LETTER TO FREDERICK DOUGLASS, WITH HIS REPLY.

DONCASTER, Dec. 12th, 1846.

This is the first letter of advice I ever wrote to you—it is the last. I like to bear the responsibility of my own existence. I like to see others bear theirs. I say what I am about to say, because I think it is my right and duty to say it; at the same time, not wishing to interfere with your right to follow my advice, or not, as you shall see fit. That Cortifering of your freedom. That Bill of Sule of follow my advice, or not, as you stant see ht. I hat Certificate of your freedom, that Bill of Sele of your body and soul, from that villain, Auld, who dured to claim you as a chattel, and set a price on nor to refer to it, as of any authority to establish fact that you are a Freeman, and not a Slave-Man, and not a Chattel.

The moment you entered a non-slave State position ceased to be Frederick Donalass The moment you entered a non-since sente, your position censed to be Frederick Douglass, versus Thomas Auld, and became Frederick Douglass, versus the United States. From that hour, you became the antagonist of that Republic.

As a nation, that confederacy, professing to be eased upon the principle, that God made you free, and gave you an inalienable right to liberty, claims a right of property in your holy and soul-so turn

of paper, signed and sealed by an acknowled thief, but by the declaration of a penitent ion, prostrate at your feet, in tears, suing to you to God for forgiveness, for the outrages comted against God and man, in your person. That slave-breeding nation has dared to claim, and 3,000,000 of your fellow-men, as chattels always to be bought and sold and her heldered. and sealed by, an acknowl-

piness—from slavery to freedom—from a Chante to a Man. As an advocate for yourself, and your 3,000,000 brethren, you have joined issue with it— and, in the name of God and humanity, you will conquer! The nation must and shall be humbled that claim, and attempt your re-enslavement! It is worth running some risk, for the sake of the conflict, and the certain result.

Your wife and children are there, it is true, and

you must return to them; but the greater will be your power to grapple with the mouster; the shortyour power to grapple with the moister; the shorter and more glorious will be the conflict; the more sure and complete the victory, if you go as the antagonist of a nation that claims you as a slave, as a chattel, a man turned into an article of merchandise. You would be armed with an irresistible power, when, as a self-emancipated captive, you arraigned that piratical Republic before the world. You would be sheltered and sustained by the sympathies of millions. The advantages of your present position should not be sacrificed to a desire for greater security.

ent position should not be sacrificed to a desire for greater security.

But I will go no further. You will think that what I have said has more of indignation than of reason in it. It may be so. Feeling is often a safer and a wiser guide than logic. Of all guilty men, the American slaveholder is the most guilty, and the meanest, the most impudent, most despicable, and most inexcusable in his guilt; except, it may be, those, who, in the non-slave States, and in Scorland and England, stand sponsors for his social respectability and personal Christianity, and who thus associate our Redeemer in loving fellowship with men who are the living embodiment of the sum of all villany. all villany.

Before concluding, I wish too add, that, in what I

Before concluding, I wish too add, that, in what I have said, I would not arraign the motives of those who have, as they believe, sought to betriend you in this matter. I believe Anna Richardson, and all who have taken part in this transaction, have been actuated by the purest motives of kindness to you and your family, and by a desire, through the purchase of your freedom, to benefit the American slaves. But they have erred in judgment, as it appears to me. Forgive this, if it needs forgiveness. I delight to see you loved and honored by all, and to see you made an instrument, by the God of the oppressed, of humbling in the dust, that gigantic har and hypocrite, the American Republic, that stands with the Bible and Declaration of Independence in its hands, and its heel planted on the necks of 3,000,000 of slaves.

Thine sincerely,

H. C. WRIGHT.

FREDERICK DOUGLASS'S REPLY.

22, St. Ann's Square, Manchester, 22d Dec., 1846. HENRY C. WRIGHT:

HENRY C. WRIGHT:

DEAR FRIEND:—Your letter of the 12th December reached me at this place, yesterday. Please accept my heartfelt thanks for it. I am sorry that you deemed it necessary to assure me, that it would be the last letter of advice you would ever write me. It looked as if you were about to cast me off for ever! I do not, however, think you meant to convey any such meaning; and if you did, I am sure you will see cause to change your mind, and to receive me again into the fold of those, whom it should ever be your pleasure to advise and init should ever be your pleasure to advise and in-

it should ever be your pleasure to advise and instruct.

The subject of your letter is one of deep importance, and upon which, I have thought and felimitch; and, being the party of all others most deeply concerned, it is natural to suppose I have an opinion, and ought to be able to give it on all fitting occasions. I deem this a fitting occasion, and shall act accordingly.

You have given me your opinion: I am glad you have done so. You have given it to me direct, in your own emphatic way. You never speak insipidly, smoothly, or mineingly; you have strictly adhered to your custom, in the letter before me. I now take great pleasure in giving you my opinion, as plainly and unreservedly as you have given yours, and I trust with equal good feeling and purity of motive. I take it, that nearly all that can be said against my position is contained in your letter; for if any man in the wide world would be likely to find valid objections to such a transaction as the one under consideration, I regard you as

sought in vain to find anything like what I can regard a valid reason against the purchase of my body, or against my receiving the manumission papers, if they are ever presented to me.

Let me, in the first place, state the facts and circumstances of the transaction which you so strongly condemn. It is your right to do so, and God forbid that I should ever cherish the slightest desire to restrain you in the exercise of that right. I say to you at once, and in all the fulness of sincerity, speak out; speak treely; keep nothing back; let me know your whole mind. 'Hew to the line, though the chips fly in my face.' Tell me, and tell me plainly, when you think I am deviating from the strict line of duty and principle; and when I become unwilling to hear, I shall have attained a character which I now despise, and the facts.

the facts.

I am in England, my family are in the Trine's States. My sphere of usefulness is in the United States; my public and domestic duties are there; and there it seems my duty to go. But I am legally the property of Thomas Anid, and if I go to the United States, (no matter to what part, for there is of City of Roffing there no snot surred to freedom. United Some of Refuge there, there,) Thomas Auld, aided by me rement, can seize, bind and fetter, and drag me from my family, feed his cruel revenge upon me, and doom me to unending slavery. In view of this simple statement of facts, a few friends, dead secing me released from the terrible harmonic my wife and children from the liamon of safety of Thomas And, £130—in consideration of which, Hugh Auld (acting as his agent) and the Government of the United States agree, that I shall be free from all further legal liability.

These, dear friend, are the facts of the whole transaction. The principle here acted on by my friends, and that upon which I shall act in received the state of t

ing the manumission papers, I deem quite defen-First, as to those who acted as my friends, and

rust, as a most two acted a sing friends, and meractions. The actuating motive was, to secure me
from a liability full of horrible forebodings to myself and family. With this object, I will do you
the justice to say, I believe you fully unite, although
some parts of your letters would seem to justify a
different belief.

different belief.

Then, as to the measure adopted to secure this result. Does it violate a fundamental principle, or does it not? This is the question, and to my mind the only question of importance, involved in the discussion. I believe that, on our part, no just are that which has been violeted.

argument, let me say one other word; it is this— I do not think you have acted quite consistently

so far from being a violation of that principle, it is truly a noble vindication of it. Before going furtruly a none vindication of it. Before going further, let me state here, briefly, what sort of a purchase would have been a violation of this principle, which, in common with yourself, I reverence, and am anxious to preserve inviolate.

1st. It would have been a violation of that principle.

riple, had those who purchased me done so, to make me slave, instead of a freeman. And, 2ndly. It would have been a violation of that principle, had those who purchased me done so with a view to compensate the slaveholder, for what he and they regarded as his rightful property.

what he and they regarded as his rightful property.

In neither of these ways was my purchase effected. My liberation was, in their estimation, of more value than £150; the happiness and repose of my family were, in their judgment, more than paltry gold. The £150 was paid to the remorseless plunderer, not because he had any just claim to it, but to induce him to give up his legal claim to something which they deemed of more value than money. It was not to compensate the slaveholder, but to release me from his power; not to establish my natural right to freedom, but to release me from all legal habilities to slavery. And all this, you and I, and the slaveholders, and all who know anything of the transaction, very well understand. The very letter to Hugh Andi, proposing terms of purchase, informed him that those who gave, denied his right to it. The error of those, who condemn this transaction, consists in their confounding the crime of buying men into slavery, with the meritorious act of buying men out of slavery, and the purchase of legal freedom with abstract right and natural freedom. They say, 'If you buy, you recognize the right to sell. If you receive, you recognize the right to sell. If you receive, you recognize the right of the giver to give.' And this has a show of truth, as well as of logic. But a few plain cases will show its entire fallacy.

There is now, in this country, a heavy duty on corn. The government of this country has impos-

few plain cases will show its entire fallacy.

There is now, in this country, a heavy duty on corn. The government of this country has imposed it; and though I regard it a most unjust and wicked imposition, no man of common sense will charge me with endorsing or recognizing the right this government to impose this duty, simply because, to prevent myself and family from starving, I buy and eat this corn.

cause, to prevent myself and family from starving. I buy and eat this corn.

Take another case:—I have had dealings with a man. I have owed him one hundred dollars, and have paid it; I have lost the receipt. He comes upon me the second time for the money. I know, and he knows, he has no right to it; but he is a villain, and has me in his power. The law is with him, and against me. I must pay or be dragged to jail. I choose to pay the bill a second time. To say I sanctioned bis right to rob me, because I preferred to pay rather than go to jail, is to utter an absurdity, to which no same man would give heed. And yet the principle of action, in each of these cases, is the same. The man might indeed say, the claim is unjust—and declare, I will rot in jail, before I will pay it. But this would not, certainly, be demanded by any principle of truth, justice, or humanity; and however much we might be disposed to respect his daring, but little delerence could be paid to his wisdom. The fact is, we act upon this principle every day of our lives and we have an undoubted right to do so. When I came to this country from the United States, I came in the second cabin. And why? Not be-

cause my natural right to come in the first cabin was not as good as that of any other man, but because a wicked and cruel prejudice decided, that the second cabin was the place for me. By coming over in the second, did I sanction or justify this wicked proscription? Not at all. It was the best I could do. I acted from necessity.

One other case, and I have done with this view of the subject. I think you will agree with me, that the case I am now about to put is pertinent, though you may not readily purdon me for making

that the case I am now about to put is pertinent, though you may not readily pardon me for making yourself the agent of my illustration. The case respects the passport system on the Continent of Europe. That system you utterly condemn. You look upon it as an unjust and wicked interference, a bold and infunous violation of the natural and sacred right of locomotion. You hold, (and so do I,) that the image of our common God ought to be a passportall over the habitable world. But bloody and tyrannical governments have ordained otherwise; they usurp authority over you, and decide a possportall over the habitable world. But bloody and tyrannical governments have ordained otherwise; they usurp authority over you, and decide for you, on what conditions you shall travel. They say, you shall have a possport, or you shall be put in prison. Now, the question is, have they a right to prescribe any such terms? and do you, by complying with these terms, sanction their interference? I think you will answer, no; submission to injustice, and sanction of injustice, are different things; and he is a poor reasoner who confounds the two, and makes them one and the same thing. Now, then, for the parallel, and the application of the passport system to my own

ural right to go there, and be free. My nat-right is as good as that of Hugh Ardd, or Jan K. Polk; hat that plundering government any shall not return to the United States in safety shall not return to the United States in safety—it says, I must allow Hugh Auld to rob me, or my friends, of £150, or be hurled into the infernal jaws of slavery. I must have a 'bit of paper, signed and sealed,' or my liberty must be taken from me, and I must be torn from my family and friends. The government of Austria said to you, 'Date to come upon my soil, without a passport, declaring you to be an American citizen, (which you say you are not.) you shall at once be arrested, and thrown into prison.' What said you to that Government?

subject; and I dismiss it, feeling quite satisfied of the entire correctness of the reasoning, and the principle attempted to be maintained. As to the But my friends thought it best to provide against the contingency; they acted on their own respon-sibility, and I am not disturbed about the result.

sibility, and I am not disturbed about the result. But, having acted on a true principle, I do not feel free to disarow their proceedings.

In conclusion, let me say, I anticipate no such change in my position as you predict. I shall be Frederick Douglass still, and once a slave still. I shall neither be made to forget nor cease to feel the wrongs of my enslaved fellow-countrymen. My knowledge of slavery will be the same, and my hatred of it will be the same. By the way, I have never made my own person and suffering the theme of public discourse, but have always based my appeal upon the wrongs of the plundering clinicater of the American governal is shall be the brand of infamy, stamping it tion, in whose name the deed was done great aggrégation of bypocrites, thieves and—and their condemnation is just. They determine the property of the property of

great aggrégation of hypocrites, thieves and liars,—and their condemnation is just. They declare that all men are created equal, and have a natural and inalienable right to liberty, while they rob me of £150, as a condition of my enjoying this natural and inalienable right. It will be their condemnation, in their own hand-writing, and may be held up to the world as a means of humbling that haughty republic into repentance.

I agree with you, that the contest which I have to wage is against the government of the United States. But the representative of that government is the slaveholder, Thomas Auld. He is commander-in-chief of the army and navy. The whole civil and naval force of the nation are at his disposal. He may command all these to his assistance, and bring them all to hear upon me, until I am made entirely subject to his will, or submit to be robbed myself, or allow my friends to be robbed, of seven hundred and fifty dollars.

Sincerely vours,

FREDERICK DOUGLASS. FREDERICK DOUGLASS.