Louis and East St. Louis, and then in Mississippi, Georgia, Alabama, Louisiana, where a census taker wouldn't go, there might be a hundred million, but anyway, numbers don't bother me too much because America does not exist in a vacuum. She exists in a world, an international setting, where there are countries stronger than this country who are plotting the over -- not the overthrow, the destruction of this nation.

America has admitted in a recent report that they have a shortage of pilots. They have lost so many planes now that it will be difficult for them to maintain the present level of activities in Vietnam, and if they would have a real war, say, with Russia or China, then it is doubtful that they

2 3

 could maintain their position.

All that needs doing in this country is to have -- if this is to be done and if this is left as the only alternative -- is a disruption within the operation of this country so that it is weak enough and distracted enough for somebody from the outside to come in.

They have already admitted that they couldn't afford five Detroits at once, not four, three, or maybe even two, if it is going to take Federal personnel and material to put it down. This country is being nibbled at and weakened from a lot of sources, and again it is like Ho Chi Minh said, against the French, the tiger and the elephant, the tiger will not be stupid to run at the elephant head to head because he is smaller, but his very smallness gives him more quickness and more mobility. He can conceal himself in more places and strike at the elephant here, and inflict maybe just a harassing wound. Maybe he will get up in a tree and take a chunk out of his back, but eventually the elephant will become so harassed that he might leave himself vulnerable, or maybe one of those gouges will open a vein and he will bleed to death.

Whatever it takes will be done, and when you push men into a corner and they are desperate, they don't look at the odds. As Malcolm X said, don't talk to me about the odds because if you take these young black men and send them into Vietnam and Southeast Asia where they fight against 800 million

ashington, D.C. 20002

Chinese, these odds in this country are not that bad.

So as far as the revolution, I don't think anybody says that we are going to organize a force here and attack the United States Government. It will be hitting here wherever there is a problem like in Milwaukee.

This man and his group will be peaceful as long as they are allowed to be. When they are attacked, they will retaliate.

I wouldn't come into this room and say I will whip every man in here, but if every man in this room jumped on me and I am already in here, I am not going to go down without a fight, and I am sure that I am going to take at least one, and looking at the ages and the various physical conditions, far more than that with me.

So this is the way we look at it.

We have to show that every other means that we have tried to employ has been taken away from us and it won't work, so the only thing left is violence because that is the only thing this government understands, and it is the only thing it responds.

And as far as conditions, you know, creating conditions through this activity, so intolerable as to bring about the desired results, I think this can be done because this government operates out of fear and out of a desire to save face. They talk about the orientals worshipping their

ancestors and wanting to save face. America is more guilty of that than anybody else because they dig up all the heroes from the past because they have no heroes for now. And when I condemn Mr. Johnson, I condemn him -- I am going to do like the white people now, and weigh this thing with the delicacy of a jeweler's scale and say I am not condemning him as a person because I don't even know him as a person, but I condemn him for failing to act in the areas where he has the power to act and the responsibility to act, and he has taken an oath of office to so act.

Look at the Civil Rights Bill of 1964. Title VI I believe it is which is supposed to come into operation, and it says simply that wherever Federal money goes, and there can be some Federal strings. Then I think they tried to eliminate de facto school segregation and some areas of housing. Why are they going to pass a bill and tell us it is designed to deal with out problems, but where the problem is most severe, they say the bill doesn't touch?

I need an operation in my stomach and they say we can cut on any place except the stomach. Why is he going to cut on my foot when my foot is not what I say is hurting me? And this is the way all of the things the Government has done. They are hypocritical.

Why will Humphrey swing down the lane arm in arm with Lester Maddox --

1

7

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

11

FATHER GROPPI: Oh, God.

MR. CHAMBERS: -- and Lester Maddox got to be governor by using ax handles and pistols in open violation of Federal law, and resistance and defiance of the Federal Government.

Then Wallace is allowed that shameful, disgusting charade in the doorway of the university where the Attorney General and the President got together to let him save face when he brought troops out to resist the Federal Government. Why won't they call it treason when he brings out armed might to resist this government? He becomes an enemy of the Government. That should make him -- that should put him in the process of committing treason or trying an insurrection.

FATHER GROPPI; He's white, Baby, that's why.

MR. CHAMBERS: He is still honored. He is brought into conferences, and still the de facto Governor of Alabama. And as you said, everybody in this room understands these problems and the causes of them, and we know the solutions, too, but they are not going to be implemented.

We can say that Congress could make sure, say, the Model Cities program -- because that is what is on the minds of people in Omaha, New York, Chicago, and other larger cities -- we could tell them, make sure that the city is going to do this thing properly before you give funds, and they say, OK, just like they did with the Urban Renewal. In the whole history of Urban Renewal, they have removed commercial areas while

25 K Street, N.E.,

(14) 17

shington, D.C. 20002

25 K Street, N.E., Wa

 kicking black people out. And then if they do build some kind of living or residential area, it is high-rise apartments or townhouses or country houses which the people living there can no longer afford to purchase.

In Omaha, the population of black people, according to their census, in about the last ten years, has increased by 67 percent. The residential area for black people by 24 percent. We could mention that the government, when we send complaints of police brutality and misdeeds and document it with witnesses and doctors and everybody else to the Justice Department, let them have an investigation, we don't recommend that because we have done it and it won't work. We can recommend talking to Secretary Weaver, and we call him -- I condemn him, we call him Uncle Weaver -- have Uncle Weaver hold up on some of these funds to various housing programs that they have in the cities when they are discriminatory on their face.

No Negroes are in policy-making positions. There are no programs for relocation. None of the -- there is no code enforcement. None of the things that are written into the bill. Yet this money is granted to perpetuate segregation which the application for money shows is going to be done.

There is Federal money in the Secondary and Elementary
Education Act which continually pour into Omaha, and it is one
of the worst segregated school systems in the country, but the
Government does nothing about that, and the reason I keep

1

2

3

7

6

9

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

23

24

25

mentioning funds, this is about the best and strongest way they can influence the state or locality. They are not going to do this, Senator Brooke, and I think you are aware of this from some of the things that people say, your colleagues. I am glad they are not mine. On the floor of the Senate. They ridicule us, they degrade us. They make terrible statements about us on the floor.

SENATOR BROOKE: Well, it has not been done in the time I have been in the Senate, not that I have -- I have never heard it since I have been in the Senate.

MR. CHAMBERS: Just give them a little time and then you know what they are going to do, and this is why we respect the Government when the words that they actually said come out in the Congressional Record, and this scroundel has been allowed by their own rules to go there and change what he said so it says what he wishes he had said.

Then when they were voting to give these members of the House additional vacations, about eight more a year, then the guy from Louisiana stands up and says, I rise in opposition to this motion but I certainly hope it passes, and they all laughed.

This is Federal money. Then a rat control bill comes up and they make jokes about it. And we live -- I am very humble. We are unsophisticated. When I say humble, I mean from the standpoint of having this world's goods. In attitude

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 K Street, N.E., We

25

I am not humble at all. I am put in jail for my attitude. But we live among the people who are hurting, and we see that they are desperate people, and talk about odds and manpower, that means nothing at all. Tanks, bombs, napalm. We saw some little rice eaters took all of that action that the French had, and as Malcolm X said, remember Dien Bien Phu and some other ones in Korea, ran them all the way across the Yalu. And he said, here's what you do. He said, the white man is brave when he has planes, he is brave when he has got bombs, he is brave when he has got tanks, and he is brave when he has got a lot of company along with him, but you take that little brother in Africa and Asia and turn him loose in the woods with a blade, and when the sun goes down and it is dark, then it is even-Steven. And that is the way we feel.

It is too bad Malcolm was killed. We need him now. SENATOR BROOKE: May I ask you this question. Do you reject help from any white men?

MR. CHAMBERS: No. We would take help from -- we have tried through what you call extra-legal channels to get the Viet Cong to airlift some guerrillas into this country, but they don't have the means. What I am trying to say by that, wherever the help would come from, if there is a Satan in hell, if he would send help, it would be accepted from him. If there is a God in heaven asleep -- He has been asleep as long as He has -- if He decides to wake up and help, it will

2

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Men like him understand the statements that I made. And I have often made the statement that there are going to be white people fighting on our side when this thing happens because they are sick of what this country has, too. They have lied to the kids about religion. They have lied about government. They have lied about foreign policy. McNamara lies every day, we don't need any bombs, and then he has got to go to his friend in

be accepted from Him. And you mentioned Brother Groppi here.

West Germany, to the good guys in West Germany, to the white Christians who love America in West Germany, and that man

West Germany and ask them for bombs this government gave to

said, I know you have a problem with your balance of gold, but you are going to pay a premium for these bombs, and you are going to pay in gold.

That is what your friend told you.

Then your white friend, Charles DeGaulle in France said, get your planes and your troops and get the hell on out of France. That is what your friends tell you.

Well, imagine what your enemies think about you. And we have been made into enemies.

Suppose I want to be a nice guy and liked by everybody, so I come to you with my hands out, hat tipping, doing a dance like Stepin Fetchit, and you're going to wipe me out anyway. Being a Tom doesn't even protect you now, so why be one? At least you can have your manhood. And tell your kid, be like me.

2

5 6

7

8 9

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

18

17

19 20

21

25

24

Don't say, be like Lyndon Johnson. My kids better not be like Lyndon Johnson. If I go to jail my children think either Lyndon Johnson or Mayor Sorenson -- that is the Omaha Mayor -- put me in jail. I teach them that because I tell them on the national level Lyndon Johnson is responsible for what happens, on the local level, Mayor Sorenson is responsible for what happens. So one of his underlings comes and does something to me, it is like a centipede moving one of his legs. The leg is not responsive.

The puppet is not responsible. Go after the brain of the centipede or go after the puppeteer, and that is what Lyndon Johnson and Mayor Sorenson, and I am not saying that anything should be done to them which would be a crime if I advocated that that be done.

> MR. SANDERS: Can I add to your question, Senator? SENATOR BROOKE: Yes, I wish you would.

MR. SANDERS: It seems to me that is a bit beside the point because, as I conceptualize a riot, or something that has to do with the so-called Negro revolution, maybe that is a misnomer, but it is not, it is not -- when we talk about riots and revolutions, it is not in the sense of a take-over, of a violent change of government in the traditional sense. It is in terms of disruption. It is basically a crying out, almost a self-destructive act, because the only way you can deal -when you look at it on bits face, it is Negroes burning out

their own businesses, the businesses that they patronize, in Detroit burning out their very homes and all of their property, having military forces called into their neighborhoods who kill Negroes in their community to the ratio of 95 to 5. I think it is just like I think it was Father Groppi, or Chambers, or Thomas, one of the three, pointed out that white men aren't killed in these riots. Negroes are killed in these riots.

And we know there are these consequences, that Negro homes are burned, Negro businesses are burned out, Negro men are killed.

That is in no sense a take-over of numbers that we envision when we talk about revolutions in the classical sense. So it is not -- basically it is a desperate cry. I don't care. I refuse to live on under this system.

Now, the revolution, so-called, will come, Mr.

Thornton, when we fire bombs on Wilshire Boulevard in Los

Angeles or when Madison Avenue is fire-bombed in Manhattan.

That hasn't come yet, and I don't think, you know, I don't

think that we are at that particular point. When there is

really a confrontation between black and white, when we go to

the whites and bomb out the white neighborhood and the so-called

white power structure in this country. I hear that conceptu
alization of riots and revolution all the time, and it is

completely beside the point, but there is something to be said

about ten percent of the population, 11 percent of the

population, disrupting and gumming up the works, just bringing

the system to a halt, and if the Negro can do that and it is worth the sacrifice, and --

SENATOR BROOKE: You are saying --

MR. SANDERS: And Negroes aren't the only ones.

SENATOR BROOKE: Do I understand you are saying, then, that the riots and violence are being used just as a means of protest at this point?

MR. SANDERS: See, when we say "protest", we put in a civil rights context, and I think that is not appropriate.

SENATOR BROOKE: Give us another word for it.

FATHER GROPPI: Self-assertion.

MR. SANDERS: Self-assertion. He is trying to say something. You know, the guy who has no bargaining power in a sense, what he does is a repudiation of the political system. Everybody in this room has had to negotiate with so-called black militants, and they aren't amenable to political process. When you think of a Sam Yorty, for example, in Los Angeles, whose mail ran something like 75,000, for every 75,000 letters he received 337 against his stand supporting the late Chief Parker during the Los Angeles riots when his tactics and the things he said were just uncivilized. But that, you know, that is the kind of political response that he gets. He has to respond to. Because his first obligation, I suppose like anybody else who is elected to office, is to get himself reelected and within a political context I can understand that. There is

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000

(15) 11

enough of a political animal in me to understand you have an obligation to be reelected, but the point is that the Negro has no bargaining power. He doesn't -- Yorty could very well do without the Negro vote in Los Angeles and win. So he is not responsive to Negro demands in the political system, so that the Negro, the only way he can have himself felt and heard, the Negro in the ghetto, is to call attention to his plight by a fire-bombing, and it is not that he is trying to take over. He is trying to say that he is desperate, that it is not worth living on in this America.

SENATOR BROOKE: Take it the next step.

This is self-assertion and is calling attention and is focusing attention upon the wrongs that have been heaped upon him and still are there.

Now, what result does he expect to achieve by this? Carry us to the next step.

MR. SANDERS: That is the result. It would be totality for me.

SENATOR BROOKE: He wants more than just the attention focused upon the wrongs. He wants something to happen. He wants to wrong the right. He wants to improve the situation.

Now, you said that Yorty, to go back to your specific example, may not be responsive because he doesn't need the Negro vote in Los Angeles. Where does this responsiveness come from?

5 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 200

1

2

3

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

Would you, Father?

FATHER GROPPI: I mentioned self-assertion here. You see, the end, I believe, in the activity of many of the people that participated in the violence in the white community, is simply this. It is manhood. It is manhood. We look at the system as existed in the City of Milwaukee, everything that has been tried, and I believe the black man in America as far as attempting to get his rights through orderly procedures, socalled, has tried everything possible. Then he becomes a man. He becomes a man. But I want to say something on this whole question. This question of violence.

SENATOR BROOKE: Don't leave that there. He wants more than just to assert himself. Certainly he wants to assert himself as a man. He is tired. He has tried every other avenue and he wants to assert himself as a man. But he still wants results for himself, and he wants results for his children.

Now, what is the next step? That is what I am trying to say.

MR. THOMAS: Live up to the Constitution of the United States. Live up to your laws, your promises. That is it.

> MR. SANDERS: I think you are posing in a sense --FATHER GROPPI: Freedom.

MR. SANDERS: It simply doesn't follow from what I have just said --

1

2

3

12

10

14

15

18

21

25 K Street, N.E.

25

24

MR. CHAMBERS: Can I go after him on what we want in Omaha?

MR. SANDERS: He is for results, and I am giving him the results.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: One of the things Mr. Chambers wanted to assert is that our record is complete, and I am sure the reporter will not be able to get all of your remarks at one time.

MR. CHAMBERS: Well taken.

SENATOR BROOKE: I know what you want. I am trying to say after Father Groppi said he wanted to assert himself as a man, now he wants -- certainly he wants to assert himself as a man, but he wants results.

MR. SANDERS: But look -- OK. If this is the result, then, you know, it has been accomplished in a sense, hasn't it? SENATOR BROOKE: Is that the only result that he

wants? That is not the only result he wants to accomplish.

MR. SANDERS: No, because theoretically we treat all men the same, and then it follows that, you know, Negro communities, the ghetto communities automatically receive what

SENATOR BROOKE: He wants a response from the white power structure, doesn't he?

white suburban communities receive.

MR. CHAMBERS: Right. He wants response from whoever is in a position and controls the means of rectifying the

7 8

9

12

11

13 14

16

15

18

17

19 20

21

ington, D.C. 20002

25 K Street, N.E., Wash

22

23 24

25

2

6

10

do these things.

SENATOR BROOKE: That is why we are here.

problems that we present. And like every time there is a

demonstration, it is aimed at a specific objective like in

Milwaukee, in open housing ordinance, and that won't be enough.

Enforcement of it, first, a meaningful one, then one that will

be enforced to accomplish the purpose of open housing so a man

can get decent shelter for his family. Now, I am using Mil-

waukee as an example. I'm telling what we want in Omaha be-

cause you asked what can be done. Since you ask it, if the

answer is given, I expect this Commission to do what it can to

MR. CHAMBERS: All right. And I mentioned already, withhold funds from a segregated, discriminatory, inferior school system like in Omaha. Withhold money from all these MDTA and other -- all these employment programs which are not functioning. Withhold money from the Poverty Program when the high practices and the attitudes of some of the people there are not what they should be, conducive to accomplishing the ends of the Poverty Program.

Don't give any money to the state welfare department when they have men -- and this happened just before I left Omaha -- who would tell a woman, if you sleep with me, then I will see that you get some welfare assistance, and the individual is known and a complaint is being filed supposedly. If it is not by them, I am going to push it. Money is what the

Federal Government has to operate with.

Now, they have other things they can do behind the scenes, but I'm telling you the legitimate things that they can do openly.

As I said in front, I don't believe they are going to do it. When I leave here the Omaha Housing Authority is going to get some money to build five high-rise apartments which are to be built in white neighborhoods and kept segregated, which is what the City Council says it insists on.

If these apartments are not opened to the people who live in the community and other people from outside can come in and live in them, we rescind our authorization. Yet Uncle Weaver over there is not about to withhold any money. He is not going to withhold any money on the Model Cities program or anything else. We got Toms in government and we got bigots in Government, and we won't recognize it.

These things are known, they are documented. I read testimony on the Model Cities program and things are known, they are documented. I read testimony on the Model Cities program, and they have all of the information they need. All they need to do now is take the forces that they have, the Federal Government, marshal these forces and channel them against the problems that have been brought to their attention repeatedly and solve these problems. And here is how far they can go.

If the locality does not meet Federal standards, the money is not forthcoming, nor is technical assistance or advice or cooperation or anything else. But 'the government is not going to do this

SENATOR BROOKE: Father Groppi, might I just ask you this question.

FATHER GROPPI: May I comment on this previous question first, though, because I mentioned, you know, the end was manhood. Pope John came forth with a magnificent statement once, you know. He said when people are being deprived of the rights of society, that man has a moral obligation as a sign of his dignity to stand up and struggle. And I believe this is an end, you see. Granted, you know, I want everything Ernie talked about and you asked what the government can do, and I agree and concur with everything he has said. But if I find my dignity and manhood in fighting back and necessarily dying, you see, I have already achieved a certain end. I have found myself, you see.

One of the Youth Council members put it real well.

For me it is total liberation or it is death, you know. And
there is no in between any more, you see.

But I concur with Ernie here, in this, that we have got the Vietnam situation. I don't agree with what is going on there. We've got a black man who came back from the war, three and a half years in the Marine Corps, looking for housing.

6

5

8

7

9

11

10

13

12

15

16

14

17

18

19 20

21

24

One block beyond the black community. He went to the women's home, Christian, goes to church every Sunday, and I suppose on Christmas Day, you know, she heard the story of Mary and Joseph looking for a home, you know, no room for them in the inn, and she probably wept tears, and all this sort of thing. But she would not rent to them because they were black, you see.

Well, he came to me and said, doesn't the law cover this, and I said, no.

Well, what the Youth Council did, we used what tools we could, we went out and sang Christmas carols to her a few nights and focused attention on the issue. But this was all that we could do, you see.

Now, we protested and fought for fair housing legislation, and I mentioned before that we went before this Common Council with the Episcopal Bishop, ministers, priests, everybody imaginable. You know what we got out of that? Our pictures were taken by the Milwaukee Police Department as being suspect, being suspect of being involved in subversive activity, you see

Now, this is what Ernie is talking about when he is talking about the police department, talking about brutality and all these other sorts of things.

What I want to know is, what is the Federal Governdoing sending funds into a city like Milwaukee, what Milwaukee is saying to us is, here, take this, but you are going to have to give up something if you take this urban

l

(16)

 development, and that something you are going to have to give up is a God-given right of freedom of movement within the confines of your own country. And what I am saying to the power structure in Washington, the Federal Government, is keep your damn money until you're willing to give me everything and you're willing to give me that God-given right of freedom of movement within the confines of my own country, I don't want a damn thing. And until the Federal Government uses that kind of pressure upon these municipalities we aren't going to get anywhere, because there is only one thing the structure listens to, and that is the dollar bill. They are not concerned whatsoever about moral persuasion.

SENATOR BROOKE: Let me ask you this question and I will be through, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Sanders has told us what the Federal Government can do, and you have just now given your opinion as to what the Federal Government can do in Milwaukee.

Let's assume that the Federal Government does exactly what both of you have suggested insofar as Federal funds are concerned.

Now, you have told us that you have marched, sung, and you have done everything else and have tried to use moral persuasion and it hasn't worked. The vote has been 18 to 1, 18 to 1, and it is coming up again, and you predict it will probably be 18 to 1 again.

1

5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

19 20

18

21

23 24

25

25 K Street, N.E.

Do you intend to continue at the Milwaukee situation at that level, and if so, how do you expect to change it unless you change the 18 people on it, or unless you change the number of people in Milwaukee who will have influence upon those 18 people?

Now, let me carry this -- say this to you. I have always believed that people were ahead of politicians. I think a lot of politicians make great mistakes in not leading the people in many instances. A lot of them think their constituents would vote them out of office unless they respond to what their constituents believe, even to segregation and discrimination.

If these 18 people who are voting, and I don't know anything about them, on this Common Council, if they believe they are representing the views of the constituents there, and I don't even know your breakdown racially, but I am sure the Negro population is obviously not strong enough to have a strong political influence with the exception of Val, how do you propose to, within the foreseeable future, in your lifetime now, correct this condition in the Milwaukee Common Council?

FATHER GROPPI: Senator Brooke, I think you ought to answer that question.

SENATOR BROOKE: I am not in Milwaukee and I don't: know at this point. That is why I asked.

FATHER GROPPI: I think the situation is pretty much

1

2

3

7

6

10 11

13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

the same in every city. The black community represents a number of wards. We have elected one black alderman. There are a number of other wards. One ward which is almost 40 percent black. That is Alderman Schreiber. He is the Chairman of the Common Council. He also votes against fair housing legislation.

There are other black alderman -- other aldermen, not black aldermen, who represent a certain part of the black community. They also vote against fair housing legislation.

But, you see, the bigotry in the City of Milwaukee is that great where unless there is a majority of black people within those wards, we will not be able to elect any more constituents to that, any more elected officials to that Common Council.

When Ernie talks about violent revolution, this is perhaps our frustration. We have no political power and I don't know how in the world we are going to get it.

Now, you talk about bringing other pressure upon a Common Council. We have brought other pressure upon the Common Council. We brought the pressure even of the church upon it. But still it hasn't changed. Not the full pressure of the church, because I don't believe the church has even begun to do its job in this question of intergroup relations and justice. I learned that when we marched on the south side and nearly got killed. When we talk about preaching nonviolence, I think we ought to do it in the white community first. When we teach

8

9

12

him nonviolence, then I think we ought to talk about nonviolence in the black community. If he is not going to be nonviolent, I don't think the black man should be told to be nonviolent.

MR. CHAMBERS: Right.

FATHER GROPPI: But the point here is we have no political power. What are we going to do in the future, I don't know. That is one of the reasons why we are here, because I think the kind of pressure that they are going to listen to is economic pressure.

SENATOR BROOKE: You think economic pressure is the answer.

FATHER GROPPI: On the Common Council?

SENATOR BROOKE: The Common Council.

FATHER GROPPI: To change their vote? I think it will carry a great influence.

SENATOR BROOKE: What do you think can be done to alleviate the hatred and the bitterness and the bigotry in the Milwaukee community and across the country?

FATHER GROPPI: This is my conception, you see, of black power. Governor Kerner over there mentioned the communication cable. I don't believe in the communication table when one man sitting on that side has all the cards in his hand and all the power in his hands, and this man sitting over here without any power. We can talk, you see, but we are not going to get anything until we sit here as an equal basis. My

& PAUL

conception of black power is this, that it is in reality a redemptive force in the white community, because until that image of the black man is changed in that white community, until he can look at him as equal in power, he is not going to respect the black man. Respect always precedes true brotherhood.

SENATOR BROOKE: Do you think violence will bring this about? Do you think it will create more respect in the white community for the black man?

FATHER GROPPI: I think so.

MR. CHAMBERS: I do, too.

FATHER GROPPI: Any form of a man standing up, refusing to take secondary status in society, and fighting in whatever manner you want to call it, is going to make that man respect you. Respect always precedes a true meaning of brotherhood. The kind of brotherhood we get taught in the City of Milwaukee and in the church is in reality a form of racism. It smacks of condescension and paternalism, and there is no difference between that racism in the North and the overt kind of racism in the South. In fact, I prefer the overt racism in the South, and I prefer the overt racism we meet on the south side of Milwaukee to the condescension and paternalistic attitude of the Mayor of Milwaukee and the Common Council

MR. CHAMBERS: Could I say something? Senator Brooke the reason I believe the Federal Government can bring such power from the standpoint of withholding money, say that they

would say if a bank is not going to loan or accept mortgage, you know, mortgage lending to black people on the same basis as whites, there will be no Federal insuring of deposits. The same thing with all of the mortgage lending agencies. FHA and VA will not deal with any of these agencies in the area, and maybe they could figure a way for the Federal Government, without going through any of these agencies, and letting them pick up any kind of money in the transaction, and make it possible for black people to acquire a home. They could set up a fund of money and when this kind of money pressure is on the banks and the mortgage lending institutions, the school board and these other people, then they will put the proper pressure on these puppets on the Common Council, because that is what they will become.

In Algeria they like to talk about the revolutionaries and how well they fought, which they did, but eventually the economic interests of the country started being hindered so much by the civil war, the revolutionary activity, that these monied interests who had no sympathy with the rebels finally brought pressure to bear on the French Government also to lighten up and help straighten this thing out. And with the many federal programs and the demonstration cities showing that there are federal programs that people in the Federal Government didn't know existed, so much Federal money comes into these various localities, if they would threaten to

withhold it, the city couldn't operate.

They are telling you right now on the city program, if you don't bail us out, we're through. Well, he has laid his head on the chopping block. He has whetted the ax for you and put it in your hands and told you you can chop his head off if you want to.

I think the Federal Government knows a thousand ways to correct this, just like they know how, through the CIA, to subvert entire governments overseas.

MR. SANDERS: I think we have here a classical case, at least in Milwaukee, of how riots begin. The political system fails. In other words, Negroes have no possibility of electing a sympathetic Common Council because they are in a minority within the city confines.

Secondly, there is no possibility that a fair housing ordinance will be enacted, and at that point, at that point you leave the political process because you can't accomplish what you want within it. And so you repudiate it. You tear it down. And, you know, that is the logic. And it is ineluctable when you look at the history of this country, and that is the way things are done.

You either have to have what you are bargaining to get or the bargaining process, and it seems like this particular problem with the bargaining process, that it is structural, it is built so that the Negroes can never get a sympathetic

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20

1

2

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 15

(17)

WARD & PAUL

N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000

Common Council, that the Negroes can never get a fair housing act legislated, so that you go out, and if after that vote, after that 18 to 1, 19 to 1, 18 to 1, and then another 18 to 1, if Negroes riot in Milwaukee, you understand why. Here we have it. And yet I would go so far as to say that if Washington hasn't taken the steps that Ernie urges, to withhold funds, to exercise muscle in another way, they haven't got the telephone call from the White House, there is no buttonholing going on from the 18 members of the Common Council, and these are the kinds that you keep it within the political process, you can avoid this overflow into violent revolution or to revolt. But the minute it spills over, then we wonder why. And here is a perfect picture of an incipient riot, I think, because when it breaks down we're going to use extra-political means, and the extra-political means that is most appropriate for American society is violence, fire, looting, sniping.

GOVERNOR KERNER: Mr. Thornton.

MR. THORNTON: One question, picking up the thought I think that Senator Brooke was pursuing. If we have revolution in this country, following revolution, regardless of who wins, is chaos, disease, economic collapse. There is no other country that is going to come to the aid of a prostrate America as we have to other countries. There will be foreign power domination, a police kind of state where real freedom for the individual is obscured.

There must be some more constructive way -- to be sure, your frustration is understandable, that you want to speak up. You want to be heard. But the thing I think that the Senator, or at least I am fishing for, is something better than revolution. There is something constructive that can be done.

I understand what you say, the government attitude has to change. You mean the white community, their attitude has to change, as we have heard before, the attitudinal problem is probably the biggest problem. And the government can't force that to change. The attitude of the whites.

Something constructive, something that all of us want to do, that is the reason we have this Commission. We are not here wasting our time, and you are not here wasting time hopelessly, that we are just going to come up and there is no solution but the chaos or riots or revolution, that everybody loses from.

MR. CHAMBERS: You know what you just said demonstrates that not only is that the only thing people respond to when it is translated into action, but that is the only kind of conversation you hear also. Just the talk of violence and disorder, because we have mentioned what we feel can be done by this Commission. It is a Federal Commission. It has to operate on the Federal level within the framework of what the Federal Government has the power and authority to do.

Now, when you talk about the disorder and the establishment of a police state and disease and rats running around and not an adequate shelter, you are talking about what we are living in right now at the hands of the government, and you are concerned because you might be plunged into that, and Patrick Henry said, Liberty or Death, Malcolm said, Freedom for everybody or freedom for nobody, and I say that since you won't allow us to all be raised to a level of equality, we shall be reduced to a level of equality.

Take it any way you want to. It doesn't matter whether we get a chance to talk to you again or whether you think we are all right, because when our fathers were Tommin' and you were saying they're all right, that got us in the mess we are in now. It is time for us to quit Tommin'. It is time for us to quit singing and start swinging.

We have to get rid of the old sitdown philosophy where like now we just sit. And you know what Malcolm said? Anything can sit. An old man can sit. An old woman can sit. A junk can sit. And it is time for us to begin standing and doing some fighting to back it up, not just at the verbal stage now, because when we go back to our various cities, you think everybody is going to be impressed by what we said here? You know what they're going to say? Just what they said before we came, a whole lot of talk to a whole lot of people, fat people sitting around determining how you're going to live and

5 6

7 8

9

11

12

10

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

25

24

where you can live, and not a bit more concerned about it than the man in the moon. They are there because the President told them to be there.

And you know what I'll say? I don't gamble, and I feel that when you bet a sure thing it is not gambling. When I go back to Omaha I am going to make book on the fact that this Committee is not going to do anything, not even make any strong recommendations. You ain't gonna talk about putting more stringent controls on a police department before you grant them money for training in human relations councils. You are not going to do it, and we know it.

But one thing, you're keeping a record here, and these things will be in the record, and if you read over what has been said already, questions about what can be done to stave off a revolution, you would read, because a lot of these things were incorporated into the first statements that were made, but you couldn't hear that because you're not accustomed to responding to a reasoned argument because you haven't had to deal reasonably with us, and when we speak reasonably and rationally, this doesn't register because you don't expect a typewriter to operate like a car. So when we speak rationally, that doesn't register with you because you are not programmed to pick it up, but when we talk about violence and disorder and chaos which you are creating all over the world, you understand that.

l

WARD & PAUL

5

Since you have got 500,000 troops walking on foreign soil and no other government has that many people there, you understand that. You talk about rampant communism, militaristic communism, aggressive communism, yet Russia doesn't have 500,000 men in Vietnam. China doesn't have 500,000 troops in Vietnam. But here is good old Christian America, the country of Jesus which writes, "In God We Trust", on the money, with 500,000 men in Vietnam, B-52 bombers, preaching the gospel of Christ and democracy through the agency of B-52 bombers, 50 caliber machine guns, helicopters, and napalm. Then you wonder why people believe you don't mean anything you say.

You know while you are sitting there, Mr. Thornton, that what you are asking is for us to repeat what you already know, and then in your heart of hearts you know that it is not going to do a bit of good. But as I say, a record is being made.

FATHER GROPPI: May I comment on that? Just a couple of statements.

First of all, you know, Ernie mentioned the name of Jesus Christ here. I want to say that I am a follower of Jesus Christ, but that I concur with him that the church has been not only negligent but involved in non-involvement, and thunderous in its silence, but that in reality the teachings of Christ have never been a reality. I don't believe the word has ever been Christian, you see.

1

2

3

7 8

9 10

12

13

14

11

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

24

Just a couple of things here. Jerome Bent made an interesting statement in his book"The Negro Mood" where he talks about this whole interracial conflict being compared to a bar fight where one man is using every dirty type of technique, gouging, using the knee, and so forth, and a third party looks at the other individual who is being beaten up, and he says, well, now, you use Robert's Rules of Order. And this amounts to the black-white struggle in the conflict here.

In reality there is something positive in teaching a man how to struggle. Well, Ernie talks about violence. Perhaps there are other techniques. There are. We have been trying them in Milwaukee in the NAACP Youth Council. We haven't been getting any results. But you see, if your child were going out to school or to the store every day and was being beaten by the neighborhood kids, one of the first things you would do would be to teach that child how to defend himself, build him up physically, so that when he goes out in the street he knows what he is doing.

And this I think would make the neighborhood kids respect him. They might even get to be friends, you see.

And this is similar to what is happening in the black community. That there are positive aspects of violence as far as the black man is concerned.

You talk about chaos. My God, any time you've got a community that has to live in the intolerable situations in which

ngton, D.C. 20002

 the black man has to live, the core areas of our cities, there is already chaos there.

GOVERNOR KERNER: Any further questions? If not,

I want to thank you very much for the time you have spent here.

FATHER GROPPI: Governor Kerner, could I just make one statement and perhaps ask a question, because -- maybe ask two questions. I have got many things here.

With regard to the Milwaukee Police Department and the question of harassment, we even had a John Birch member, by the way, who is a district captain of a police station within the black community, but does this -- what does this Committee think about a police department that would take pictures of individuals who go to a Common Council meeting to, say, fight for fair housing legislation?

GOVERNOR KERNER: Are you asking me that as -FATHER GROPPI: Yes, I would ask that.

GOVERNOR KERNER: As a member of the panel here, or as a specialist?

panel, or however you would want to answer it. I would sincerely like to know because I am concerned about this. I am a product of the white community, a white cultural ghetto.

I might add as a white man I had to grow in this movement.

I think black people grow also. I think we consistently grow in this movement.

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002

But the image of the policeman in the white community is that of the big brother who helps the old lady across the street. That is not the image in the black community. The image of going to jail in the white community is that of a stigma. To the black community is a badge of honor.

But I would like to know personally --

GOVERNOR KERNER: Father Groppi, let me say this.

I was a judge before I was a governor, and many times after hearing the plaintiff's case I wondered why the defendant ever filed an answer. And in many instances after I heard the defendant's defense, I wondered why the plaintiff ever filed a lawsuit.

To answer your question directly, I would have to hear the other side, naturally. I think in order to make a proper judgment. Obviously by your statement you feel, I think, that your personal liberties have been involved, the movement of people, isn't that basically --

FATHER GROPPI: No question about it.

GOVERNOR KERNER: Well, as I say, I don't know the other side. I would not be in a position to make any judgment until I heard both sides, and I think in all fairness you would agree with that statement.

FATHER GROPPI: I would agree with that.

GOVERNOR KERNER: I think you feel aggrieved, and there is no question in my mind that perhaps you feel justified

1

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 in feeling that.

FATHER GROPPI: Except for this, Governor Kerner. I fail to see how the other side could present any type of picture outside the fact that pictures were taken of every individual there. I fail to see --

GOVERNOR KERNER: Let me say, if you feel aggrieved, certainly legal action could be taken. What the results of it will be, of course, would be determined on the evidence presented in court. And so that if you feel as though your privacy is being invaded, that a surveillance is, in a sense --

FATHER GROPPI: Harassment.

GOVERNOR KERNER: -- harassment --

FATHER GROPPI: Intimidation.

GOVERNOR KERNER: -- action can be taken. And if you feel strongly enough about this, I would suggest that.

FATHER GROPPI: I don't know how you could take action on this.

GOVERNOR KERNER: There have been similar type actions taken in other parts of the United States. If not in local courts, in Federal courts. So if you feel you wouldn't get proper action locally, the Federal District Court could hear your matter. If you feel your Constitutional rights are being violated, you have that right. As a lawyer, as a judge, as a believer in civil rights, certainly we believe there is an action for every wrong, and I sincerely believe it.

12

15

18

20

21 22

> 23 24

25

25 K Street, N.E.

Sometimes it is difficult to find, I must admit, but I believe, too, that we are the constant revolutionaries in this United States, even more so than the communist world. Things have changed considerably and they are going to continue to change. I think peaceably. I hope cooperatively. And this is really basically why we are meeting. And I do hope that, as you leave here, you won't leave with a cynical feeling.

I can assure you that every member of this Committee is deeply involved and deeply concerned, and as Mr. Chambers stated, certainly I think many of us have, are aware of some of the factual background. We want more of it to sustain positions that we will undertake. And I assure you --

FATHER GROPPI: Governor, I came here with hope. Otherwise I wouldn't have left Milwaukee. I am needed there.

GOVERNOR KERNER: I appreciate that. And may I say Kenneth Clark came I think with the same attitude. He didn't want to come, but he did leave with a statement, in his closing statement, that he sees hope. I hope he will retain that. We have it.

If there are no further questions, you said you had another question?

FATHER GROPPI: Well, the only thing I wanted to state is that, what I stated previously, what Ernie is bringing forth here is that we participate in many intergroup discussions, relations, and so forth, and

2

3

9

11

17

19

25 K Street, N.E., Washii

committees are consistently being studied, being brought up and studies are consistently being made. This sort of thing. And it is all well and good. But I think that we are almost a little beyond this in the sense that we need action, and that unless power is somehow or other brought to the black community, we are not going to get the God-given rights in our communities, and the black man's frustration is going to continue to grow.

The only thing I would have to say in closing is that I would suggest very highly that you take the statements that Mr. Chambers suggested, and also with myself, that some type of Federal pressure be exerted upon local municipalities to see to it that certain demands, justified demands, of people who are ostracized and suffering are met. This question of a fair housing bill, freedom of movement, I think is a God-given right, and I think that is the purpose of government, to see to it that a man receives his full dignity in society in whatever manner he can. Because unless a man receives his full dignity in society, you are always going to have the type of chaos that Mr. Thornton is concerned about.

So if that type of pressure, I mean more than just moral persuasion, can be exerted upon a local municipality, the City of Milwaukee, to bring forth a fair housing ordinance, we will have hope.

We are using an age-old and what Dr. Kenneth Clark

called again a very honorable means of protest. We have used demonstrations. We have used picket lines. We have used discussions, an attempt at persuasion. And if the black people in the City of Milwaukee, after all this marching -- we have marched for almost 25 days, and I mean miles, we don't take short marches, and we are going to continue to march, but if we don't get a victory, if the people do not see a fair housing bill come in the City of Milwaukee, they are going to give up on nonviolence, and you are going to bring forth more Rap Browns and more Stokeley Carmichaels that are asking for violence. And who can argue with them when all other procedures and methods do not bring about an effect?

So I am coming here in a way pleading with you to exert the power of the Federal Government to --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Let me say this for the record.

The President, as long as he has been President, in discussions with the Governors, both publicly and privately, I think is the most concerned man I know of in the United States about this problem in which we are involved. There have been indications that other people don't believe him, and that is their right. But the President, in his discussions with us as Governors, with our problems at the local level and state level, is intensely and seriously concerned.

FATHER GROPPI: Well, Governor Kerner -CHAIRMAN KERNER: I did want that to be said.

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

> 12 13

14

16

15

18

17

19

20

21

23

24 25 FATHER GROPPI: Good.

GOVERNOR KERNER: Let me say as Governor of my State, I have certain philosophies and certain things I have been fighting for for almost seven years, full seven years, and I don't have the cooperation of my legislature. But that doesn't deter me from trying to obtain what I think is right and correct.

FATHER GROPPI: Governor, I just want to add this one point. That is fine. I am not here to talk about President Johnson.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: No, I know that, but a statement was made in the record and I thought --

FATHER GROPPI: What I am saying is this, if this Commission is to be effective and meaningful and not to take the appearance in the black community as merely another means of placating the black community, you know, we are doing something, we have formed another commission, or we have formed another study, we have to talk about more than statements. We have to talk about more than promises. We have to talk about more than commissions. We have to talk about exerting power to change the system, you see, and this is what I think this Commission should do.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: This is why we invited you to help us in our decision.

FATHER GROPPI: That is what I am saying. If you can

look at a city like Milwaukee and say, look, you are depriving this man of a God-given American right, and until you guarantee this man his God-given American right, you will not get this kind of funds, then the man is going to listen because statements don't bother him.

FATHER FLOOD: Mr. Chairman --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Will you identify yourself for the record.

FATHER FLOOD: Father Flood, and I am with Father Groppi in the whole situation.

We are talking about black power and equal, you know, situations. I think if you are looking for recommendations, if you are looking for agency sponsorship, what has to be done is that the black community wants black self-determination and that they are going to have to go to the black communities to run their own program and participation on that, not just -

CHAIRMAN KERNER: I think that basically is why
Senator Brooke asked the question of, I think, Mr. Chambers
earlier.

that you are going to take black power advocates, what white middle-class society terms rebels, you know, whatever is their hang-up so far as their cultural values or whatever it is, you are going to have to take people within the black community who know the sensitivity of the black community, who are united

 in a common concern, fund this and run their rehabilitation program, run their rent supplement program, you know, and also another thing is within Milwaukee, I don't know what the Federal Government can do with the private domain, but it is not only -- the city has lost over a million dollars in police, building is down 34 percent, hotel trade is down, corporation policy to find housing for black people who work in their area in a job training program, you know, participation. Now, these types of things. And based on black power, based on black participation and determination of policy. I think that is very important.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Thank you very much, Father.

Before we close, I was going to ask the entire panel whether you had any exhibits you wanted to leave with us.

MR. CHAMBERS. I have got a few things I will leave.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Fine. I would like to know what they are so you can identify them, and we will give them an exhibit number, Mr. Chambers.

MR. CHAMBERS: Let me see what I have got that I am going to give you.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: 65 will be the next exhibit number.

MR. CHAMBERS: While I am looking for that, I just

want you to -- you probably can't all see the writing on this,

but I draw pictures also, and my picture is designed to reflect

the attitude of the community in which I live. I know you

1

2

3

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

don't like that, except the Republicans, because I was told there are some Republicans in here, and the record -- all it has to say is that something was shown at this point, and it won't get you guys in trouble for it.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: We are looking for trouble so we can overcome it, Mr. Chambers.

MR. CHAMBERS: All right. Can you see this? is always prominently displayed in the barber shop. Copies of it have been made and distributed in Omaha, and it gets Amens wherever it is shown, wherever decent people congregate. And the reason I show you that, so you know that it is the kind of things where we always up against it. See, they say sometimes a picture is worth more than 10,000 words. There might be some glare there. But you see an individual represented in the upper right-hand corner, and below him is white section, and those two words symbolize not just the white section of an eating establishment, but everything that is considered good in this country is considered white. This man presides over it, and standing between this little black boy and anything good in this society is a National Guardsman.

So you can see from this that the National Guardsman is not considered a friend of us but rather like an occupation storm trooper, and these things that I would call exhibits are newspaper articles and clippings like that which pertain to specific problems.

5

7

10

;	9	1	
	Phone (Area 202) 628-4266		present room. So there will be no change of room.
		2	Mr. McCurdy will take care of the identification of
, pa		3	the exhibits with the reporter. We will take a ten-minute
`		4	recess.
		5	(Exhibits 56, 57, 58 and 59,
		6	news clips were received.)
		7	(Exhibits 60 and 61, letters,
		8	were received.)
		9	(Exhibit 62, a dialogue, was
		10	received.)
		11	(Exhibit 63, a news clip, was
	WARD & PAUL	12	received.)
		13	(Exhibit 64, a letter, was
		14	received.)
		15	(Exhibit 65, study of "Smith
		16	Report, was received.)
End b wd	. 19	17	(A ten-minute recess was taken.)
wa	25 K Straet, N.E., Wsshington, D.C. 20002	18	
		19	
		20	·
		21	
	shington,	2 2	·
	N.E., W	23	
	Street,	24	
	25 K	25	

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266-

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

14

13

15

18

17

16

19 20

21

24

MR. GINSBERG: At the moment I think we can only

assure the Commission we will obtain a copy of the script. will do the best we can to obtain the film.

this film and a copy of the film will be filed with the record.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: The record will show we have seen

CHAIRMAN KERNER: We will amend that to read script and an attempt will be made to obtain a copy of the film for the purpose of the record. An identification number, I think, ought to be put on the script, however, Mr. McCurdy.

MR. MC CURDY: It will be 66.

(The script was marked Exhibit No. 66 for identification, and received in evidence.)

1638

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Our hearing this afternoon will address itself to the role of the police and the community in reducing community tensions and grievances.

Again, we plan to have each panel member make an oral presentation of about 15 to 20 minutes. The balance of each session will be devoted to questions and answers.

The members of this afternoon's panel are from left to right, the Honorable Roger W. Wilkins, Director, Community Relations Service, Department of Justice; Mr. David Hardy of the New York Daily News; Professor Albert Reiss, Professor of Sociology, University of Michigan; and Mr. William H.T. Smith,

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

25

24

Director, Inspection Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

The first speaker this afternoon whom I would like to welcome is Mr. Roger Wilkins. Mr. Wilkins has served since February 1964 as Director of the Community Relations Services of the Department of Justice. Prior to that time, he had served as Special Assistant to the Administrator of the Agency for International Development, and in 1965 as Staff Director of the Task Force on Urban Problems of the President's Council on Equal Opportunity.

Mr. Wilkins, we are pleased to have you here this afternoon, if you will make your presentation.

STATEMENT OF

HONORABLE ROGER W. WILKINS, DIRECTOR, COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

MR. WILKINS: Thank you very much, Governor, members of the Commission.

I think that my contribution to this Commission's deliberations would probably be more valuable if my comments were a little broader than simply the police role in keeping communities stable and quiet. Rather, I would like to just make some general observations based upon the work of the Community Relations Service in over 200 cities around America for the last three years.

I think the basic observation that I would

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1640

make is our conclusion at least at this stage of our work concerning what these summer riots are about.

I would say that we would conclude very simply and very directly that they are about the failure of the system which we Americans have developed and which we sustain to serve us and to keep our Nation going, the failure of that system to serve the needs of poor black people.

Now, we think that the riots are not about outside agitators. We think that they are really not centrally about conspiracies. We think that they are not about interstate travel or even about a couple of obstreperous fellows in their mid-20's.

Now, when we -- when I conclude that the system has failed to serve poor black people and their needs, what I mean by the system is that interlocking set of institutions and organizations, both public and private, both formal and informal, by which we run our society and make our decisions, institutions locked together by mental attitudes and sets of assumptions about the nature of American society and what is good for America.

To say that the system fails to serve poor black people, I guess is really a gross understatement because by and large, when the institutions of the system touch such people, they touch them harshly and injuriously.

It is very difficult for me to even describe what I

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

mean but examples I would use would be school systems which instead of preparing poor black children for life in America, to be strong, able, contributing citizens, very often, sometimes more often than not, it teaches them that they are failures, that they have lost in the race for good decent constructive lives even before they have had an opportunity to start, school systems which according to the Coleman report leave those Negro children who finish high school more than three years behind their white contemporaries, with the gap widening the longer the Negro kid stays in school. The trade union system designed to protect and strengthen the American worker in American society which in some measure in some places acts to exclude black Americans from certain kinds of employment. There is the wonderful story of a business agent for a craft union who, when asked by the United States Commission on Civil Rights what he would do if a contractor came to him and said that we need more Negro workers to get this Government contract, what would you do, Mr. Union Business Agent, and the business agent said, well, we would go to our lawyers to protect our workers, protect our workers from Negroes who want jobs.

Other institutions -- the police whose job, I guess, is capsulized best in the motto of the Los Angeles Police Department, "To Protect and Serve". Yet, Negroes in the ghettos across this country believe, in many instances with great reason, that the police at least as related to them view their

1

2

3

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

18

17

19

20

21

22

25

jobs as containment, view their job as preventing Negroes from encroaching on the lives and liberties of white Americans. And you can -- we know, I know, that the police job in America is an extremely complex and extremely difficult one. I have learned a lot from Chief Jenkins and from Chief Leary, who I understood you heard yesterday. But, despite those complexities, the poor black man in the ghetto, the headlines in almost any day's paper, this morning's paper with the incident in Dayton where a vice squad officer with a red fez shot and killed a Negro who had done nothing more apparently than carry a pipe in his belt, serve to make the Negroes in the ghettos believe that the police are at least not there to protect and to serve them.

My own experience as a welfare worker in Cleveland, Ohio, several years ago leads me to believe that our welfare institutions clearly do not serve well the poor black Americans they touch. They serve rather in too many instances to drive husbands out of the home, to deprive children of their selfesteem, and to consign mothers to lives of drudgery and poverty.

Now, the workings of these institutions reflected in priority decision -- decision making priorities on the local level which send clear messages to the people in the ghettos -in this city, for instance, we have seen many, many maps of a projected subway designed to speed travel within the city, ease urban life for the citizens in this city. Well, the first spurs

7

1

3

4

5

8 9

10 .11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

23

24

1643

of that subway line have been projected from the northwest side of the city in which almost no Negroes live, and those who live there are very solidly and clearly in the middle class, down to downtown Washington, with no spurs out to Anacostia where the poor Negroes live or out to far east Washington where the poor Negroes live.

Or again, the message which the parents in a school which has been called by everybody from Senators to school marms "Shameful Shaw Junior High School" in the city which indeed is a shame and a disgrace to our Nation, these parents get a clear message from the fact that new generation of children entering Shaw, no site selection has been made for replacement of the school, and yet work is progressing rapidly on development of the Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts.

Now, it is clear that America is going to communicate something to the Russians and Englishmen and Frenchmen by having a new shining center, showcase for talents of performers, on the banks of the Potomac. That is a good message about America. But the poor Negroes in the ghetto get some kind of a message about Washington's priorities, Washington's judgment about their importance to the society, when the Center and other activities can go on rapidly and Shameful Shaw still stands to ill serve their children.

Now, the impact, the judgement I would make about the view of systematic exclusion of the poor black Americans from

l 2

> 3 4

> > 5

6

7 8

10

11

13

14

12

15 16

18

19

17

20

21

22

23 24

25

1644

the consciousness of most institutional decision makers is simply this. It used to be that Negroes could personalize prejudice in America, but a lot of the old bigots have died off. In the early 1960's we as a society, defeated Bull Connor, Jim Clark and the rest, and we got the rules straight with Civil Rights Acts of 1957, 1960, 1964, the Voting Rights Bill of 1965, with the Supreme Court decision of 1954 and the rest. Still nothing has changed and all of a sudden a system which used to be a very personal system which people were able to joke about and find humor in, which people were able to say, well, if the bad fellow dies off or if we beat that bad fellow, things will be better, that hope and that leeway for belief in America is gone now by and large.

People see something much more significant. see that they are hemmed in by faceless impersonal, impracticable institutions designed, for middle class Americans, primarily white middle class Americans, institutions which at this stage of our national development practically are incapable of well serving the interests of poor black Americans, and they see it and they feel it. It is not an abstraction to them. It is just a grim, cold, ugly reality of their daily lives.

They are becoming, I think, more hopeless, more frustrated. Some of them are clearly as you can see, losing faith in America and faith in the American system, losing a belief that the system is capable of reforming itself, and

 that life in that reality and they know it. At the same time, a great danger to America is posed by the fact that white Americans who don't live in that reality, for whom the system has worked relatively well, who see America's strength, who see America's opportunities, cannot believe that the system which serves them so well, and their fellow Americans so well, is as cruel and ruthless and brutal as the Negroes in the ghettos know it to be.

So there is a great gulf between the vision of
America that these two groups of Americans hold. And as the
notion that it is the system rather than personal foes who
can be defeated spreads through the black ghettoes of America,
as the hopelessness and despair increase in those ghettoes,
more and more strident voices arise to attack and challenge the
system and as they arise they frighten white Americans who just
can't understand what all the shouting is about, because those
white Americans see Thurgood Marshall, they see Roy Wilkins,
they see Senator Brooke, they see Leontyne Price and Bill Cosby
and the rest, and they say, well, we have opened up. Opportunity is here. They are able to serve the Government, they are
able to enter the middle class.

Clearly there have been advances. Clearly this

President is working hard in opening up channels. But the truth

is that for the poor black American it is just like winds

off the trees. They don't see any changes.

WARD & PAUL

2

Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

White Americans by and large, don't see this. Everywhere I go I find that they just don't understand what is going on in thoseghettoes. By and large, they don't talk to the people. They don't know anybody who lives in those ghettoes. I kind of think if thosepeople would just pull their socks up, if they would be, as many people have said to me, like you, Roger, things would be OK.

Well, they won't be OK. They won't be OK because,

I think that it is fiarly clear that there are people in those
ghettoes who are saying to us who manipulate the system that
you had better reform that system, you had better make it work
or we are going to find ways to just kick it in the head or
we are going to try to tear it down.

I think that we are distracted in this country by searching for easy solutions to the problem. Some people want to put Mr. Brown and Mr. Carmichael in jail. Well, if they commit crimes and are tried and put in jail, that is the law's business, but it won't solve this problem. Some people say if you could only interdict these interstate travellers, that would do it. Well, that won't do it. Some people say, well, it is Communists, and maybe it is, but basically, basically it is the system. It is the school system, it is the trade union system, it is the police, the political system, and it is that whole matrix of assumptions, white American assumptions, that poor black Americans are not important.

That is what they are about. That is what the riots are about. And that is the great problem that I think faces this country, is to close the gap of perception, to close the gap of understanding.

The white Americans who make up the general concensus of where America is going to go have to understand the poor black Americans are now important to the future of this country. They have to understand that how their lives go is going to determine in large measure the quality of American life and the quality of the American dream in the future.

My judgment is that the great work of my own service and the great work of this Commission and others who are concerned about these problems, is to convince the great mass of Americans who make decisions about how American life is going to develop, about how we are going to spend our money, about how we are going to order ourpriorities, both nationally and locally, this great group of white Americans, middle class Negro Americans, has to learn that the deprivations and the injustices that poor black Americans suffer are real, are unjust, unfair, and should be number 1 on our American priority list.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Wilkins.

Our next witness is Mr. David Hardy. Mr. Hardy was born and raised in Plainfield, New Jersey, and observed very closely, as a reporter for the Plainfield Courier News, the events leading up to the recent riots in Plainfield and the

4

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

24

25

21 22 23 events of the riot itself. He has recently left Plainfield to join the staff of the New York Daily News.

We are happy you could be with us today, Mr. Hardy.

STATEMENT OF

DAILY NEWS

MR. DAVID HARDY FROM THE STAFF OF THE NEW YORK

MR. HARDY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I could spend a week relating to you all the horrifying events that led up to the riots in Plainfield and this is inclusive before and after the civil outbreak. However, experience tells me you still would not get the picture because, well, what happened there kind of defies description really.

I have heard the series of outbreaks that have plagued the Nation this summer called by many names, lawlessness, riots, insurrection and revolt. From what I have heard and reported, dating back to June 1965, lawlessness comes back to describe not what happened but what caused the disorders in Plainfield and probably other cities. However, it was not lawlessness on the part of civilian populace but lawlessness on the part of the municipal police departments.

When I say lawlessness, I am referring to the lack of effective law enforcement among Negroes, the violent and angry reaction by the masses, the direct results of this lawlessness which, of course, was intensified by the sort of conditions prevalent in our ghettoes.

2

3

4

5

6

9

12

,11

14

13

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

25

24

1649

It is no fun being poor and it is hell living in a I know first hand. ghetto.

To put it simply, for decades little if any law enforcement has prevailed among Negroes in America, particularly those in the ghettoes. If a black man kills another black man, the law is generally enforced at its minimum. Violence of every type runs rampant in a ghetto. In fact, the Negro child born in a ghetto almost from the date he opens his eyes, able to comprehend his environment, he sees nothing but violence really. In fact, you might say he is almost weaned on it. Common sense says it is only a matter of time until this festering violence ruptures and as we have seen, the black masses vent their anger and despair at the white power structure which they feel nurtured these conditions.

In essence Negroes have gotten tired of killing each other, looting each other. And sort of like a Frankenstein monster they are turning on society. As the ghetto dweller phrases it, he knows where it is at now. He knows where the source of the problem is, who is responsible, how these things have come about. To an oppressed person it is -- any means he uses to overthrow his oppressor, they are just, and in his book he doesn't care what they are. Any means he uses, it is all right. What little law enforcement there is in most ghetto areas manifests itself in what is termed police brutality and it is brutal, very brutal. For the ghetto Negro brute force is

3

about the only law he knows down there and this is survival for him. It doesn't take a Stokely Carmichael or H. Rap Brown or any sort of agitator to point out what is wrong and who is responsible. Although education is nowhere near what it should be among the Negro population, and I am speaking of my generation and this is the group thathas participated by and large, in the civil disorders, this generation, are more aware of what is wrong and pretty determined to do something about it.

Inequality in the eyes of the law as well as indifference is the fundamental cause for the rash of disturbances in places like Newark, Detroit and Plainfield. From my observations they were essentially revolts against municipal law enforcement.

There were meetings I attended -- I shouldn't say meetings but gatherings -- where people I knew intimately spoke their minds and their biggest complaint, well, it was police brutality.

There was an issue of when the guns were stolen, they were defending themselves against the police. They didn't steal those guns to just shoot at the cops so to speak. When John Gleason was killed in Plainfield, they knew they were in for it.

Now -- well, quite naturally they just went to steal weapons and they were out to defend themselves, not to burn down the downtown district at that time. They were just waiting for the Plainfield cops to come in after them. When the Guard arrived, the National Guard, and the state troopers, things

mbh/14 . (Ares 202) 628-4266

quieted down considerably because they felt we have nothing against them but we want those Plainfield cops.

Now, in Plainfield, they have what they call a "Ten Most Wanted" list. This list is comprised of Plainfield police officers who are hated by the ghetto dwellers there and there are similar lists in other towns. They are just called different names but they will say, you know, so and so is one to stay away from, you know, watch him. "He is a nigger hater".

And, well, in Plainfield, Gleason was not considered a Negro hater but he was a policeman and at that instant, when he shot the Negro youth there, they just swarmed all over him. They didn't see color or anything. If he had been a Negro copy they would have killed him, too.

I have here some quotes from a rally of sorts. Now, the Friday before the violence actually broke out in Plainfield, there was a rally in the west end of Plainfield in the -- I don't call it a ghetto because the housing there is, well, I would say it was adequate but the thing is all -- it is all Negro, by and large. You have got maybe one or two white families living there but the Negroes are confined there more or less. And the -- again, the chief issue was police brutality, the treatment of Negro citizens by the police department.

To quote some of the spokesmen there, I asked them why did they want to hurt somebody and, well, they were speaking in general terms but one youngster who is a ringleader, he said,

you talk about not hurting somebody. It is happening all over the world. Now, he is referring to Vietnam, the Middle East crisis, the idea that violence seems to be the only way to get anywhere or to be listened to, at least. And he said, well, you have got to suffer to get somewhere. You have got to take a fall before you can expect to go up.

In other words, they didn't mind dying. They didn't care how many of them got hurt but the thing was the white power structure would have to listen to their grievances then, because literally they were holding a gun at its head.

Another youngster got up and spoke about Casius Clay. Most people regard him in contempt but he said, I know for a fact that one of the reasons you are having all these riots is because of what is happening to Casius Clay. Now, this was a youngster about 21 or 22 years old. I call him a youngster because he is younger than I am . I remember him when he was 14 or 15. But, he said this is supposed to be a country of freedom, freedom of religion, speech, et cetera, but look at what they have done to Casius Clay. They have denounced him.

Now, you have got to picture to this sort of a ghetto boy athletics are sacred. For generations this has been the only way the average ghetto youngster, and I am speaking of the boys in particular, this is their only hope out of the ghetto, the idea that some day, well, if I am a good enough

5 6

3

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

football player maybe I can go to college. They will try prizefighting, these sorts of things. But athletics to them, is
sacred and, well, they felt one of the few things that they
have, which is sacred, had been violated.

The idea that here was a man who spoke his mind, who has not actually committed a criminal act and yet the justice hat was served upon him did not serve or was not called for by the things he said, and oddly enough, people like Casius Clay are ideals to the Negro youths in a ghetto because, well, nine out of ten of them don't have a father in the home anyway, and the only ones they can identify with are people like Casius Clay. They don't necessarily identify with Bill Cosby or Roy Wilkins, because they are smart enough to know these are the exceptions. They don't identify with them. They don't fathom the idea that anyone like Cosby or Wilkins grew up in a ghetto and stole or smoked pot or drank wine or, you know, has been beaten by a police club or something like that. But Casius, someone like him, I should say, comes near, they can come near identifying with him. He talks their language.

Now, going back I would like to emphasize that there are warning signs as to when an outbreak is iminent. In Plainfield I knew at least a month before the trouble actually erupted that there was going to be a riot. They told when there was going to be a riot. They told when well, I feel the municipal government was very apathetic in

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

1654

handling the situation. They really didn't care because they felt, I think, like a lot of members of the middle class white society, that, well, they are down there in the west end. As long as they stay down there, they won't get us or we won't be affected by them. This is not true because when they stole the arms, and so forth. They had enough arms in there, well, they had a small army and they weren't afraid. This, I think, was the most frightening thing. there were kids that I have known the better part of my life, that they had their carbines in their hands. They didn't care if they were shot down because actually the way they view it, the Negro is shot down, well, there is another dead nigger. If a Negro child is shot down, well, he is dead, but so what; he didn't have any future anyway. But if they kill a white man, they feel we are doing something, we are doing damage. They feel that is a way of alleviating their frustrations because here is somebody who has something to lose. We have nothing to lose. We may die like dogs, but so what? But Whitey there has a home, is making \$12,000 a year, two cars in his garage, if we get him we are really doing something, getting back at him for what has been done to us. And basically, these things are touched off by an instance of, well, a clash between the Police Department and a ghetto I have read, this was the case in Newark, in Watts a few years ago, in Harlem.

3

In Plainfield, there was an instance where a Negro woman was pushed down a flight of stairs in a housing project by a Plainfield policeman and there were dozens of eye witnesses to this. Now, the general feeling among the guys, I will say, is, well, if Joe Brown gets his head cracked by a cop, you know, so what? He probably knocked off a man anyway. But when this woman was pushed down the stairs, this is something else, because this struck much closer to home.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: I normally wouldn't be asking you any questions, but we have heard some testimony from Plainfield.

Was this the occasion or the incident around the Fourth of July weekend?

MR. HARDY: Yes, sir, it was. Right. You had a lapse there because in the newspaper it was not printed as to what happened. It was just a matter of Mrs. Brown was arrested for disorderly conduct, but this policeman, I might add, who allegedly pushed her down the stairs is number 2 on the Ten Most Wanted list they have down there, and it took a few days, but when word got around as to what happened, you know, the community as a whole, the black community, was incensed over it. They went down to sign a complaint against the policeman, but they were told by the city clerk there that he would not accept the complaint — they were directed to City Hall, they were told by the clerk there he would not accept the complaint against the plaintiff policeman, so you can kind of imagine how

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 24

mbh/19

3 4

ŀ

5 6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

> 16 17

15

19

18

21

25

20

22 25 K Street, N.E., W 23 24 they felt. There was no place else to go.

Now, this woman that was pushed, unfortunately, she had about a dozen nephews ranging from 16 to about 22 years of age. These kids went right out and these were among the, well, if you want to call them agitators, these were the ones that talked up a riot. They were good ane sick and tired of the way they were being treated. Of course, it spread out through the power structure as a whole, as it has been called, the system as a whole.

Now, as I said before, there are warnings and I feel that these disorcers can be stopped before and even when they erupt. Youcan stop it on the spot, even in the instances where you have an inept and apathetic municipal government, which as I said was the case in Plainfield.

However, this doesn't mean you ease your law enforcement, of course. I am all for vigorous law enforcement and you will find that most Negroes are -- I can safely say that say that the vast majority of Negroes do not hate the white man. They don't hate. They want equal opportunity, and so forth, but they don't really hate. They may hate the system, the way they have to live and this sort of thing, but it is not a personal hate, the idea of a personal vendetta. They don't relish burning down and destroying their own neighborhoods, et cetera, but they have to take it out on something.

It is just like, well, in a ghetto it is nothing. A

1

5 6

4

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21 22

24

guy will come home and he is hot and angry and maybe he is pushed around on the factory job where he makes about \$60 a week and he will jump on his wife and beat the hell out of her. All right, he is easing his frustration, or will go out on the street to a bar and start drinking and maybe cut somebody with his razor, really over something very minor. But he has gotten out of that now, particularly the younger generation.

We are just not going to live the way our parents lived. At 15 I was just as bitter as any young kid you could find in the street today. I didn't like the system, the way were living. I didn't like the idea that my father was a drunkard, but the thing is I knew why he was. I knew why he was. And I couldn't exactly blame him because I knew he just didn't have the chance, and the feeling is among people my age, a lot of them who are approaching being responsible citizens as far as age goes, they feel, man, I would rather die than live like that. I would rather die than go through that again or see my kids come up that way. And oddly enough, you get down to, well, basic prejudices again, because the white community -- I have talked with members of the middle class white community, professional men, who tend to feel that, well, they complain but really they don't really care. like it down there. They like the drinking, and so forth.

This isn't true. I laugh because it is so damn frustrating in trying to explain to people how they feel.

D.C. 20002

They just don't comprehend it.

Well, you know, it takes time. But I think it has been a couple of hundred years now. That is a long time and it doesn't take somebody like Rap Brown or Stokely Carmichael to point out to a young Negro that, you know, now. We are tired of waiting.

As I said, a vast portion of those Negroes, caught up in these uprisings, are not living in a virtual fear. I have been back to Plainfield since the trouble there and, well, there is a danger presented by these radical groups. They are in a definite minority. It is bordering on what I would call, well, gangsterism. It is very much like the, well, the Mafia, or Cosa Nostra, whatever you want to call it. Now it is, and it is going to get worse because the feeling is there now those law abiding Negroes who would speak out against something this, who would do what they could do to keep down trouble and to, say, talk this thing out, approach it from a non-violent standpoint, they are being talked down. In a lot of things they are being threatened.

Plainfield today is virtually an armed camp. I mean the cops can't find those guns. They will never find them down there, but they are still there, and the thing is the people there are living right now in the grip of fear. There are Negroes there that are afraid to say anything. The idea that your hard core group, maybe just a dozen of them, but they

l

et, N.E., Washington

can scare the daylights out of a lot of people down there and, of course, they would rope in the young, I would say naive teenagers, and these kids get out there with a carbine. They don't really know why they are out there but they will get out there and shoot at anything that moves. They won't really try to kill anybody but the idea of having a carbine in your hand or a machine gun in your hands, this is a thrill.

But it is going to get worse. It is going to get a lot worse. I dread to think about next summer, but, as I said, it can be stopped and I think -- well, I feel the Government is in this position now. You have got to fight more or less a rear guard action. There is no way in the world you can get effective long-range legislation enacted to ease the situation between now and next summer.

Well, excuse the expression, but by the time they get through fooling around in Congress, and so forth, and get these things passed, it will be spring and they will be ready to tear up the streets again because they will figure nothing has happened and we will really show them something this time.

But, I think there are things that can be done but basically, it has to come from the municipal level. This is the center of it.

Well, I feel in essence the local police departments need upgrading very badly. In Plainfield -- I still feel today that I can't depend on an ordinary policeman to protect

5 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 200

5 6

8 9

11

12

10

13 14

> 16 17

15

19

20

18

21 22

24

25

Organizations.

my rights, and oddly enough, one of the incidents that touched off the disorders Plainfield was the idea that that one Negro was beaten by another and ordinarily this doesn't touch off a riot or start people thinking but a Plainfield policeman was there and he told one of the Negroes, the boy who was pretty badly beaten, you know, get up and go wash off. This kid was pretty well messed up, but he just said, you know, go home and wash up. If it had been a white teenager I imagine they would have rushed him to a hospital.

The idea is the kids there got incensed. They figured the Negro who administered the beating should have been arrested. It is the idea that we don't get our rights protected any more than the others but the idea is their laws, their rights aren't protected. It has been brother against brother so long it is just over now. Now, they are going to, how would you say, become aware of what the system is doing to them and, well, they are striking back.

That is it in a nutshell, more or less.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Hardy. Our third witness this afternoon is Professor Albert J. Reiss, Professor of Sociology at the University of Michigan and Director of the Center for Research on Social

Professor Reiss served as a consultant to two task forces of the President's Crime Commission, including the

mbh/24Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

l

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Commission's task force on the police. He has written a number of significant articles and books in the field of sociology.

Professor Reiss, we are particularly pleased to have you here this afternoon.

STATEMENT OF

PROFESSOR ALBERT REISS, PROFESSOR OF SOCIOLOGY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

PROFESSOR REISS: Thank you. Honorable Chairman and distinguished members of the National Commission, I welcome this opportunity to meet with you and members of this panel to address ourselves to the question of law enforcement and civil disorders.

Given the vast scope of such a topic and my almost natural desire to address myself to all aspects of it, I have in advance decided to limit myself to the role of the police that they may have in generating and precipitating civil disorders. Often this topic is treated as a matter of police and community relations. If by that term is meant nothing more than the relationship that the police have with citizens in providing services and maintaining law and order, then that is what I mean.

If, however, one broadens the term to suggest that police are somehow responsible for relations with the community in a broad sense, that is not what I mean. For all relationships are necessarily reciprocal, and no local organization, not even our schools, can bear such a responsibility in any meaning

WARD & PAUL

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

mbh/25

6

3

4

7

10 11

12 13

15

14

16

18

17

19

20

22

23 24

25

ful way in a democratic society.

In short, I do not lodge the responsibility on the police for relationships with the community in any broad sense. The police have a defined role in a democratic society and it is to that defined role that I address myself.

I should like to begin by providing some answer to the question of what do we know about the relationships that police officers have with citizens in their day to day encounters with them? Much of what I have to say is based on my own research for the National Science Foundation and the President's Commission for Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice under grants from the Office of Law Enforcement Assistance, U.S. Department of Justice, and much of that is a vailable now in published reports.

I have one or two other things which I will turn over to this Committee.

I should like to state what I know in a rather elementary way, recognizing that there is considerable variation among and within police departments. I address myse to the question with some reluctance since I risk being misunderstood. I also feel that the many people within police departments whom I respect and who undoubtedly will address themselves and have addressed themselves to the same matters before this Commission may misunderstand what I have to say.

I fully appreciate their role. I fully appreciate the

mbh/26 wbh/2603 (202 4566

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20

problems of police organizations. I, however, cannot be entirely sympathetic any longer with some of the positions taken with respect to the matter of the responsibility of police departments for relations with the citizenry.

Nontheless, in the hope that I will not be misunderstood, I want to state a number of facts and draw some implications from them.

Our studies -- I should say, by the way, that my studies have been in any number of departments but they have been mainly concentrated in the last years in Boston, Chicago, Washington, D.C., and Detroit. Three of these cities have been the scene of rather substantial civil disorders. I observe, and we had observers in Chicago during the Puerto Rican and the Fillmore civil disorder, we saw Roxbury, both before and after, and we saw Detroit before and after. I shall not guess with respect to this city.

We observed in the three cities of Boston, Chicago and Washington, D. C., a total of 5,360 encounters between citizens and police officers. In three-fourths of these encounters, the officers behaved in what we described as a civil way towards the citizens. Nonetheless, in 11 per cent of all encounters, the officers behaved in a hostile or brusk manner toward the citizen or ridiculed the citizen's behavior. They did so, and I want to emphasize this again and again in my remarks, without regard to the race or social class of citizens.

mbh/27 poue (Aree 202) 628-4266

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. ?

That is to say, many of my remarks will point out that the white citizen is as often subject proportionately in the same way as the Negro citizen.

Nonetheless, the police were quite consistently more positive in their relations with white than with Negro citizens. The difference is made up by the fact that Negroes will be treated civilly but no more. A white citizen, at least a minority of white citizens, can be expected -- can expect somewhat more than civility from police officers, that is, to be treated in a more personalized and sometimes a more professionalized way.

We could conclude that even though the police behaved civilly towards citizens much of the time over even the short run a substantial number of citizens in high crime rate areas of our cities will have at least one encounter with the police that they experience as uncivil. Furthermore, Negroes are less likely to experience an encounter where their experience is offset by a positive experience with a police officer. The end result is that even in the short run, a substantial proportion -- by the way, this was six weeks, in six weeks' time -- in these encounters, 11 per cent of the people experienced a negative relationship. We also encountered something here as I pointed out that occurs again and again in our study. White citizens on the average, are treated unprofessionally by the police officers as frequently as Negro citizens.

6

1

2

3

4

7 . 8

10

11

9

12

13

WARD & PAUL

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

23

22

25

Secondly, I want to point out that in our -- that Negroes in high crime rate areas are deeply ambivilent toward the police. The ambivilence is understandable. These are high crime rate areas. The perpetrators are largely Negroes. But, it is also true that the victims are largely Negroes. So that what one gets is Negro perpetrators against Negro victims.

They quite naturally have come to expect that the police will respond. I say quite naturally because that hasn't been historically true. The southern justice was a quite different matter. One didn't ordinarily call the police. But in northern cities they have come to expect that when they call the police, the police will respond.

I might say parenthetically I strongly suspect that much of the reported higher crime rate among Negroes in larger cities is largely a consequence of the fact that we have in a sense, convinced Negroes to call the police, that is to say, they now call the police and report their crimes, and, therefore, their crime rate rises substantially. It doesn't mean that there has been any change in Negro criminality. So we have in a sense, a very artificial increase. It is the success we have had in convincing Negroes they should ask for the service.

Now, being a victim and not getting the kind of service you expect, the ambivilence first of all, stems from the victim role of the Negro.

Secondly, the ambivilence stems from the fact that we

Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

found they have a very interesting ambivilence towards the whole civil rights question and the protection of the Negro citizen. They on the one hand, say we need to give the police more power, they need to search more people, and so on and so on. On the other hand, they want -- in a sense they affirm all of the basic notions about court decisions, et cetera. It is understandable when you are highly victimized you don't see much way out of it except police protection. On the other hand, you don't see that the police you have are providing you with that kind of protection and that kind of service, but you retreat into what we traditionally retreat into, namely, a kind of punitive relationship, then, that is to say, we want stronger, more punitive relationships -- a very complicated set of attitudes that we find among Negroes in these areas.

Thirdly, I want to say that not surprisingly police officers are a good deal more likely to be hostile and brusk or to ridicule citizens when the citizen—is antagonistic toward the officer than when the citizen shows respect. As I said, relationships are always reciprocal with the police officer, and how the citizen behaves has some—consequence on how the officer behaves. In fact, we can account for much of the unprofessional or negative police conduct toward citizens in terms of how the citizen behaves towards the officer.

But I want to call attention here to something

I expand on in a paper I will leave with you, namely, that one

345

1

6

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21 22

23

25

of the reasons why we professionalize is precisely to disengage the person from the behavior of the client. That is to say, we want people to behave in a proper way in a sense, despite how the client behaves. Unfortunately, the police officer has the toughest role in our society because when the rest of us fail in our professional behavior, we call the police. So, it is the toughest role in that sense.

I use that by the way, as an argument for the professionalization of the police, because it is such an extremely difficult role. When everyone else fails, we still expect the police to deal with that behavior.

There is a problem for police officers. They find it difficult to deal with citizens who do not show them deference. I made thepoint in the report to the Commission that we have a kind of paradox here. We think of a democratic society as a civil society and it is interesting that in The London police officer behaves England, civility is enough. with utter civility and the English citizen behaves toward the police officer with utter civility, and that is enough. But it is not enough in American society. The police officer in American society wants the citizen to show deference toward him, and I think I know why that is so, because we don't give the police status honor in our society. In all other societies the police are accorded status honor. We are an anti-police society. Failing to accord the police status honor, they enter

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

Are 202) 628-426/

the deference market and they at least want deference from the clients, so that for the citizen to behave with civility toward the officer is not enough. He wants deference.

On the other hand, interestingly enough the paradox is that neither is the citizen satisfied with civility. He wants to be treated personal. He wants to be treated as more than a case, more than a number, more than someone who is being processed in this system. That is true of our whole society, not solely of the position. So we are here a democratic society where in a sense, civility might be enough, but civility is not enough.

So part of the problem is created, then, by the way the citizen behaves toward the officer and the way the officer behaves in return. Both are dissatisfied. I think that is important to remember.

Each is dissatisfied with the conduct of the other, and so it leads to a very difficult and tense relationship between them.

obvious prejudice was displayed, that is, obvious prejudice toward the person, and I include whites as well as Negroes, was displayed in only a minority of two per cent of all encounters and some kinds of prejudice were displayed in an additional six per cent, or in other words, in eight per cent of the encounters, the officer displayed some prejudice towards the

mbh/32

5 6

3

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

14

16

18

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

citizen. I say white as well as Negroes. We have, of course, white minorities. We have Puerto Rican minorities, in fact, it doesn't have to go that far. Some officers hate Italians. And if it is in an Italian section, they behave with obvious prejudice towards the Italian nationals in that area.

So again, I want to emphasize that there is prejudice displayed in a minority of eight per cent, but remember, I am going to draw this inference more than once, if eight per cent of the people in a given period of time experience prejudice, it isn't always the same eight per cent, and it begins to build up in a population. That is to say, there are enormous consequences for error or bad behavior in a police system that don't happen in a lot of other organizations. That is to say, it has a cumulative effect in the system. And I think part of what we get is precisely a result of this accumulation.

Now, the cost of such prejudiced behavior I then suggest is much higher than my statistics suggest because over a period of time, a substantial proportion of citizens, particularly in high crime rate areas, may experience at least one encounter with a police officer where prejudice is shown.

Fifth, the large majority of white officers in high crime rate areas express prejudiced sentiments towards Negroes to the observers. In predominantly Negro precincts, over three-fourths of the white policemen expressed prejudice or highly prejudice towards Negroes. Only one per cent of the

ington, D.C. 20002

officers expressed attitudes which could be described as sympathetic towards Negroes, indeed, and I must say I was shocked myself by this statistic, close to one-half of all the police officers in predominantly Negro high crime rate areas showed extreme prejudice against Negroes. What do I mean by extreme racial prejudice? I mean that they described Negroes in terms that are not people terms. They describe them in terms of the animal kingdom. Or they describe them in a most extreme fashion such as the thing you ought to do with all Negroes in Washington is put them into the D.C. Stadium and lock them up there for about three days and at the end of three days, there won't be any left.

This kind of voluntary verbalization -- I want to assure you that most of this was never even solicited by the observer -- comes out in the course of just working eight hours a day, six days a week, for six weeks with officers.

Now, I want to emphasize that I don't necessarily think this is true across police departments as a whole. I think we confront in modern urban police departments in large cities particularly, something that we encounter in our schools, and I put this in a way that I say the slum police precinct is like the slum school. It gets, with few exceptions, the worst in the system. It tends to get the castoffs. In fact, at one police precinct we studied where there was subsequently a riot, the officers themselves were totally aware of it. They said

5 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.

Sec.

mbh/34 9924-829 (202

E., Washington, D.C. 20002

they can't do anything to us. There is nowhere we can go. We are at the bottom. And that influenced very much their behavior. Transfer time in many police departments is the time when I trade my castoffs for someone elses castoffs. No one wants to transfer a good man. It is one of the practices in police departments that I think ought to go, the kind where a precinct commander has an option in the transfer system.

It is much of the old traditional personalized command you get in police departments.

In short, slum dwellers get short-changed not only in their schools, they get short-changed in their police.

There is another implication that should not be lost sight of when we consider the policing of populations and civil disorder. We know from experimental and observational studies that prejudiced persons will generally refrain from acting in a discriminatory way when the system of which they are a part enforces conformity to the norms of commerce. But we also know that when anything disturbs that normal controlled situation and it breaks down for some reason, the prejudice will generally eventuate in discriminatory behavior. There is every reason to expect that given the highly prejudiced sentiment white officers in Negro areas hold towards Negroes of those areas that under conditions of riot or civil disorders, such prejudice will eventuate more frequently in hostile behavior towards the citizen. We have some evidence of that, not only

in voluntary comments, but in observation.

I recall one officer saying in one of the -- during one of the riots, as a matter of fact, describing his behavior the evening before, I just went animal. I thought that was very interesting since it was one of the descriptive phrases that are sometimes applied towards the Negro citizen.

Sixth, hostile and prejudiced behavior towards citizens is more likely to occur when there is no supervisor present or when no witnesses are present. This poses the most serious problem for police commanders, and by the way, I must say that I have every respect for the police commanders in the cities we studied. I don't think that any one of them in any sense wishes to countenance any of this behavior but he has a problem. His problem is that he has a dispersed command. In short, if one thinks of it, one has a general problem in all contemporary police systems that make it difficult to develop a supervisory system that enforces the orders of the command. All too often the supervisors in police departments are like foremen in factories. They are more sensitive to the demands of their superiors.

In short, they are controlled by the men in the line and not by the man on the staff.

Furthermore, from what we know of occupations that service clients, we know that it is difficult to control men in

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

16

15

18

17

20

19

22

a dispersed command situation unless you build the control into that man. Thatis, in short, when a man is out there in a car by himself or with just one otherpolice officer, there is no supervisor present, and you have got a problem. I grant you, I know many ways you could have better supervision in police departments but even when you have all of them you are still faced with the problem that a great deal of the time he is out there in a dispersed command situation. That is unlike the military most of the time. It is unlike most employment situations.

From what we know there is only one way to build that into people reasonably well and with reasonable assurance, and even that fails sometimes, and that is what we call professional ization.

I argue that given the problem that one has in a dispersed command situation, the only guarantee is high professional zation, not the kind of professionalization most people are talking about but high professionalization of the police.

I think that most Americans don't want to afford that kind of police service because I am talking about probably paying them more than, certainly more than social workers.

Seventh, observations of undue use of force against citizens suggests that white citizens are more likely to be 24 | victims of undue use of force than are Negro citizens, that 25 | defiants are more likely to experience undue use of force than

Washington, D.C. 20002

mbh/37

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

other citizens, and that Negro as well as white officers use force unduly. Clearly, too, the citizen is most likely to be victimized by undue use of force when no witnesses are present. Indeed, there is some evidence that the station house may be a more common situation for force to be exercised unduly, a situation where paradoxically supervision is more readily available.

It was evident again to us that the undue use of force is not directed towards Negroes alone. Indeed, as I say, we have some problems in whether we have got the proper sample, so I approach these data -- the first time I have put them out -- I approach them with great trepidation because how does one know one has a good sample of cases in which there is undue use of force exercised. We haveno doubt that they are all cases in which force was used unduly and I might say the total number exceeds the number of cases for each case, exceeds the bonafide cases of that kind in any of the cities in a year's time if we picked up that number in six weeks' time.

In fact, the shocking thing is that in the 5,630 / 1/2 encounters which includes everything, including the citizen who calls simply to have the officer take a burglary report, that the probability is that one in less than 200, some of less than 200 citizens will have force used against him unduly. I mean physical force, violence directed against him. That bothers me.

Now, as I said, white citizens are as liable and

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

WARD & PAUL

3

Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002

perhaps even more liable to have such pressures directed against them. Clearly, the improper use of force is not one that is readily handled by present methods of supervision, but I want to say that almost all proposals for dealing with the undue use of force are probably unrealistic in the sense that most of them occur where no witnesses are present. It is an extremely difficult matter to get evidence. Again, I suggest that the most reasonable way to deal with this problem is professionalization of the police where it is unprofessional conduct and where each officer is bound to a sense of the unprofessional nature of using force unduly.

8. When Negroes who reside, who current reside in the riot area of Detroit were questioned about their experience with the police prior to the riot, about two-thirds said they had been observed the police in what they have defined as improper behavior towards people. That is to say, they experienced insulting remarks, they saw them searching with what they considered unreasonable grounds, they saw force used unnecessarily in making arrests and that they saw violence towards citizens. 31 per cent of the people in the riot area said that they themselves, had experienced one or more of these formsof mistreatment.

I cite these figures to say that they are again, an indication of the cumulative effect of this. I cite it also to point out that I think we can place some reliance in what

nbh/39

l

 people report because our observations of what actually happened would predict at least this level of reporting. So, I don't think we are in a position to discount the claims of Negro citizens.

The interesting thing is that we don't get, and should get, and could get, the same accounts from white citizens. That is to say, I want to emphasize it is not simply the Negro citizen who is victimized in a sense, by the police, and indeed, we have had some Puerto Ricans, and so forth, in riots.

Now, of one sets aside, then, the bonafide nature of such claims and I think a great many of them are bonafide if we rely upon our observer data, it is clear that in areas where civil disorders occur, that a substantially higher proportion of people in these areas then in the city generally have been mistreated by the police. Again, I call attention to the fact that the slum precinct is probably like the slum school. Not only do the citizens present more problems in which the police may have to use force but so do the police present more problems. It is not only the problem citizen, it is the problem police officer.

9. Anotherfact that raises some serious question about police behavior and its role in creating civil disorder, that is, there is good reason to believe that riots have occurred as frequently where we have good as where we have poor police departments. So that quite clearly police behavior is an

mbh/40

5 6

7

3

4

8

9

10

13

14

12

16

15

17 18

19

21

20

22 **2**3

25

24

insufficient condition. It may at these times be one of the necessary precipitating conditions but it is in and of itself, an insufficient condition.

10. A further matter bears close examination. Ever since the development of the modern bureaucratic police department in the 19th Century, the police have been the object of hostility and civil disorder. This arises in part, because in the nature of the case the police are cast in the role of maintaining order. Some of you, and I, may remember the hostility towards the police in the development of unions in the twenties and thirties, in fact, it stretches back to the late 19th Century, and thepolice were very often, I mean, old line trade union leaders still don't like policemen because they experienced policing on the picket lines. So that we cast the police in that role. Indeed, there is a very interesting research by Allen Silver of Columbia University on how the modern police department gets founded as a solution to this very problem. The elites in England hit upon, in a sense, the very unique solution that they could no longer rely upon the military to police the masses because too much of the military was drawn from the same level as the masses, and the Army and militia began to break down as a system ofpolicing the masses. But a bureaucratically organized police department responsive to the political system is a solution for policing the masses. You can assure their allegiance.

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2

bh/41

1 2

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

Now, then, you have under your command someone who can police anything you want them to police, and among other things, they must police unpopular causes. My sympathies to the police officer who is always cast in the role historically of policing unpopular causes.

The history of urban riot and civil disorder in the modern world is the history of thepolice being one of the major objects of hostility, whether it is the draft riots in New York or the -- you know, they were policing -- if you look at it, it is a very instructive case. There one had the immigrants, the Negro in the same position today, who were being drafted into the system when the native Americans, of long time, could buy their way out of the system, and they rebelled. But the police had to police them in their civil disorder and they became the object of hostility.

In short, I am saying we need to always keep before us the fact that we ask the police to assume this role and as we move along on this problem, the more we ask the police to assume a role of repression in civil disorder, the more we will generate hostility toward the police.

That is one of the consequences we will buy in moving towards repressive measures.

Now, just one other matter I want to draw to your attention. And that is that there will be a great tendency in trying to solve some of these problems, difficult as they

٩.

Phone (Ares 202) 628-4266

hone (Ares 202) 628-42

2 **2**3

25 K Street, N.E., Washi

are. I have suggested better supervision and high professionalization of the police as two. There will be a tendency to substitute technology for investment in men. I sense that now in the bills before the Congress, to support great technology of police departments rather than to support the kind of men we need, to invest in riot training rather than in behavior.

In short, the great tendency and the great temptation will be to seek solutions in what I call the professionalization of the department and not of the men. The department will look good. They will have a modern communications system. They will have research and planning departments. They are going to look like General Motors, but they are going to have the same men. And if that is what we buy for our money, then I think we will have short-changed ourselves.

I want to conclude by saying that to assume that the best of police conduct is an answer to many of the problems underlying the current wave of civil disorders, however, would be pursuing a misguided solution. To assume on the other hand that civil disorder is the major basis for reorganizing current police practice is also misguided. Police discrimination, police hostility towards citizens, police undue use of force towards citizens, both Negro and white, have no place in a democratic society. So apart from the question of civil disorder, this is something we cannot and should not tolerate.

There is no doubt but that the white as well as the

> 4 5

1

7

8

10

12

6

9

11

13

14

16 17

15

19

18

21

22

20

23

24

Negro citizen is short-changed by many current police practices. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Thank you very much, Professor.

Our next and final witness today is Mr. William H. T. Smith, Director of the Inspection Division of the Department of Housing and Urban Development. He is highly regarded for his work in his former position as Chief of Police in Syracuse, New York. Before that he was a Captain in the New York City Police Department.

He has published numerous articles on police administration.

Mr. Smith, we are delighted to have you here this afternoon.

STATEMENT OF

MR. WILLIAM H. T. SMITH, DIRECTOR OF THE INSPECTION DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Governor. I am delighted to be here. I am glad to hear what has gone before here with these three gentlemen, and I don't think that I could agree with anybody more on what I have heard here.

I think I ought to tell you why I feel that I should have come to HUD as I did, because in Syracuse, I went down into the ghetto in Syracuse and I talked to people in the ghetto 25 and I sat in their houses and I drank with them and I ate with

3

4

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

22

25

23

them and everything else. And I know how they felt about police and I know that this is the kindof thing that develops, it seems to me, from the conditions that they experience in the dty magnified by the type of police protection, and I use the word in quotes, that Negroes generally have gotten in the past 25 years that I have been with the police department.

The problems that we are trying to deal with are generally city problems as I see them, and they are minority group problems particularly. Before we can talk about the role of the police in reducing community tensions and grievances, we have to think about making our central cities so much more liveable, so much better than they are, better environment, more opportunity for jobs, for everybody, more and better educational opportunities, better housing, equal opportunity for everybody.

Now, it almost seems a waste of time to sit and talk about what people should be doing when you can address the people themselves. For the four of us to sit here, and this distinguished group to sit out there and say what the police should be doing, is almost a waste of time, because somebody has to tell the police and has to tell the community what should be done.

With respect to community tensions and grievances, it has already been said these tensions and these grievances are the result of despair and frustration as I see it, and not only

1681

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

that but most of the causes are beyond the police as far as rectifying them are concerned. I think that to a great extent our police with respect to discrimination and prejudice, are only reflecting what they get from the community.1 So, we have to get after the community as well as the police.

Now, the police can do some things. There is no question about that in my mind. But they are not going to solve the problems and I think today these things that I am going to mention are probably too late. Maybe if they were done years ago, it might have helped. I think that the police have to change their attitude by reorienting their approach to one of service rather than control. I think they have to develop a concern with instructing the citizenry in terms of their rights and privileges as well as their duties and obligations in reference to the law. And I think that the police in order to operate, they need the support of the community in every segment of the community in order to operate. They to develop effective communication with all segments of the community.

There are several ways that this can be done. I think Chief Jenkins over there has done some of this by assigning particular men with special training into areas to find out what the problems are and it seems to me, I read this in Time or Newsweek or one of those magazines, but he can probably fill you in on it and already has, no doubt.

We tried a system of community relations aids in

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

2

 Syracuse, young men who were recruited from a group of kids who were talked to by some of our community relations people, and these community relations aids were instructed along these lines, that they were to go out -- they are youngsters. One kid working for us was a dropout with 32 arrests. Another one was just another dropout but with not such a nice arrest record. Another one was just graduating from high school and another one was about to enter Howard University. So we had four kids who were kind of across-the-board.

But they were instructed to go out there and talk to thekids, their friends, and find out what was bugging them, and if it was something that we could do to help this, we would try to do it. If it meant that some particular police officer in that area was being particularly obnoxious, we would remove him.

Now, I know that some people are going to say, I am not going to have kids running my police department and telling me where to assign people, but I think that this is taking a realistic attitude. And this has been done in other places.

An amazing thing happened. These kids started to develop rules and regulations for themselves. They insisted that they turn in written reports every Friday, typewritten. Terrible looking things but they were their reports. They asked us if they could bring their friends into the police station, began to come into the police station on their own. Some of

5 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 200(

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

these kids had never been in a police station under conditions where they weren't either scared to death so that they couldn't see anything or where they were under arrest and didn't see anything else.

These kids suggested that they bring their friends into court sessions and possibly have the judge tell them how the trials operate, and so forth.

Well, this was just before I left. We did have some disorder in Syracuse about a month after I left, so this is not the answer to preventing disorder.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. SMITH: Well, at any rate, to get back to trying to get effective communication, we had citizen-police meetings where we went down into the areas where the people were and met with them. They didn't come to the police station. They seemed to be rather successful in that they made many proposals which we were able to go along with. As a matter of fact, we changed our whole policy on handling juvenile deliquents as a result of these meetings.

I don't know what other things I can say about communication except that in order to communicate, you have to listen. You can't just talk. So, I think that to go down and listen to what people have to say is the big item in communication.

/ARD & PA

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C

one (Area 202) 628-4266

3

I think further, and this -- I may be going a little farther out than police administration might seem to encompass, but participation by police in community problems I see as something necessary. I think the police leadership should be concerned with slum housing, chronic unemployment, relocation of urban renewal displaced families, should be concerned with school integration. They are not police problems, I realize, but anything police administrators or officials as concerned members of the community can do to help solve some of these problems, I think will go far towards reducing tensions and grievances.

And I can give a few examples which don't seem to be anything great but it did make a lot of people happy. For instance, a highway program which comes through the city and bysects a neighborhood. This is brought to our attention. We find out that part of the neighborhood on the other side of the highway has to go across aservice road, very dangerous, and nobody had thought of putting a traffic signal there that the kids would be able to operate. So, we went to work on this and a lot of people said, well, you can't do this and you can't do that, and they finally did it. So all those people were relieved that their kid could get across on a green light.

The same thing, concern for children, through trucking, going through the streets on neighborhood streets, trucking concerned with building projects. I know it costs a little

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

15

16

14

17 18

20 21

25

19

22 23 24 more money to send trucks around andout of the neighborhood where you have kids out in the street all the time around school, but we had the street signs so that through trucking wasn't permitted and this seemed to help.

I think we have to look at the effect or at least project what the effect will be on projects that are proposed from police administration standpoint as it affects the children, and the people in the community.

An example of this in Syracuse, a proposed Greyhound bus terminal, a temporary one, was going to be located right next to a housing project. Now, this caused a great deal of agitation, marching, picketing, and so forth and so on. Fortunately, the civil rights groups involved were strong enough, agitated enough, to get City Hall to change its mind and the bus terminal was not put there. But if the idea of putting that bus terminal there had been discussed with the police department ahead of time, and the police department was aware of what kind of consequences could result, this whole bit could have been alleviated.

Well, I think the next thing we get to is the Professor's professionalization, and I have always been in favor of professionalization and I thought it was a wonderful thing until I went to Mr. Wilkin's meeting over at the Department of Commerce and I forget who it was that said that professionalization acts in reverse with respect to handling minority groups,

> 5 6

7 8

9 10 11

12

13

14 15

17 18

19

16

20 21 22

23

24

25

but at that time their thinking about professionalization was from the standpoint that you brought up much better communication systems, more cars, and so forth, and so on. And tear gas, and so forth and so on.

It requires training. It requires training and it requires education and I don't know how we are going to get it, because nobody wants to pay for it. Nobody wants to pay for it.

As a consultant to the law enforcement people in the Department of Justice, I visited several police departments around the country to evaluate their police-community relations training and I can say without exception that the majority of the policemen are, to say the least, not receptive to community relations training. As a matter of fact, if I could say anything, I would say they were apathetic.

So, how we get this sincere, realistic approach and attitude on the part of the police, I don't know. We need to get an understanding of the many types of people that we have to deal with and this possibly can reduce the development of tensions and it will certainly relp ameliorate grievances if they are concerned with law enforcement.

But all these efforts, as I see it, will be useless if the basic causes of tensions and grievances, poverty, slums, discrimination, and injustice, are not eliminated. These are the causes of the despair and frustration of minority groups that often result in the kind of unrest and destruction we have

3

l

7

6

8 9

10

11

13

14

12

& ⊗ 8

> 15 16

> > 17

18 19

21

20

23

24

seen day after day in city after city. The police must be concerned and must do all they can to alleviate the causes but they can't do it alone.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Smith.

Are there questions?

MR. JENKINS: Mr. Chairman --

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Chief Jenkins.

MR. JENKINS. First, I would like to thank this panel for bringing us a very fine report here this afternoon. It has been fine.

I would like to repeat a statement and then ask Mr.

Hardy and any of the others if they will comment on it, if they agree or if they don't.

Recently Dr. Kenneth Clark stated before this

Commission that police brutality was not a serious problem. He

didn't consider it a problem at all. He said the real problem

was police inefficiency and police corruption.

Do you agree with that? Can you comment on it?

MR. HARDY: To a degree. Right away they lose a lot of respect for the police department because of the corruption In Plainfield I dare say, there is police corruption. Of course, it is a smaller town and it is on a smaller scale but this has a factor in it, but I tend to think that the open acts of police brutality are the things that cause the disorders

< Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 200

1

2

3

6 7

8 9

11

12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

21

20

22 23 24

25

Well, they did in Plainfield, anyway, and in Newark, I would tend to think this was the cause. The idea of a police arresting a cab driver, and evidently having to subdue him, this incensed a lot of bystanders and in Plainfield it incensed the young people when they saw the woman pushed downstairs. were acts that preceded that and acts that followed in the next four or five days that touched off the rioting in the town.

I don't know. I tend to think that police brutality is the initial spark, when you -- when people see that clash etwhen police and the member of the ghetto, because they turn their backs on a lot of other things and all of a sudden they want to get rough with somebody when they have to take him in.

I was on the streets of Harlem recently and there are crap games going on in the streets and I saw as much as a \$1,000 among the five or six men playing. About a 100 feet away were two police cars parked on the street and there was a patrolman on the corner. When I walked up to the group the guy looked over his shoulder and said, if you are a cop, tell me now. I said no, I am not a cop. I didn't tell him I was a reporter either. I didn't say anything, you know. And he said, he kept playing and then I looked -- I said what about those cops down there? He said, oh, man, don't worry about them. We take care of them. And in this sense, well, the problem they havein Europe with prostitution, et cetera, when they do make an arrest it is because somebody refuses to make a

7

8 9

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

24

payoff to a policeman. This is when the girls are arrested in New York City.

Outside of that, if they make their payoff, nobody And you do get an arrest, somebody all of will bother them. a sudden gets tired of it and kicks up, then, of course, you are going to get your police brutality, too, because here is omeones who says you are as bad as I am or worse. Right away the policeman is going to let him have it to shut him off.

MR. SMITH: In a speech in Madison, Wisconsin, and that is a page on police community relations and I think if I can find this, it refers just to this. I said I think in effect, if there is police corruption in a city, forget about police community relations. So police corruption, I would say, would be an indication of a lack of professionalization on the part of police and brutality as well would be a manifestation of lack of professionalization.

I think both of these things are not things that we deal with as a prime cause. I think the whole thing is the man that you deal with. As the Professor says, he is working on his own. We have to imbue him with a sense of knowing what is right and doing what is right on his own through selfdiscipline and not through the type of supervision that you need for other things.

MR. JENKINS: That came as somewhat of a surprise to 25 | me, the last part of it. Now, the first part I agree, we need

3

training and more training. The last part came as somewhat of a surprise to me, coming from Dr. Clark.

MR. SMITH: I would say being in New York for a while and being in Syracuse, I would say it is different, I think.

I think the problem is different, depending on where you are.

I know that in New York City there was corruption all over the place. And I don't think there was in Syracuse. I had no indication of any, but you don't have to take my word on New York City. Just look at the record and see the year after year investigation after investigation. How it is in the past five years I don't know because I wasn't there, but prior to that, I was in the position to see it.

PROFESSOR REISS: Chief, I wonder if -- it is a complicated question. I think you have to break it down. On the face of it, it says too much and puts too much burden on the police.

First of all, let's throw it out and say in those kind of communities there sometimes is a different standard with respect to behavior and we ask the police to enforce that. And knowing that the rest of the system isn't even going to back it up, prostitution is a case in point. Much of the gambling, numbers rackets, et cetera. So, it is part of this game we play in which, you know, we can be moral.

I had an old professor that used to talk about what he called in every occupation there have to be the dirty work

1

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20

22

25

24

people, the doctors who do the abortions so that the rest of the doctors can look good, and in a sense the rest of us can look awfully good about "vice". We have to make up our minds in this society how many of these things we are going to enforce.

Now, I think the chapter that Frank Remington and Herman Goldstein wrote for the Crime Commission is the best in the police volume and what they said was the thing we need in police departments and beyond is a reassment of what the goals of policing are, in a sense the question raised here, too, and do we want to police all these things, and if we are not even in a sense, despite the law we say to the community or the community says we are not going to enforce this, so I think we contribute in part to the problem because we haven't made up our minds on what we really want.

Now, apart from that, I must say that I am disturbed by what I found to be, and I say again I have never released these data and I have some qualms about them, but a surprising amount of misconduct on thepart of police officers. And this coupled -- and the community knows it. There is no question about it. This again, I think we find is most characteristic. Slum precincts, and there is no doubt but that leads to a kind of disrespect for it. But I want to remind you that in a sense I think that we are shaped more by our experiences than we are by our simple perceptions of this, and it is much more important to me if a police officer treats me badly, than if I, you know,

Phone (Area 202) 628-426

IRD & PAI

E., Washington, D.C. 201

show you, well, he takes in the numbers. Particularly in many of these communities, you know, most people play the numbers, there is nothing so terrible in that sense about everybody playing the numbers.

So, I must say I don't think we can make any kind of general statement about that.

But I want to end by saying I think that we have two conceptions about police departments which can't be true in an organizational sense. One is they must be error-free organizations. You see, nobody thinks that the police should make a mistake, that somehow an officer shall use force unduly. Nobody can run that kind of an organization. Therefore, the organization is unreal.

Two, somehow we think they must be, to borrow an old phrase, cleaner than a hound's tooth.

MR. JENKINS: That we have got to live with.

PROFESSOR REISS: That is right.

MR. JENKINS: Just one more question, Mr. Chairman, and I will be through.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Nobody is hurrying you.

MR. JENKINS: Any member of the panel comments on it.

The Justice Department reports across the nation there has been a 17 percent increase in crime for the first six months of this year compared to the same period last year. Is there a connection between civil disorder and the increase in crime or

l

2

3

4

5

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

18

17

19 20

21

22 23

25

is it two separate problems?

PROFESSOR REISS: I wrote a chapter called, "The Nature and Measurement of Crime", which appears in the third volume of field studies of the Commission. And I take the position we don't know whether there has been an increase in crime. The surveys done for the Commission show that at the present time in the United States, the crime rate is probably twice as high as the officially reported rate. That is, if we want to be realists, we have to reorient our thinking to saying that there is really twice as much crime going on every day as we know about.

Now, if that is true, what we are saying, and I think this is what is happening, the police departments are becoming much better organized to process crime, that citizens are becoming more oriented towards reporting crime, and so on, and we are constantly dipping into what we call the dark figure, the dark figure being the unreported.

I don't know that. The one thing where we can be reasonably certain we know it doesn't change and that is homicide. Beyond that, I think we are just dipping into the dark figure.

Now, I saw that because I know there is a lot more crime going on every day that isn't reported. So the simple answer is heavens knows, there is so much more crime out there.

MR. JENKINS: That raises one more question. Was

3

that more true ten years ago than it is today?

PROFESSOR REISS: We don't know and anyone who says they do is flying by the seat of their pants, if I may borrow a phrase. It happens to be an area where I think I am an expert and I sort of figure if I don't know. I don't know who does. I hope that isn't looked upon as an arrogant response but I just don't know how we would know it.

MR. JENKINS: What I am trying to say is our reporting system has improved to such an extent in ten years that you are reporting things today that ten years ago would not have been reported. Therefore, your increase is not as great as the figures indicate.

PROFESSOR REISS: Look whathappened when Mr. Leary did what he did to the crime rate in New York City, what O. W. Wilson did in Chicago, what he did to the crime rate in Chicago. I can go in any police department in the United States and I can double the crime rate overnight. I have no doubt about it in my mind.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Only objectively.

MR. SMITH: When Pat Murphy and I went to Syracuse, the crime rate went up almost 62 per cent and it kept going up until last year when it kind of levelled off.

MR. JENKINS: Then, you were a victim of your own efficiency.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

...

MR. SMITH: Right.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Mr. Thornton?

MR. THORNTON: I would like to ask Professor Reiss a question. I have two others, if I may. We have heard some statistics of the increase of incidence of police brutality. We have asked for statistics of increase of police abuse but there doesn't seem to have been any that have been accumulated, although when some of us have talked individually to policemen we are told there is a substantial increase in police abuse verbally and rocks thrown at them and dirty names called, and so on.

Is there any correlation between increase of police abuse, abusiveness of police by their clients as you refer to it, and the increase of so-called police brutality?

professor reiss: Well, I can't answer that directly but let's reason it out together from what we know, and the reasoning is this. I said that it is clear from our data that when the person behaves uncivilly or in a hostile fashion towards the officer he is more likely to respond. That is, you know, almost in the nature of all of us, that unless certain things intervene, aggression brings counter aggression. And we know this happens in our observations with the police.

Now, if I grant you, and I don't know this because I have never measured this, if I grant you this, that the citizen has increased in his hostility toward officers, then I would assume

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2

Phone (Area 202) 628-4264

i K Straet, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20

from the relationship I know exists between hostility and police behavior, that it would have gone up on the part of the police. But you have to grant me that logical step.

MR. THORNTON: But you don't have any information on that subject?

PROFESSOR REISS: I must say I don't think anyone has any hard data. What I try to refer to are what I call hard data. We are all guessing. Quite honestly, I think I first knew police departments 20 years ago, studied them. My own opinion is that police officers 20 years ago used force unnecessarily more than they do today. That is my own opinion.

Now, as a matter of fact, the traditional way of handling people, the traditional oldline officer, was a hard character. And more, if you read the old police blotters, as you well know, there was more than one man who cut himself with a butcher knife, et cetera, et cetera. There were just all sorts of ways of hiding it. I don't know impressionistically I don't know that it has changed.

MR. THORNTON: In the surveys that you have made, is it true or not true that the morale of the police departments going down and it is increasing the difficulty in recruiting new personnel in the police departments?

PROFESSOR REISS: Morale is low in some departments and not others. For example, I make the comparison we made, morale is pretty high in Chicago on the part of police officers. Morale here in Washington

4

3

5

7

6

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

25 K Street, N.E., Wes

is very low. That is -- moral in Bostonis low by comparison. Morale in Detroit is low. I know from another survey that in general, morale in L.A. is high.

What I am saying is there is tremendous variation. I have heard the same is true in Syracuse, that morale was pretty high in the period in which Pat and you were in the Syracuse P.D.

But morale, you see, is -- you may have high morale for the wrong reasons and you may have low morale for the wrong reasons. So, I don't put too much stock in morale.

MR. SMITH: You can have high morale in a department where taking is the wayto operate and you have plenty to take.

PROFESSOR REISS: And you just take it away and you get low morale.

MR. SMITH: You say no more Christmas presents and the morale goes down.

PROFESSOR REISS: O.W. faced that problem in Chicago at first. It was a real crisis.

MR. THORNTON: May I ask Mr. Hardy a question? You mentioned something about the frustrations of the Negro. Everyone has frustrations. The boss has spoken unkindly to them. The mother-in-law is visiting or they can't pay their bills or something. Unhappy about a neighbors or something else.

Is it possible that the Negro feels that if he were white that he would not have frustrations or that he associates

> 5 6

8

10

9

12

13

11

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

his frustrations with the color of his skin instead of it being at least partially a normal kind of thing, that everybody has frustrations?

MR. HARDY: To a degree, I would say that he does associate his frustrations with the color of his skin, but I don't think they are so naive enough, at least people I have talked to and lived with, they are not so naive as to think that the white man, too, doesn't have frustrations and problems just like he does. But the idea of, well, you are up against something that is invisible when you are a Negro. You are trapped down there in that ghetto.

I will put it plainly. There were very few white people that lived in that west end of Plainfield that could go home on a Saturday night when. it was hot in the summer and just have to stay inside of the housing project or go no farther than the corner bar. Here you are getting into the social conditions again. The idea of no open housing. They are just not free to do the things that the white citizen is able to do.

The youngsters in that town, the kids who were carrying most of the axes in the disorders, they wanted a swimming pool worse than anything. In the worst kind of way they wanted recreation, but there was none for the Negro youngsters. There was adequate recreation for the white youngsters in the town. And this incensed them.

20 . O

1

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

25

24

The application of the law, there was a double standard there. A white teenager was treated differently in the east end of town where the bulk of the white people live. There was a sweet shop. The kids would be out there in masses, you may have as many as a 100 or more out there on the street but in the west end of Plainfield they weren't allowed, what we call the block, Plainfield Avenue, they weren't allowed on the street at all. They got to the point where the kids would say to me, Hardy, you know what we mean. We have no place to go. And so I stand out on the street on a Sunday afternoon and we talk and watch the girls go by and things like that, yet the men will come down and chase us off. When you walk on Plainfield Avenue, it better be going some place or coming from some place. If you stop to pick up a match you are going downtown.

Again, you have this basic resentment, these frustrations, but I think they are accentuated by the social conditions that are prevalent in the ghetto. And every place else where a large mass of black people are confined, and they are confined, this invisible wall again, it is there. And very few escape.

MR. THORNTON: Mr. Wilkins, you mentioned something about if we are realistic or words to this effect, that the future of America must include the poor Negro. any reason to exclude the poor white, the poor Mexican-American, the poor Puerto Rican, the Chinese minority, the Japanese

1

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

21

22

20

24

25

25 K Street, N.E.

minority, the other minorities that might exist?

MR. WILKINS: No, sir. I talked about the poor Negro because he is the one who is rioting and we are talking about civil disorders, but I would certainly feel very deeply that America's opportunities should include all people. Indians, as well as those others that you listed should be included. But I was really simply addressing my question to the question of racial disorders in large cities. That is why.

I would like to just add to the answer that Mr. Hardy gave, I think that there is clearly an association between the color of skin and frustration and it is rational. The figures show that this summer ten and a half per cent of the white teenagers from 16 to 21 were unemployed. 23 per cent of the Negro teenagers the same age were unemployed. We have made a lot of progress in the last 15 years but in 1965 the non-white male median income was 51 per cent of the white male median income just as it was in 1951. So that the people in theghettos, although they clearly understand it is part of the human condition to be frustrated a good part of the time, also understand that a good chunk of their frustrations are due directly to the color of their skins.

PROFESSOR REISS: May I respond? There is a very difficult problem here and I want to see if I can make it simply

There is a sense in which equal opportunity spreads the difference between white and Negro. The Coleman report to mbh/65

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

2 3

1

4 5

6

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

25

24

25 K Street, N.E.,

which you referred is a good case in point. Equalizing opportunities for Negro and white children and maintaining them at full equality probably means that the white goes up, that is, the distance increases.

Now, why is that so? Because there is a cumulative effect and that is a cumulative effect of education. matter of fact, it is very clear. Interestingly enough, you see, my children, their tested I.Q.'s -- not my children, whites -- go up, but that age cohort as we call it. Thatis because the base you start with is crucial and the Negro child inevitably must begin on the average with less of a base than the average white child in our society, even whether you are in control on many things. And equalizing opportunity means that he can take greater advantage, and so the anomaly, the paradox is that we increase the distance between the races, which has led me to feel that the people who argue for reverse prejudice as a means of decreasing that do have a case in point, if it is important to do so, it may mean in many cases a kind of inequality relationship.

I want to say that historically, I think we did that in immigrant groups in this country. You got ahead because in many cases of whom you knew, not because you were the most qualified for that job. Indeed, police departments are interesting in that respect. They got taken over by an ethnic group, not so much on the basis of ability, but how you got in,

4

1

5

8 9

10 11

12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

25

24

and partly because you were implicated in the political system. And that helps the children get ahead, and so on. And there is some sense in which even Negroes coming in in very large numbers in police departments with not so much, you know, not saying we are taking them on a full merit basis which may mean that we would take more whites than Negroes, because obviously if we do that across-the-board, the merit must go at the present time at the direction of the white.

MR. SMITH: And this is the problem with professionalization. If you concentrate on education, you are going to rule at the Negro even more than you do now. So this is the big problem.

MR. THORNTON: One other question, Mr. Chairman.

You have used -- Mr. Wilkins and Mr. Hardy used repeatedly the middle class white establishment or people or attitude. I have seen some information I believe, I am checking this with you, that the lower down the economic scale you go of the whites, the more intolerance you find the white towards the Negro. So why was your choice of words, if that is true, the middle class white where the resentment comes from primarily of the Negroes towards the white?

MR. WILKINS: I can't answer for Mr. Hardy, but what my use of the phrase middle class white really is meant to mean is the great mass of American citizens who are not rich, not really poor, the great mass for whom our retailing services

. 6

3

ຄ

are tailored, for whom our television is tailored, for whom our mass media magazines are tailored, for whom all of our institutions are pretty much tailored and by whom they are run, and I think it is true the lower down on the economic scale you go, and I would now talk about people at least on an economic scale, upper lower class maybe, very low middle class whites, I think that it is probably true that you get a larger percentage of people who are prejudiced and threatened by Negroes rising in society and they probably make demands on society because those are the whites with the most precarious hold on our economic system and the ones most threatened by competition.

But when I talk about middle class, I am just talking about the mass of Americans, teachers, union officials, Government officials, retailers, advertising men and lawyers.

MR. HARDY: I would agree with what Mr. Wilkins is saying, basically the same thing. The pathetic thing is, though, that the middle class, the people who basically control the municipal government in a town and this sort of thing, are very apathetic and the Negro is beginning to realize this and particularly the young people. They feel we can shake them up. One young fellow put it, at least we can make the white man afraid of us and then he will listen. In Plainfield before the administrations changed, the mayor there, the mayors changed, the old mayer they had in that town realized what was wrong and

5 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000;

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

3

1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

12

11

13

WARD & PAUL

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

22

25

24

it wasn't a matter of appealing to the government for a few million dollars to pour into antipoverty programs because first of all, you are dealing with a lost element there. There is a group, say, from 16 to about, well, their late twenties, that a ntipoverty doesn't help them really. The kids I grew up with, we had no ideals. We didn't know anything about Head Start and this sort of thing. Ten years ago that wasn't thought of at all. It didn't affect us. We had to grow up the same basic way that our fathers did. I mean, maybe we could get ahead a little further by joining the Army and this sort of thing, but still we suffered these same basic frustrations. younger generations, the Head Start, these programs, in the long run they will improve conditions because these kids have yet to come. The succeeding generations will improve, but you are dealing with a lost element that has a very fatalistic attitude. You get a kid 15 years of age who doesn't care whether he lives or dies, you have got a pretty tough customer there and it is something that no policeman's club is going to stop or gun as was the case in Plainfield. The cops had guns, so he went and got guns, too. And even if they didn't have guns, I think they would have gone up against those policemen there.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Mr. Ginsberg?

MR. GINSBERG: The situation, Mr. Hardy, that you described in Plainfield is as you portray it a city, an entity mbh/69

7707 807 (500 1)

in and of itself. What I am pressing for is what relation, if any, was there between what happened in Plainfield and the preceding situation in Newark? Was there an exlosion that carried over, a spark that drifted into Plainfield or --

MR. HARDY: No. Not at all, because Plainfield was set to go up before Newark. Now, as early as, well, the first or second week in June, I had talked with a bunch of people, a bunch of guys who smoke marijuana and this sort of thing. My initial interest in this came about because I was interested as to the sources of marijuana in the town. I ran across some who were smoking marijuana and they happened to talk too much this particular night and they were telling me the town was set to blow. This was a month before Newark went up almost, and yet they knew they were set to go up two years ago.

I think Newark, sure, the idea that there was fighting going on in Newark and that the -- I think if anything it was admiration for the courage, that they were just not going to give up in Newark until the strings were straightened out.

The idea of the Governor bringing in National Guard, this wasn't the answer. The thing is they wanted to be heard and have nothing done. The frightening thing about Plainfield is the attitude of the people there, the whites I talked to, was, prior to it when I was warning that things were festering, you know, oh, our Negroes won't do that. We have got pretty

K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 200

WARD & PAUL

a riot here.

And yet, those people there were and at the present

good Negroes here. Our people, no. They will never have

And yet, those people there were and at the present time, are more heavily armed than I think Watts and Detroit put together with the arms that they stole.

The thing is Plainfield shows you that it can happen any place. Only 50,000 people in that town. About 18,000 of them are Negroes. How many Plainfields have you got in this country. They far outnumber the Newarks and Detroits and they are a lot harder to control than places like Newark and Detroit because you have more of them. You just haven't got enough National Guard troops to send to all those places or police departments adequate enough to handle the situation.

MR. GINSBERG: You spoke, too, in your statement about the things that can be done and particularly you spoke of the things that can be done at the municipal level. What did you have in mind?

MR. HARDY: Well, in Plainfield former Mayor Maddox,

I first informed his office two years ago there was going to
be a riot. There was discontent.

He went down there and he talked to them. He talked to the kids. These kids, some of them had never even seen the Mayor. They never knew who ran the town, the officials. He took a couple of officials and went down and talked to them. This impressed them terribly, despite the fact that most people tend

5 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000.

.

2

to think, well, they are just a bunch of half illiterate people who reside in ghettoes, they are not as illiterate as a lot of people think. They are really, I think, pretty intelligent people, from what I found. The thing is this impressed them, the idea that he had an interest.

OK, the money wasn't there to build the swimming pool now, but he showed enough interest to go down there and say what is the matter. I am going to do my very best to try.

In New York City they kept talking about how New York escaped an all out explosion there. Well, the thing was aside from the fact that the tactical police force in New York City, I think, is really something to be admired, Mayor Lindsay went out into the ghettoes down there, into the Puerto Rican areas, and showed an interest. The idea is he made a show. He wasn't going to sit up in his office and just say we have got a bunch of lawless people down there who have got to be squashed. He went down. He knew something was wrong. The idea they were trying to get across that things are wrong and let's try to straighten them out.

As I said, there are warnings through police intelligence. You will get independent tips and this sort of thing, but people know. The Negroes themselves will tell you that, man, this town is going to blow up. This may not be a very sophisticated solution, but it requires a basic understanding,

hone (Area 202) 628-4266

Washington, D.C. 20002

4 5 I think, of how the ghetto dweller feels and this is to, well, to get an idea of how to use the situation anyway, but when a riot starts, it may sound odd but someone like Casius Clay can walk into a riot and stop it cold, despite the shooting, et cetera, he can stop it cold.

For better examples, these rythym blues singers like Wilson Picket and James Brown, these people are bywords in Negro ghettos. The kids look up to them just like Mickey Mantle maybe in a middle class group. Or any of your white movie stars. The idea these people are looked up to and their word is law. When someone like Wilson Picket or James Brown, as unpleasant as they may sound, when they --

MR. WILKINS: Beg your pardon.

MR. HARDY: When they say something like cool it, everybody cools it. Well, in Newark when they had the disturbance there, they tried to get some entertainers to fone into the radio station but it was kind of, well, it was poorly organized but it could have been done. The best they could do was have someone call in and had them record it and they played it over the radio but they noticed things did quiet down when this particular entertainer called in and said cool it, you know, it doesn't make sense, because you do have your criminal element that is going to try to keep this thing going because if they can, they will cash in on it. This group is in a basic minority.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

:

I don't know. I just feel, and I feel rather strongly about this, that these people -- people like this can be used as a delaying action and I don't mean to use the words "used", because if they feel they are just being used to appease the people down there it won't work. As one -- we have two Negro councilmen in Plainfield and when the violence first broke out, one of the white councilmen said there, referring to one of the other Negro councilmen who had been in the area with me trying to talk them out of rioting, he said, well, I have had so much faith in Everett, who was the Negro councilman there, I had a lot of faith in him, he was to go down there and talk to them and say, listen, you know, we are working on it and all this sort of thing. The idea of a Negro sitting in a city council and going down and being able to appease them, they just considered him an Uncle Tom. But the thing is I think, well, on a higher plain you have athletes like Jimmy Brown with his industrial group he is starting to help Negroes economically. These are, this is aimed at Negro businesses, small businesses, but it can be carried out or expanded, I should say, to the common man in the street. If you have a group of entertainers that can be sent into these riot areas on the spot, you know, you have got the explosion, you have the masses in the streets there, like I said, someone like Casius Clay can walk in and tell them to go home and they will. At least they won't shoot anybody or break any store windows, or

1

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

18

17

20

19

21

25

22 23 24 any of these singers can go in and tell them the same thing and they will do it.

MR. WILKINS: I would like to take a crack at that. I think that it is true that Mayor Lindsay has been very successful in conveying to the ghetto dwellers of the City of New York his deep sense of concern about the problems that afflicts them and about the high priority that he and his administration puts on solving those problems. And I think that -- but communication, that kind of personal communication is essential but it is only beginning. It seems to me that one of the central problems, if not the central problem, that you face in the ghettos is the feeling of isolation and purposelessness that the residents of those areas have, a feeling, a rational feeling, based on evidence of their lives that they don't have any power to determine the major decisions or affect the major decisions that are going to affect the quality of their lives. So that we have got to find on local levels, it seems to me, much more effective ways, not simply to communicate with ghetto dwellers in order to get information about where they hurt and how they hurt, but to begin to deal with them in a way that will truly include them in the decision making processes that affect their lives and the community in which they live.

And this is not just for the short-range, why it occurs, when you are sitting around in May trying to plan summer programs. We have just got to figure outhow to include those

8

5

6

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1712

people in our decision making processes all year long on the local level. We have got to understand that expanding the base of those people in the community who have some power to affect decisions, although it may make trouble or problems for the Mayor, the City Council and others who have to contend with a variety of power forces ultimately is the only answer to developing a really healthy community.

MR. HARDY: I think what Mr. Wilkins has just brought out is very important. They must have a voice in what is going on. Right now in Plainfield it is real bad because the feeling is the only way they can get a voice is by using a gun. is dangerous because as I pointed out, it is spreading out to be something bordering on a criminal element. It is gangsterism because you have got a group there that is heavily armed and now they have got some say and nobody dares speak out against them unless you want to wind up in a pine box.

Now, this is essentially the same thing you dealt with back in the '30's with the Mafia. So, you see, you are creating another monster of sorts.

Now, the people who would go down and would like to have a voice aren't saying too much because they are afraid There are people there that have had to leave town. is including Negro policemen on thatforce. They have had to leave town because of this criminal element. The idea of the killing, certainly there was a small portion that participated

1

5 6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

21

20

25

24

in the actual killing of the policeman and there were other criminal acts but the idea now is you have got a clique there and I don't know, it is frightening when I think about it if it ever blows up, and if this sort of thing developes from other riots that you have had in other places, it is bad, real bad.

MR. GINSBERG: Is the Mafia involved there?

MR. HARDY: No. I wouldn't say the Mafia. I used the Mafia as an archetype more or less but it is, how would you say, run by black people there and I wouldn't say they are Muslims. It is just a small group there. Basically, it is a criminal element but the thing is they incorporate more and more people in it until the idea is what is good for you, you join up. The Ku Klux Klan, this sort of thing, this is how it all developed and this is what is happening now. The thing is the police don't really seem to care, the municipal authorities there. OK, the idea they are going to get the people to pay for the killing, they want someone to pay for it, is basically the feeling there. They are incensed over the killing of the policeman but they are overlooking what else is happening there. The people are threatening right and left and the thing is it is going to spread. I am familiar with this situation but I can imagine what is going on in other towns where things like this have broken out. There are things they are afraid to say, they know this or know that or to speak out against it, because a lot of it could, let's say, be eased by

25 K Street, N.E.

9

10

1

4

5

6

11

13

14

15

12

16

17 18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

1714

the Negro himself who doesn't believe in violence. He would speak out himself but the thing is you get a small gang there that has got guns, the other group is not going to say a word. If you know what is good for you, you are not going to say anything.

The thing is they are living in fear now. very distressing.

MR. GINSBERG: Professor Reiss, I had one question for you. I had spoken with Mr. Vorenberg about certain of your data that had not yet been published, accumulated for the Crime Commission, but had not yet been published, and I understood from him that either now or soon that material would be available to him and to this Commission. I just wondered what the status of that project is.

PROFESSOR REISS: I mentioned some of them today and there is a problem in how the data are to be released. We are currently planning to release them through the University News Service in October. If I may say off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. GINSBERG: Would it be possible for you to send us a set of the data as released?

PROFESSOR REISS: Sure.

MR. GINSBERG: Then we can expect it, and thank you very much.

PROFESSOR REISS: May I make one observation.

Mr. Smith was talking, one of my favorite proposals occurred to me which I have never been able to get off the ground, though I see nothing wrong with it, and it is in line with the professionalization of the police. It is one I wrote a little paper on I called, "The Citizen's Receipt for Service". I say it is an anomaly in our society that if I park my automobile on the street, and if a police officer has contact with it, and decides there is something illegal about my property, he will ticket it, which essentially gives me a receipt that my property has had contact with a police officer. But if I encounter him face to face, I have nothing.

Now, I suggest that we could even legitimate, stop and frisk, all of the things we are so concerned with, if an absolute condition of that was that the officer must receipt me for that contact. My name, time and place, reason for contact, what kind of service was extended.

It is interesting, I get all sorts of flak about what is wrong with that, what it would cost, and so on, and I answer it somewhat this way. I as a citizen send my child to school and anywhere from four to six times a year that school system affords sending me a report on my child. It is official. It is written out. It takes time. Indeed, the total number of school reports issued in any city exceeds the total number of police-citizen contacts that would occur in that city, the total number they must be responsible for and prepare in many

city systems, to say nothing of others. That is, we could put this on a basis whereby the relationship between the officer and the citizen is always accompanied by, it can be a pre-printed form, in fact, which he checks.

Now, there are many safeguards in that system. If

I come up with ten contacts of stop and search or stop and

frisk, it is a way of making that system accountable such as no
other way will make it accountable. Furthermore, in simple
things like burglary reports and so on, you eliminate all the
contact with the police department that insurance companies and
so on might need to check out. The citizen always has his
receipt for every contact with the police department.

I don't know why we don't do it. And I would be willing, perfectly willing, then to see much more of the so-called stop and question. Why not? If an officer stops and questions me he puts on there why he stopped and questioned me.

MR. SMITH: Do you know of any place where this is used?

PROFESSOR REISS: No. I can't sell it.

MR. SMITH: Well, it seems to me, that there is a variation of this being used in New Orleans and it might be interesting to find out how they use it and what their success is, and so forth.

PROFESSOR REISS: When I was in New Orleans what I saw there was nothing like this.

.2

3

4

5 6

7 8

10

9

12

11

13 14

> 16 17

18

15

19 20

21 22

25

23 24

MR. SMITH: I don't know. I just know they have something along these lines.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Professor Reiss, what is your view of stop and frisk and particularly its relationship to the ghetto community as opposed to the non-ghetto community?

PROFESSOR REISS: I published some data on that. It is very productive. That is to say, in our observational study, when a police officer stops and frisks, he finds weapons in across-the-board -- it is higher with respect to Negroes than whites but we arenot sure whether that is a function of the kind of community selected, but one in four has a dangerous weapon. As I recall, it runs approximately a little over 30 per cent for Negroes.

Now, there is a datum we don't have and that is what proportion of the population constantly goes around armed. In short, we live in a society where in these communities a very substantial proportion do go about armed. I am saying they are productive of dangerous weapons. There is no question about that. And there is no question the police do not do it indiscriminately. The data are very clear on that. They always have a reason for making the particular stop and search and they can tell you what the reason is and as I say, it is productive of weapons.

The fact that a great many people go around with weapons, though, is a problem and interestingly enough, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

police officer not infrequently return the weapon. A good reason for having a weapon is a good reason for returning it.

A man gets off the elevator at 63rd and Coney Island in Chicago at 1:00 o'clock in the morning, looks suspicious -- I saw this myself. An officer stops him and he produced a yea long knife. He says, I am a cook at a downtown restaurant. I get off here at 1"00 o'clock in the morning and I have to get out and I walk home, and he gives his address, et cetera, a man living in the area, and he says very reasonably, would you want to get off the elevator at that hour in the morning without a knife? The officer very reasonably says, probably not.

That is to say, you run a certain risk in very high crime rate areas. People are afraid. Thatis one of the things that comes through loud and clear in our studies in high crime areas. Many people are afraid to go out at night. Many people areafraid to go out unarmed. We live in that kind of society.

So again, I hate to complicate the question but I am saying that stop and frisk is productive.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Productive of what?

PROFESSOR REISS: Of weapons, dangerous weapons.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: But you say a very high percentage of them are then returned by the officer who does the stopping and frisking.

1718

PROFESSOR REISS: Right, but in one out of ten cases there was no good reason. That is one out of ten across-the-board, or look at it, in approximately 50 per cent of the cases, the officer proceeded to take the weapon.

Now, for lots of reasons the officer doesn't follow through and make an arrest. I saw for lots of reasons because sometimes it is bad police practice.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: But the police departments have been requesting of the legislatures such stop and frisk legislation.

PROFESSOR REISS: Well, let me say this. I agree.

They have been requesting it. If you are asking me do I think we should have it, I say first of all, if we had a citizens police receipt for service I would think thatI would not be in any sense opposed to such legislation, because I think it is productive enough as compared with, you know, many other police practices we have, that I would be for it. The problem arises when we don't have any kind of protection built in for its use.

Now, related to that, of course, is the question of, you know, its differential use anyway, and whether the consequences of using it are worth using it. That is to say, Negroes resent being stopped and searched. The interesting thing is whites don't seem to resent it. We observed this again and again. They were far less resentful of being stopped and searched. So what we are up against todayis a great deal of

ione (Area 202) 628-4260

WARD & PAUL

sensitivity on the part of the Negro to being stopped by a police officer which stems, I think, from themore general hostility he has toward the police. So the issue gets mixed up with the particular feeling that the Negro has toward the plice today. And that is what is complicating our whole decision making on stop and search.

We have made it a minority group problem. In fact,

I want to emphasize again, many of these things we are talking

about on thepolice relates to just as many white citizens as

they do to Negro citizens, in fact, probably in absolute

numbers more. So I hope in considering many of these questions,

it is a hope I don't know whether we are going to get very far,

but I hope that we don't over-complicate all of them by

thinking it is somehow a Negro problem.

MR. WILKINS: Mr. Chairman, I would add to Professor
Reiss' notion of Negro hostility towards being searched or
sensitivity to being searched in part clearly as a result of his
attitude towards the police. A part also is a result of his
resentment of stereotype, that is, the stereotype that more
Negroes than other citizens in the society are apt to be
criminals, and he resents that sterotype as applied to him or
as he thinks it is applied tohim when he is stopped and frisked

PROFESSOR REISS: What he doesn't know is that many

1

2

6

5

8

7

9 10

12

11

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

23

25

whites arealso stopped and frisked.

MR. WILKINS: That is right.

PROFESSOR REISS: And not knowing that, he feels that somehow he is the victim.

MR. SMITH: And also many times he is supicious because he is a Negro, not because of his activities. And that --

MR. WILKINS: You mean suspicious to the policeman.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

PROFESSOR REISS: It used to be, I think, much worse than it is now. Any Negro in a white area was ipso facto defined as suspicious. I think at least in the large police departments.

MR. SMITH: That was taught police prctice, to stop Negroes who looked suspicious.

PROFESSOR REISS: I think that has changed.

MR. SMITH: Some carry over.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Mr. Hardy, I think you wanted to make some comments.

MR. HARDY: I want to elucidate a bit on this resentment by Negroes at being searched. When you live in a ghetto you come out, you know you have to be armed generally, I mean, whether it is daylight or night, because you know that there is not going to be adequate enforcement down there in the first place. If you call a cop, if you are jumped on and screaming in that street for help the police will take their time about

³hone (Area 202) 628-4266

9.

2 3

coming. As a newspaper reporter I have seen it. They don't rush into a Negro neighborhood to make an arrest. Someone is down there half bleeding to death. They take their time about going. I think a part of it -- it in part, it is because of the basic feeling that, well, some police think it is not worth being bothered about because it is just Negroes down there fighting among themselves anyway, but if you get a clash between a white and Negro or a Negro spotted in another part of town, they are down there in about five minutes.

PROFESSOR REISS: Or an offier in trouble.

MR. HARDY: Anyway, they are there at the drop of a hat and this is known in the ghetto. We don't like it. If a cop comes up and takes my stuff away because I want to defend myself ---

MR. SMITH: I have talked to police who say they don't pay me enough to go down and get shot in dark alleys or stabbed breaking up a fight. For \$6600 a year he is not going to stick his neck out.

PROFESSOR REISS: Which is also true. Let's not lose sight of the problem. I sometimes wonder why I have ridden as much with the police signing away any crime for liability. I ask myself what am I doing to my family in trying to find out about this, because there is no question but what you are exposing yourself to high risk. I think it is over-estimated but nonetheless, there is an exposure and it is bound to have

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000

Phone (Area 202) 628-426PQ

3 4

1

2

6

5

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24 25

25 K Street, N.E.,

an effect. I emphasize that point in this paper on the police role. The interesting thing about the police role is that all too often you are left with the ill cases, that is, so much of your time is spent on it, and in high crime rate areas, and the officer begins to develop a kind of attitude of removal from it. It is out there. It is a job.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: If there were greater police protection in these high density population areas, I gather from what you have been saying, all of you, there would be really no desire on the part of the individual to be carrying a weapon. Is that a proper --

MR. HARDY: Yes. I would tend to think this would have a definite affect on the situation but by the same token, aside from increasing the manpower, the image of the policeman has to be upgraded.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: This would go along with it. I understand. A respect.

MR. HARDY: Right.

PROFESSOR REISS: And in Negro areas they are going to demand more Negro officers. It is not enough in and of itself but at least our survey data show this, that to have confidence in these areas, a very substantial proportion, for example, of Negro citizens in Detroit say that we want Negro officers.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: And apparently, in our visit there, Mr. Thornton, they are attempting to recruit them, but also in

7

8

6

5

9

11 12

10

13

15 16

17

19

18

20

22

21

23

24

25

1724

our discussions there with one of the Mayor's staff who had been able to -- he left because he was ostracized in his own community for having joined the police force. Am I correct in that statement, Mr. Thornton?

MR. THORNTON: Yes, that is right.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: How are we to be able to overcome this? The Negro wants more of his people on the police force, yet in recruitment, the police are discouraged because they can't recruit them. This becomes a vicious circle.

MR. THORNTON: Plus the fact, before you answer that, let me add this to it, that the Negro policeman feels that the assignment down there is what you said a little while ago, at the bottom of the ladder so far as his acceptance in the police force. He wants to be transferred back and forth to the white area and back to the Negro area and you are discriminating against him inside the plice force if you conf ine him to the ghetto area as a policeman. This is what was one of the things recited to us in Detroit.

MR. WILKINS: I would just say that that man to whom you spoke in Detroit is an exceptional fellow because he had lots of options open to him.

PROFESSOR REISS: Can I say something off the record?

CHAIRMAN KERNER: But may I say he left the police force of his own volition at a lesser salary just to get off the Phone (Ares 202) 628-4266

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

police force and go into teaching.

MR. WILKINS: I know him.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Very able young man.

MR. WILKINS: Very able. Right.

PROFESSOR REISS: I want to say something off the record.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Professor, may I say I wish you would explore even further your personal receipt.

PROFESSOR REISS: I will send you a copy of what I wrote on it for OA and the Commission but which died somewhere along the line.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: I have twice vetoed the stop and frisk law in the State of Illinois because I believe that it does violate the rights of privacy of the citizen. I know of abuses of it and I think perhaps a personal receipt thing might make the stop and searches more discrete and more selective.

PROFESSOR REISS: You build in an accountability. We ought in our society whenever possible, try to build accountability right into a procedure, and I am willing to tolerate many more things when accountability is built into it.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Yes.

MR. SMITH: Could I say one word on this? If in the

WARD & PAUL

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 **2**3

24

25

Street, N.E., Washington, D.C

7

1

2

3

4

5

8 9

11

12

10

13

WARD & PAUL

15

16

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1726

state law carrying a weapon is a crime and you have the right to make an arrest when you have reasonable grounds to believe the crime has been committed, you don't need a stop and frisk law.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: But the stop and frisk laws as sent to me, that the officer believed the individual was about to commit a crime. That is entirely different.

PROFESSOR REISS: It is a different situation.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Entirely different. The police in the State of Illinois presently have the legal, constitutional power to stop and search if a crime is committed and the officer reasonably believes the individual committed it or is involved. That is not the question that is involved legally in the stop and frisk.

MR. SMITH: I am talking about getting the weapon now. Now, the only reason to frisk somebody for a weapon --

PROFESSOR REISS: But that is a possession charge. That is a simple possession charge, but the question is what right you have to go around searching everybody to see whether they possess a weapon.

MR. SMITH: You have to have some reasonable ground to stop and frisk.

PROFESSOR REISS: That is the basis for the stop and frisk grounds.

MR. SMITH: If you have that reasonable ground, you

Mone (Area 202) 628-4266

have reasonable grounds to believe a crime is being committed, so you arrest him.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Well, this matter, I think we are discussing now is a highly constitutional one and the United States Supreme Court has two New York cases before it and I hope will make a decision on this matter and clear the atmosphere in the near future. I won't ask Mr. Wilkins to comment on it as to what the Attorney Generalis doing.

Mr. McCurdy, I believe you had some questions.

MR. MC CURDY: Yes. I am directing this to you, Mr. Hardy. One of the areas with which we are extremely concerned, with which the Commission is concerned, is whether or not there was planning and organization in the riots. And you said a while ago that the riots in Plainfield were planned. I would like to ask you now -- I am not interested in your mentioning names but by whom were they planned?

MR. HARDY: When I say they were planned I don't necessarily mean there was a -- it is just for the want of a better word. They were fairly well organized on a local level there. The guys, I should say the young adults, the young men, knew instinctively, I shouldn't say instinctively, but we knew through the grapevine as we call it, that certain things were expected of us. When so and so gets in trouble, we are all there to back his play and this sort of thing. But the incident with the woman in Plainfield is the reason that,

hone (Ares 202) 628-4266

2

3

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

well, I kind of use the word planned, because her family, she came from a very large family and as I mentioned she had a dozen or so nephews who range in the ages of 16 to mid-20's. They didn't like the idea of their aunt being pushed down the stairs by a policeman while handcuffed. They resented the fact even more when they went down to sign the complaint and were turned away. This I would say is a family thing. They were really incensed over this sort of thing and they went out and started talking about it because it was not published in the papers. There was no legal action taken against the policeman, not even a hearing or mention of a hearing being held to look into the matter.

These kids got together and they were determined to do something one way or the other to atone for the injustice they felt their aunt had suffered at the hands of a police officer.

They themselves, had experienced brushes with the law because they had records for smoking marijuana, possession of narcotics maybe stealing and this sort of thing. The general ghetto product, young fellow about 20 or so, generally he has a police record, has had several brushes with the law.

When I say planned it is just that it was rather closely organized but on a municipal level. They knew when the policeman had been killed, he was beaten, they knew the Plainfield cops would come in there for blood and this is why they went for guns, to defend themselves.

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

> 5 6

l

7

9

11

10

13

WARD & PAUL

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

25 K Street, N.E., Wa

MR. MC CURDY: Was it prior to the outbreak of the riot? I think you said about a month prior to it, in the month of June, that you knew that there was going to be a riot and that you knew when there would be a riot. Were there any meetings prior to the riots?

MR. HARDY: No, there were no meetings where they got together and said we are going to riot, no. What they would have, though, are rallies. These are spontaneous rallies, kids that hung out in the neighborhood down there. Four or five get together and start talking, did you hear what happened to so and so? He had his head whipped by the cops downtown. Word got around and on a weekend, well, in the ghetto, you have got them all down there on a weekend and they are just drifting around. There are no recreational facilities, particularly for the young people, so they are in the streets. This is summer, no school going on. They are around. It is nothing for them to form a group and start throwing rocks and this sort of thing and that is how it happened. Actually, the month preceding word had gotten around that there was going to be trouble because they were incensed over another incident involving the police department. In each case it was with the police department, a brush with the law. One of the Negro hatets was generally involved, and there were policemen on that police force that didn't mind admitting they did not like Negroes.

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

PROFESSOR REISS: May I say something? I think we have to be very careful in evaluating evidence and looking at what I call only one side of the table. That is to say, if one put up a simple four-fold little table and said riot so far, not riot so far, activity preparing for riot, not preparing for riot, I am in my own mind very convinced that in a large number of cities we can find activity preparing for a riot, some kind, of the kind you mentioned, and no riot so far. The great danger is because we see the two things in relation to one another, we somehow assume that they are highly causal.

The other thing is that organization again has a kind of a complex relationship to this. We know a lot about guerrilla activity and we know that if we once got to that stage, it doesn't take large numbers. It takes small numbers, very small numbers, tightly organized. We can be pretty sure we are not at that stage although that stage can come in any collective behavior movement. In the middle stage what we have is undoubtedly everywhere in every Negro community of any size, some talk about this.

I am not inclined to put much stock in that except to say we have to recognize that sound.

MR. MC CURDY: Now, Mr. Hardy, you were born and raised there, weren't you?

MR. HARDY: Yes.

MR. MC CURDY: And that is in the west end and that

mbh/94 oue (Area 202) 628-4266

is where the slum or ghetto area is?

MR. HARDY: Yes.

MR. MC CURDY: And you said that there was a grapevine and you would get word, that you knew just about what you
were to do.

MR. HARDY: Just like the Negro does -- excuse me.

MR. MC CURDY: Let me ask the question, from this

grapevine from the people that were supposed to do things, did

anything come down through the grapevine about fire bombing?

MR. HARDY: Oh, no. This was just something that, well, if I were there, I would know that, if I lived in a particular neighborhood, well, if I hung out with a certain bunch, we would know we were supposed to watch for the cops. Let's say maybe I didn't want to shoot off a carbine on the police, I am going to pitch in and keep an eye out for the cops, or maybe I know where the gasoline is and I will tell them, or supply them with something to help them. This is

MR. MC CURDY: You witnessed at least part of the riot, didn't you?

basically the way it works. Like the Professor said, it

MR. HARDY: Just about all of it.

borders on guerrilla warfare.

MR. MC CURDY: Did you see any activity by outsiders, people that came --

MR. HARDY: No. There was a lot of talk of outsiders

ARD & PAU

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

2

5

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

18

17

19

20

21

2223

24

25

25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 2000

but there were no real outsiders. The only outsiders were maybe people who came from a couple of miles away who had relatives in Plainfield and would come in to visit them and when there was trouble, they came in. A lot left, too, when the riots started. People packed up and tried to get out. Some were unable to. But there was no organization, as far as a Communist being in there or a Black Nationalist or anything like that. There were Muslim sympathizers. When I say sympathizers, there are people that are in favor of the Muslim philosophy but they are not devout Muslims. They are not anti-white. They don't hate, they don't preach the idea of violence, burning, this sort of thing, but it just is a spark, I guess, a couple of guys who said, well, let's go. We are tired, and namely, these guys were these woman's relatives, but they were all Plainfield people who had lived there all their lives and who knew everyone else.

MR. MC CURDY: You saw no evidence of any organized planning from outside?

MR. HARDY: No, no real planning.

MR. MC CURDY: Mr. Chairman, could I just ask Mr. Wilkins a question?

Mr. Wilkins, I know as head of the Community Relations
Service that yous is a large organization and you have people
who fan out all over the country and they get into these areas
where we have had riots and where there is a potential for

1

5 6

7 8

10

11 12

13 14

16

17

15

18

20

19

22

23

24

25

riots. And you have your finger pretty much on what is happening, who the people are in these areas that are active and those that know the moods, and so forth, of the ghetto residents. Have you gathered any knowledge or any evidence of any nationally organized activity or planning or conspiracy?

MR. WILKINS: No. I have seen no evidence that would lead me to conclude that there was a national planning or that there was an organized national conspiracy to perpetrate riots in our major cities. My experience would lead me to agree with Dr. Reiss. I believe that there are people in every city that has a sizeable Negro community who, (a), talk about how oppressive the society as a whole is, and (b), how -what a catharsis a riot in their community would be.

Of course, they don't use that term, but it is -- I would say that on the basis of what we have seen, I would say that there is a great deal more talk than there is planning, than there is organized activity, or than there is conspiracy. And finally, I think I would conclude that although I could say with Mr. Hardy that we couldn't say that A, B or C town was ready for a riot at any given time in the late spring, you could say it about almost any city in the country. And I don't think that, well, that I can say that we have not at this stage developed any gauge that would give us any reliable indication that a riot was or was not to occur in a given city.

MR. MC CURDY: I noticed that -- I know that you have

1

2

3

4

5

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

17

15

16

19

18

20 21

22 23

24

25

people in and out of Newark and Life Magazine said right after the Newark riots in reporting those, that there were snipers there from California, Chicago and Cleveland. I think that is

1734

of that or any knowledge of it at all?

MR. WILKINS: We have no evidence of that.

the way that they listed them. Do you have any evidence

PROFESSOR REISS: May I just insert the question, the NBC documentary has the contention that there is a New York group linked to a Detroit group, et cetera, et cetera. We saw the film earlier and I have seen it twice now, and that troubles me, because I agree with everything you said. That is my conception. Yet, there is one piece of so-called evidence which leaves a doubt in my mind. How do we find out?

MR. WILKINS: Well, I can't -- when I answered Mr. McCurdy's question, I very carefully said that I have seen no evidence that leads me to conclude that such a nationwide conspiracy exists. That is not to say that one does not exist. I just have not yet seen the evidence. That is at this stage as far as I can go.

MR. MC CURDY: Anybody else want to say anything on that?

MR. SMITH: Well, I might say that there are people who are waiting for a riot to start to get in on it or to watch it with enjoyment, let us say. A couple of days before I left Syracuse I was at a gathering. There was a woman there and

25 K Street, N.E.,

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

WARD & PAUL

she said, I hope they burn the place down here. And I said, well, why do you say that? What good is that going to do you? She said it is going to take something away from the white man and make him closer to me. That is what she said. And this is reality.

MR. WILKINS: This is all over the country. There are people here in this city who have been saying to themselves, to each other, boy, when it hits, I am going to get me a color TV. I also would conclude, I think, that I think I would --

PROFESSOR REISS: That is because they don't want black and white.

MR. WILKINS: I also think they probably -- I don't see any evidence for that, but I have heard an awful lot of talk that sounds reasonable to me that their probably are hoodlums around who talk about the possibility of a riot and have plans to utilize the occasion for the enhancement of their own treasures.

PROFESSOR REISS: There is a substantial group of people in the Detroit post-riot survey, however, who claim to have taken action counter-riot. That is to say, within the riot area, you get at least a very substantial number who claim to have taken counter-riot action. So, it is by no means --

MR. SMITH: I think you get more of that -- the more people you have who feel they are part of the community and

Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

hone (Area 202) 628-4266/

have a voice in what is going on and have a stock in the community, the more of those people you have, the more of that kind of activity you will have. But, where you don't have, where everybody is isolated and they have no say, they are going to say, let it burn.

MR. WILKINS: I think I would like to add one very subjective footnote to that. I have been involved in the riot watching and riot involvement business for the last three summers and I believe that I discern in the summer of 1967 a stronger anti-riot feeling in the heart of the ghettos than I had ever sensed before. It was best expressed to me by a group of young black militants in Detroit when I was out there with Cy Vance.

Many people contacted out team to say stop the riot.
some of them said shoot to kill and other things.

and said stop the riot and we will help you and we have a plan was a group of young black militants, all of whom wore big yellow buttons that said I am coming from a black thing. And these kids had a plan to communicate with other youngsters in the ghetto, to speak to them in the ghetto language and tell them essentially, cool it. I asked them why they wanted to do this. They said, we don't care about whitey's stores. What we care about is our poor black brothers and this is who this riot is hurting.

ARD & PAI

2

et, N.E., Washington.

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

Well, I have heard that feeling expressed much more often this summer than I have heard it expressed in previous summers.

Now, I said I cite that as a purely subjective kind of observation, but one in which I think I have a fair degree of effect.

MR. HARDY: Going back to the idea of an organization being behind the rioting disturbances, I think you have more organization after the riot has occurred than you do before. In Plainfield, and I have been in Newark, you have more of a feeling of organization and esprit de corps among the ghetto dwellers than you have ever had before and those that are definitely anti-white, the small element that is out for violence, and this is the element you have to watch for, but they are in the minority, and as I related to you before, the idea of being afraid to speak out against it which is prevalent in Plainfield at the present time, this is what is so dangerous because now you do have organization and it can go up again any time.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Mr. Hardy, do I understand what you are saying is after a riot the minority or the small group that is for violence is solidified?

MR. HARDY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: So that a greater number actually believe in violence than before the riot?

Phone (Area 202) 628-4266

2 3

 MR. HARDY: Not necessarily believe in violence.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: That is why I asked you to clarify that. I almost drew that conclusion and I didn't want to do it erroneously.

MR. HARDY: No. Right after a riot you have such a breach in the community that the Negro, the two groups are alienated right afterwards and quite naturally he can identify with no one but his brother or the other Negroes, and if you get a small group that is powerful enough to take it over and wield enough force to scare enough of them into falling into line, they have got it. Then you do have your organization and this is when it comes, afterwards, not before.

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Any further comments? Any further questions? It has been a most interesting afternoon. I thank each of you on behalf of the Commission for the time you have given up and the information you have given us. I have learned some new things this afternoon and I am sure all the members of the Commission have as well. Thank you so very much

By the way, before we close, are there any documents that any of you wish to leave as exhibits?

That will be Exhibit No. 67.

(The document referred to was marked Exhibit No. 67 for identification.)

CHAIRMAN KERNER: Would you identify the document

C soleting W. A. Soleting

٦	7	2	\cap
1	•	_	\sim

mbh,	/102		· 17 39
,		1	PROFESSOR REISS: Professionalization of the Police.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Phone (Area 202) 628-4266	2	I will also submit one later on use of force.
		3	CHAIRMAN KERNER: Any other documents to be received?
		4	This will be received in evidence.
		5	(The document referred to heretofore
		6	marked Exhibit No. 67 for identifica-
		7	tion, was received in evidence.)
	:	8	CHAIRMAN KERNER: If not, thank you so very much for
		9	your helpfulness. Appreciate it.
		10	(Whereupon, at 5:55 o'clock p.m., the hearing was
		11	concluded.)
	∀ ∩Γ	12	
	WARD & PAUL	13	
	*	14	
		15	、
		16	
		17	
		18	
		19	·
	20002	20	
	iń, D.C.	21	· ·
	√ashingto	2 2	
	K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002	23	
	K Street	24	

