CONTENTS

ORAL ARGUMENT OF :

5

PAGE

....

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

- 8

Gasten County, North Carolina, Appellant, v. No. 701 United States, Appellee.

Washington, D. C. Thursday, April 24, 1969.

The above-entitled matter came on for argument a

10:12 a.m.

13

16

15

15

17

BEFORE :

EARL WARREN, Chief Justice HUGO L. BLACK, Associate Justice WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS, Associate Justice JOHN M. HARLAN, Associate Justice WILLIAM J. BRENNAN, Jr., Associate Justice POTTER STEWART, Associate Justice BYRON R. WHITE, Associate Justice ABE FORTAS, Associate Justice THURGOOD MARSHALL, Associate Justice

APPEARANCES :

GRADY B. STOTT, Esq. Hollowell, Stott and Hollowell 283 West Main Street Gastonia, North Carolina (Counsel for Appellant)

LOUIS F. CLAIBORNE, Esq. Assistant to the Solicitor General Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530 (Counsel for Appellee)

PROCELDINGS

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE WARREN: No. 701, Gaston Councy, North Carolina, appellant, versus the United States.

> Mr. Claiborne, you may continue with your argument. ORAL ARGUMENT OF LOUIS F. CLAIBORNE, ESQ.

ON BEHALF OF APPELLEE

MR. CLAIBORNE: Mr. Chief Justice and may it plut the Court.

When the court rose yesterday I had just summarized the three respects in which now view Gaston County has failed in its proof of snowing that the application of the literacy test in that jurisdiction had not had either purpose or collect of discriminating against the Negro franchise.

Those were first failure to show that with respect to municipal elections carried on within the territory of the for county discrimination had not occurred within the preceding five years.

Second, a failure to rebut, if a rebuttal was possible, the inference of discrimination that arises from the fact that white illiterates were registered and the literacy test waived as to them whereas the same was not true with respect to Negro illiterates.

2 Q Wasn't there some evidence that Negro illiterates 24 had been registered?

25

A Mr. Justice, there was but I think there were

nine arguably illiterate Negroes who were brought as witnesses. Of those, three may have failed the test for some eye defait rather than because of illiteracy. Two had apparently meanrized the oath, this being under the regime of the oral test that involved reading the oath, and to that extent perhaps deceived the registrar that they were illiterate the remaining two or three seemed to have been true illiterates whose illiteracy may have been known to the registrar.

It is significant in that each of these nine withermes came from the Precinct 7 in Gastonia, the one area predominantly Negro where the policy of the county authorities seemed to have been more lenient toward Negro registration than elsewhere.

There was no evidence that anywhere else within the jurisdiction of the county comparable waiver had taken place Q It was in that particular precinct in the cuty of Gastonia where a large majority of the Negroes in the county

17 lived, wasn't it?

A One-third of the population of the county of all races lives within that city. I think less than half of the Negro population lives within the city of Gastonia. I am not clear whether those figures are of record or not. I think it is clear, however, that fully half if not considerably more live in the rural areas or in other municipalities other than Gastonia.

2 I think I remember a figure from the briefs

here somewhere that only about 13 percent of the population of the cut-of-city, out of the county population is Negro.

Is that correct?

6

10

12

18

A That is true overall within the county. I think it is actually 12 percent of the population of Gastonia County today is Negro. At one time it seems to have been considerably larger proportionately as today.

On the other hand, the waiver for whites was clearly a matter of general practice throughout the county. There were 29 eye witnesses whose testimony was taken by deposition but who were clearly illiterate. Many of them had been visited at their homes, they had made no effort to register on their own and some, at least one notable example the applicant had indicated to the registrar that he didn't want to register: and was registered nevertheless.

Most of them testified that they had informed the registrar that they were illiterate and nevertheless had been registered.

In addition to that evidence, the Government introduced registration forms from some 70 white applicants which showed the illiterate in the full sense of being unable to even sign their names and the notations there which make it clear the registrar signed for them are sometimes quite candid, can't write is the explanation, sometimes there is an "X" which is unexplained, in many instances the notation

excusing the applicant from signing is too nervous, in some other instances the notation is left glasses at home, eye glasses.

3

17

20

2

The Government, of course, the total here is something like 100. The Government, I think reasonably infers from this number, who were willing to testify or whose illiceracy was evident from their inability to even sign their names, that the practice was quite prevalent and, of course, 8 in no sense limited to this number that would be found as willing witnesses. 10

Finally we think Gaston County has failed in its 11 proof by failing to rebut the natural inference resulting from 12 # the educational disparity, the lack of equal educational 13 opportunity afforded Negro voters of today when they were 14 school children that the literacy test has borne more heavily 15 on them and has continued to do so.

I want to make clear that in our view either of the first two grounds is sufficient to support the judgment denying exemption to Gaston County. 19

On the other hand, I propose to focus on the third ground. The reason for that is in part that the failure with respect to proof or concerning municipal elections was sufficient ground does seem to us to be a somewhat tentative one, a little like the tail wagging the dog.

It does not come to the heart of the policy of the

voting Rights Act.

2

The second ground while again quite sufficient does have a somewhat punitive character in that if in the past three years Negro illiterates have been afforded full opportunity to come on the rolls it may be that the registration of whice illiterates has in that sense been cured and that the discrimination has in a real sense been largely eliminated.

That is not the test under the Act. Under the Act. so long as there has been discrimination within the prior fite years Gaston County would have to wait another two years. But still it seems to us to get more to the central issue to form on the ground which is the ground that the District court, the majority of the District Court thought the soundest to which to base its judgment.

It is, of course, also a ground which presents a question of recurring importance which sconer or later will have to come before the court in this case or another. It also has a different consequence.

This bears on my somewhat inadequate answer to Mr. Justice Stewart yesterday. Under the Act after the five Vears are up and jurisdiction means exemption, there is an additional five-year period during which the jurisdiction of the District Court is retained and the court is instructed to entertain an application by the Attorney General during that period under the same standards as would have applied

during the previous five years.

And if the effect of the disparity with respect to education were continuing in the second five-year period there would then be a justification for a suit of that kind and that probability seems likely only with respect to this third ground.

So that the basis of the judgment may have a very a month important practical impact.

Finally, this third ground looks in one sense to past that is the educational disparity but on the other hene it quite realistically looks to the future, unlike either of the prior two grounds.

In this sense what is being tested here is whether the discrimination of the past still has a lingering effect. and will in years to come. For that reason, not because the educational disparity has had a discriminatory effect but because it will continue to have for some time to come, it seems to us that this basis for the judgment is the one that ought to be focused upon.

It seems to us also that this ground of decision is faithful to the legislative intent. We must remember that at the time the suspension of tests was proposed in the Congress the argument ran that that was an unnecessary radical Procedure, that it was quite sufficient if the complaint were that illiterates, white illiterates, had been registered and

that same privilege had been denied Negroes.

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

The way to cure that is to require or at least offer the States the alternative of a complete re-registration Mr. Sant answer made to that suggestion by the Attorney General reproduced in both committee reports is that that would not have solved the problem because the reinstitution of the literary test would bear more heavily on the Negro voters precise in because they had been denied the same educational opportunities.

So we are dealing here with a ground of decision which the Congress itself focused on. Now I must conceases justice Stewart pointed out yesterday that the result of all argument is one that the Congress apparently did not fully 12 appreciate at the time. 13

But there are several things that ought to be suid about that. First, the Act as I think I pointed out yes and a does not deal merely with literacy tests, it also suspends other tests which have nothing to do with educational disparities and it also has other provisions with respect to enactment of new laws, sending of Federal Examiners, Federal observers which have nothing to do with these educational disparities.

Also, the five-year period was in the and simply a legislative compromise. Congress seemed not to have quite focused on what would happen at the and of that five-year period. It is rather unthinkable for instance that having

allowed thousands of illiterate Negro voters in the South to become registered thanks to this Federal Act, the Congress intended to permit that suddenly they should be purged from the rolls after five years had gone by.

Q I was going to ask you that. There is just no provision covering that possibility is there in the law?

A Short of an objection, no, on this ground,

Q After the termination of five years?

A After the termination of five years the Act itself would not ---

Q Would not prevent under the re-established literacy tests, it would not prevent people from being purced from the rolls, is that correct?

A That is correct. I think what we must say that Congress didn't look that far ahead.

Q No.

.

2

10

15

A It assumed that the Act would be extended or that the courts would intervene and they did provide in this additional flave-year period I was speaking of for the courts to intervene when the Act otherwise expired. And it seems to me that that is the legislative scheme.

New it is perfectly obvious that this literacy for if reinstituted will bear more heavily on the Negro. That is true because fewer Negroes went to school at all, twice as many Negroes never went to school in Gaston County as whites,

it is true because fewer of them than whites stayed long enough to obtain the necessary literacy. Again, almost twice an many Negroes were in that position as whites, and that 30 percent of the Negroes of Gaston County never got beyond the fourth grade and finally they learned less when they were there.

Q And finally, finally, a lower persentage of real are registored.

A Yes, of course.

1

12

14

115

19

22

23

Now some of these results are directly attributed to State action, some are not. Of course, economic necessity made many Negroes quit school sconer than whites. Also, the incentive for learning or for staying in school or for learning while there was a great deal less in the case of a Negro 30 or 40 years in Gaston County with no purpose to obtain an education with only a future in the control mills there was not much point in obtaining an education.

Q I beg your pardon, Mr. Claiborne, but suppose that there had been no history of segregation in the public schools. Suppose that there was just a great many more Negro illiterates for the economic or social reason; that you have been talking about, would it nonetheless follow that you could not apply a literacy test?

A Mr. Justice, I would so argue if it were necessary. Here it is so clear that the State and local Governments do bear some responsibility for the lower literacy

of the Negro that I needn't make that argument. I think the Act says when the effect is to bear more heavily on the Negro francise it is a forbidden test especially so when it is designedly chosen with that reality in mind as this literacy test was originally, and presumably that is the reason it are continued.

Q Am I right in concluding that the burden of your argument is that at least where there has been a State decile or a state encouraged segregation, segregated school system the State cannot have a -- neither State nor county can have a -- literacy test and still be free from the provisions of the Act here in issue?

12

13

14

15

16

A Well, we do say that, Mr. Justice. But analwe don't quite go that far. Here there is a violation of not only Brown versus the Board but also Plessey versus Ferguson. Not only was the education segregated but it war grossly unequal. Thirty-five percent of the present-day voting age Megro population went to school before 1918, 50 Percent of that present-day voting age population went to school before 1930, before Mr. Jeffers the plaintiff's expect knew anything about it, 50 percent.

Now in the period preceding 1918 with less than onefifth of the money spent on white teacher salaries was spent on Negro teacher salaries. The result was that 95 percent of the Negro teachers had second-class certificates whereas most

of the white teachers had first-class certificates.

This Negro education in that day was in large part in one classroom with all grades taught together. Money, of course, is not the full answer to education but as we well know it can have a very serious practical impact on the quality of education that results.

Finally, let me say that what we should be comparing here, it is true that this last test was a rolatively easy test, we must remember that there was an oral test for a lew years before that which was not so easy -- it involved reading an oath.

But what we should compare is not high school graduates of both races or even elementary school graduater of both races, but those who only went to school for one, or two or three or four years, as to them even the slightest disparity would result in one group being able to pass the test and the other not.

We know, as I have just indicated that there are many in that category in Gaston County who were only able for one 20 reason or inother to attend school for this short time.

Finally, let me say that the suspension of the test
has Fad, though belatedly, a very substantial practical impact.
There has now Leen a 25 percent increase in Negro registration
in the county out of originally some 4,100 Negroes registered
there are now 5,100 Negroes registered, a thousand increase on
this small number.

Q I notice you have 52 to 61 percent. Didn't you tell us yesterday?

A That is correct but that works our ---

Q Does that work out to 25 percent?

ß

A I assume it does, Mr. Justice. My mathematics are delinquent.

(Whereupon, at 10:30 a.m. the oral argument in the above-entitled matter was concluded.)