RECORD AND BRIEFS





# IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

ABIGAIL NOEL FISHER,

Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, et al.,

Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE COALITION OF BAR ASSOCIATIONS OF COLOR (NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION, HISPANIC NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION, NATIONAL ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, AND NATIONAL NATIVE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ) IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

> JONATHAN M. COHEN Counsel of Record MARK A. PACKMAN ADRIAN C. AZER IVAN SNYDER JENNA A. HUDSON GILBERT LLP 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 772-2259 cohenj@gotofirm.com

Counsel for National Bar Association

[Additional Counsel for Amici Curiae listed on inside cover]

Library of Congress Lew Library JOHN PAGE DEMETRIS CHEATHAM NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION 1225 11th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 (202) 842-3900

BENNY AGOSTO, JR. PETER M. REYES, JR. HISPANIC NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION 1900 L Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 223-4777

> WENDY SHIBA TINA MATSUOKA NATIONAL ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION 1612 K Street N.W. Suite 1400 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 775-9555

> PATTY FERGUSON-BOHNEE ROBERT O. SAUNOOKE NATIONAL NATIVE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION P.O. Box 75011 Oklahoma City, OK 73106 (405) 761-1723

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABI	LE OF CONTENTSi
TABI	LE OF AUTHORITIES ii
STAT	TEMENT OF INTEREST OF <i>AMICI</i> CURIAE 1
SUM	MARY OF ARGUMENT6
ARG	UMENT
I.	A COMPELLING GOVERNMENTAL INTEREST EXISTS IN CREATING AND MAINTAINING DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION
II.	DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION HAS NOT YET REACHED "CRITICAL MASS"16
	A. Minorities Historically Have Been Excluded From Educational Opportunities And The Legal Profession
	B. Critical Mass Has Not Been Achieved In The Legal Profession
111.	ELIMINATING RACE-CONSCIOUS COLLEGE ADMISSIONS WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION27
IV.	CONCLUSION

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

## Page(s)

.

### FEDERAL CASES

Epps v. Carmichael, 93 F. Supp. 327 (M.D.N.C. 1950)	8
Gong Lum v. Rice, 275 U.S. 78 (1927)19	9
Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003) passin	n
Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896)19	9
Regents of the University of California v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265 (1978)6, 7, 20	0
Sweatt v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629 (1950)7, 20, 3	1
United States v. Associated Press, 52 F. Supp. 362 (S.D.N.Y. 1943)	6
Other Cases	
Rice v. Gong Lum, 104 So. 105 (1925)19	9
Rules	
Supreme Court Rule 37.3	1
Supreme Court Rule 37.6	1

### **OTHER AUTHORITIES**

ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates, American Community Survey 1 Year Estimates, US Census Bureau (2010), http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableser vices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS
_10_1YR_DP05&prodType=table7, 8, 25 Am. Bar Ass'n, 68 Annual Report of the
American Bar Association 110 (1943)18
Am. Bar Ass'n, Presidential Diversity Initiative, Diversity in the Legal Profession: The Next Steps (2010), available at http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/a ba/administrative/diversity/next_steps_201 1.authcheckdam.pdf12, 14
Am. Bar Ass'n, Standards for Approval of Law Schools 36-37, Standard 211 (2000)21
Anjali Chavan, The "Charles Morgan Letter" And Beyond: The Impact Of Diversity Initiatives On Big Law, 23 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 521 (2010)10
August Meier & Elliot Rudwick, From Plantation to Ghetto (1966)17
Carl G. Cooper, Increasing Pipeline Opportunities in the Legal Profession for Minorities, The Metropolitan Corp. Couns. (Mar. 2007)29
Carl Tobias, Justifying Diversity in the Federal Judiciary, 106 Nw. U. L. Rev. Colloquy (2012)

Christine Chambers Goodman, Modest Proposal In Deference To Diversity, 23 Nat'l Black L.J. 1 (2010)	10
Ciara Torres-Spelliscy et al., Improving Judicial Diversity, Brennan Center for Justice (2010), available at http://brennan.3cdn.net/31e6c0fa3c2e92091 0_ppm6ibehe.pdf8, 13	3, 27
Congressional Demographics Ethnicity: African American, Congress.org, http://www.congress.org/congressorg/direct ory/ demographics.tt?catid=all (last visited Aug. 6, 2012) (follow hyperlinks to individual members)	15
Congressional Demographics Ethnicity: Asian, Congress.org, http://www.congress.org/congressorg/direct ory/demographics.tt?catid=ethnic&group= Asian (last visited Aug. 6, 2012) (follow hyperlinks to individual members)	15
Congressional Demographics Ethnicity: Hispanic, Congress.org, http://www.congress.org/congressorg/direct ory/demographics.tt?catid=ethnic&group= Hispanic (last visited Aug. 6, 2012) (follow hyperlinks to individual members)	15
David A. Harvey, A Preference for Equality: Seeking the Benefits of Diversity Outside the Educational Context, 21 BYU J. Pub. L. 55 (2007)	10

David B. Rottman & Alan J. Tomkins, Public Trust and Confidence in the Courts: What Public Opinion Surveys Mean to Judges, Court Review, Fall 199910
Deborah L. Rhode, From Platitudes to Priorities: Diversity and Gender Equity in Law Firms, 24 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 1041 (2011)
Denelle J. Waynick, Diversity – Still a Business Imperative, 272 N.J. Law. 66 (Oct. 2011)10
Derek Bok et al., The Shape of the River: Long-Term Consequences of Considering Race in College and University Admissions (1998)
Donna Dehyle & Karen Swisher, Research in American Indian and Alaska Native Education: From Assimilation to Self- Determination, in 22 Review of Research in Education (1997)
Eli Wald, A Primer on Diversity, Discrimination, and Equality in the Legal Profession or Who is Responsible for Pursuing Diversity and Why, 24 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 1079 (2011)12, 20
Erica Perez, Despite Diversity Efforts, UC Minority Enrollment Down Since Prop. 209, California Watch 1, (Feb. 24, 2012) http://californiawatch.org/dailyreport/despi te-diversity-efforts-uc-minority-enrollment- down-prop-209-15031

Erwin N. Griswold, Some Observations on the DeFunis Case, 75 Colum. L. Rev. 512 (1975)
Floyd D. Weatherspoon, The Status of African American Males in the Legal Profession: A Pipeline of Institutional Roadblocks and Barriers, 80 Miss L.J. 259 (2010)17
Frank Wu, Yellow: Race in America Beyond Black and White (2001)29
Governors of the American States, Commonwealths and Territories, National Governors Association 1 (2012), available at http://www.nga.org/files/live/sites/NGA/ho me/governors/current-governors/col2- content/listgovernors-links/book-of-
governors-biographies%40/BIOBOOK.PDF14
Gregory L. Acquaviva & John D. Castiglione, Judicial Diversity on State Supreme Courts 39 Seton Hall L. Rev. 1203 (2009)
Guadalupe San Miguel, Jr., "Let All of Them Take Heed": Mexican Americans and the Campaign for Educational Equality in Texas, 1910-1981 (1987)18
Harry T. Edwards, <i>Race and the Judiciary</i> , 20 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 325 (2002)11
Jeffrey D. Jackson, Beyond Quality: First Principles in Judicial Selection and Their Application to a Commission-Based Selection System, 34 Fordham Urb. L.J. 125 (2007)

•

Jennifer E. Manning, Membership of the 112th Congress: A Profile, Congressional Research Service (2011), available at http://www.senate.gov/reference/resources/ pdf/R41647.pdf14	1
Jesse Rothstein & Albert H. Yoon, The National Bureau of Economic Research, Affirmative Action in Law School Admissions: What Do Racial Preferences Do? 4 (2008)	D
Jonathan P. Kastellec, Racial Diversity and Judicial Influence on Appellate Courts, Princeton University, 1, 4 (Nov. 11, 2011), http://ssrn.com/abstract=167405211	1
Joyce Kuo, Excluded, Segregated and Forgotten: A Historical View of the Discrimination of Chinese Americans, 5 Asian L.J. 181 (1998)	9
Kevin Gooch, Embracing the Opportunities for Increasing Diversity Into the Legal Profession: Collaborating to Expand the Pipeline (Let's Get Real), ABA Post-Conf. Rep. 1, 6 2006 http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/a ba/migrated/2011_build/diversity/pipelinep ostreport.authcheckdam.pdf12, 1'	7
Kevin R. Johnson, The Importance Of Student And Faculty Diversity In Law Schools: One Dean's Perspective, 96 Iowa L. Rev. 1549 (2011)	0
L. Tsianina Lomawaima, They Called It Prairie Light: The Story of the Chilocco Indian School (1994)19	9

Laura Rothstein, Shaping the Tributary, the Why, What and How of Pipeline Programs to Increase Diversity in Legal Education and the Legal Profession, 40 J.L. & Educ. 551 (2011)22-23
Leon Higginbotham, Jr., Seeking Pluralism in Judicial Systems: The American Experience and the South African Challenge, 42 Duke L.J. 1028 (1993)11
Louis R. Harlan, Separate and Unequal: Public School Campaigns and Racism in the Southern Seaboard States, 1901-1915 (1958)
Michelle J. Anderson, Legal Education Reform, Diversity and Access to Justice, 61 Rutgers L. Rev. 1101 (2009)10
Michelle Olson, Federal Appellate Judges: Gender and Race Stats, Appellate Daily (Oct. 1, 2011, 10:41 pm), https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B9afsss8C6t WN2M4Mzk5MTctOGYzMi00MGU4LTgw ODEtMDMzYjg2NTYzOTE3/edit?hl=en27
NALP Bulletin, Women and Minorities in Law Firms – By Race and Ethnicity (Jan. 2012), available at http://www.nalp.org/women_minorities_jan 2012passim
<ul> <li>Nancy Scherer, Diversifying The Federal Bench: Is Universal Legitimacy For The U.S. Justice System Possible?, 105 Nw. U.</li> <li>L. Rev. 587 (2011)</li></ul>

National Bar Association (1925-), BlackPast.com, http://www.blackpast.org/?q=aah/national- bar-association-1925 (last visited Aug. 6, 2012)	
Presidential Occupations, http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/A0768854.ht ml (last visited July 30, 2012)14	
Robert Alvarez, Jr., Familia: Migration and Adaptation in Baja and Alta California, 1800-1975 (1987)18	
Russell Wheeler, The Changing Face of the Federal Judiciary (2009)27	
Sandra Day O'Connor, Thurgood Marshall: The Influence of a Raconteur, 44 Stan. L. Rev. 1217 (1992)11	
Sarah Redfield, The Educational Pipeline to Law School – Too Broken and Too Narrow to Provide Diversity, 8 Pierce L. Rev. 347 (2010)	
State & County Quick Facts – Detroit (city), Michigan, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/26/2 622000.html (last revised June 6, 2012)24	
State & County Quick Facts – Las Vegas (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/32/3 240000.html (last revised June 6, 2012)24	
State & County Quick Facts – San Diego (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06/0 666000.html (last revised June 6, 2012)24	

State & County Quick Facts – San Francisco (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06/0 667000.html (last revised June 6, 2012)2	5
State & County Quick Facts – San Jose (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06/0 668000.html (last revised June 6, 2012)2	5
Stephen Thernstrom & Abigail Thernstrom, Reflections on the Shape of the River, 46 UCLA L. Rev. 1583 (1999)2	29
Sucheng Chan, Asian Americans: An Interpretive History (1991)1	.9
University of California – Office of the President, Undergraduate Access to the University of California After the Elimination of Race-Conscious Policies 7 (March 2003)2	28
Vault Law Firm Rankings 2013: The Best Law Firms For Diversity, available at http://www.vault.com/wps/portal/usa/ranki ngs/individual?rankingId1=362	21
W. Anthony Jenkins, <i>Diversity Matters: Here</i> and Now, 90 Mich. B.J. 14 (2011)1	.0
William C. Kidder, Situating Asian Pacific Americans in the Law School Affirmative Action Debate: Empirical Facts About Thernstrom's Rhetorical Acts, 7 Asian L.J. 29 (2000)	30

.

#### STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE1

This brief is filed on behalf of the National Bar Association, the Hispanic National Bar Association, the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association, and the National Native American Bar Association (collectively, "Amici"). Amici are bar associations whose members are predominantly minorities. Amici are partners in the Coalition of Bar Associations of Color, which was established in 1992. Members of the coalition meet annually to discuss issues of mutual concern and to advocate in support of shared interests with the executive branch and with elected officials.

The National Bar Association is the largest and oldest association of predominantly African-American attorneys and judges in the United States. The National Bar Association was founded in 1925 when there were only 1,000 African-American attorneys in the entire country and when other national bar associations, such as the American Bar Association ("ABA"), did not admit African-American attorneys. Throughout its history, the National Bar Association consistently has advocated on behalf of African Americans and other minority populations regarding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 37.6, Amici Curiae note that the position they take in this brief has not been approved or financed by Petitioners, Respondents, or their counsel. Neither Petitioners, Respondents, nor their counsel had any role in authoring, nor made any monetary contribution to fund the preparation or submission of, this brief. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 37.3, Amici Curiae state that all parties have consented to the filing of this brief; blanket letters of consent have been filed with the Clerk of the Court.

issues affecting the legal profession. The National Bar Association represents approximately 44,000 lawyers, judges, law professors, and law students, and it has over eighty affiliate chapters throughout the world.

The Hispanic National Bar Association is a nonpartisan. national professional nonprofit. association that represents the interests of over attorneys, judges, law 100.000 professors. legal assistants, and law students of Hispanic descent in the United States, Puerto Rico, and U.S. Virgin Islands. The Hispanic National Bar Association has thirtyeight affiliated bars in various states across the country. The Hispanic National Bar Association's continuing mission is to improve the study, practice, and administration of justice for all Americans by ensuring the meaningful participation of Hispanics in the legal profession.

The National Asian Pacific American Bar Association is the national association of Asian Pacific-American attorneys, judges, law professors, and law students, representing the interests of more than sixty state and local Asian Pacific-American bar associations and over 40,000 attorneys who work in solo practices, large firms, corporations, legal services organizations, nonprofit organizations, law schools, and government agencies. Since its inception in 1988, the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association has served as the national voice for Asian Pacific Americans in the legal profession and has promoted justice, equity, and opportunity for Asian Pacific Americans. The National Asian Pacific American Bar Association believes that there is a compelling governmental interest in achieving diversity in higher education and supports upholding the use of raceconscious admissions programs in education. The National Asian Pacific American Bar Association opposes the use of Asian Pacific Americans as a wedge group in debates about affirmative action.<sup>2</sup>

The National Native American Bar Association is the oldest and largest association of predominantly Native-American attorneys in the United States.<sup>3</sup> The National Native American Bar Association was founded in 1973 when the first group of Native-American attorneys was entering the legal profession. The National Native American Bar Association's core mission since its inception has been to promote the development of Native-American attorneys. Native Americans comprise one of the smallest groups of attorneys of color in the nation, totaling approximately 2.500. The National Native American Bar Association is committed to increasing the number of Native-American students who attend college and continue their education to attend law school. The National Native American Bar Association and its chapters are involved in pipeline initiatives to promote the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The National Asian Pacific American Bar Association joins this brief filed by its partners in the Coalition of Bar Associations of Color instead of a brief filed by its longtime partner, the Asian American Center for Advancing Justice ("AAJC"). Both the Coalition of Bar Associations of Color and AAJC have a longstanding commitment to serving the needs of Asian Pacific Americans and all communities of color, and the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association supports both briefs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Native American includes American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian.

recruitment and retention of Native-American law students and law faculty. And an initiative of the National Native American Bar Association is to increase the appointment of Native Americans to the state and federal judiciaries; there are currently no Native-American Article III judges.

Amici have a deep interest in this case because the legal profession, which has had a long history of discrimination against racial minorities. is strengthened by racial and ethnic diversity. Diversity benefits all sectors of the legal profession, including both minority and non-minority members of the judiciary, lawyers, and clients. Diversity has these benefits because a diverse legal profession is better able to create and test new and innovative legal principles and ideas. For both minority and nonminority lawyers and judges, a diverse legal profession creates heightened sensitivity to the particular legal problems that minorities confront and the contexts for those legal challenges. A diverse legal profession also broadens the availability and effectiveness of legal representation, including by facilitating the provision services to historically underserved of legal communities.

Race-conscious college admissions programs enhance educational opportunities for minorities, thus creating a pipeline for greater minority participation in law schools and then through all levels of the legal system. Consequently, race-conscious college admissions programs are integral to achieving a critical mass of minorities in law schools and promoting diversity throughout the legal profession, which is a compelling governmental interest.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, the University of Texas's program should be upheld.

<sup>4</sup> Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003).

#### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

This Court has long held that there is a compelling governmental interest in achieving and maintaining diversity in our Nation's educational system, and accordingly it has upheld race-conscious admissions programs directed at obtaining a "critical mass" of minority students.<sup>5</sup> This Court has recognized that diversity in the educational system not only has significant benefits for both minority and non-minority students' educational experiences but also creates a necessary pipeline for diversity in the Nation's professional ranks.

In Regents of the University of California v. Bakke, for example, this Court's plurality opinion stated that "[t]he Nation's future depends upon leaders trained through wide exposure to that robust exchange of ideas which discovers truth 'out of a multitude of tongues. [rather] than through any kind of authoritative selection.<sup> $m_6$ </sup> More recently, in *Grutter v*. "[e]ffective Bollinger, this Court noted that participation by members of all racial and ethnic groups in the civic life of our Nation is essential if the dream of one Nation, indivisible, is to be realized."7

There is no profession that benefits from diversity and civic engagement more than the legal

Regents of the University of California v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265, 269 (1978) (opinion of Powell, J.); Grutter, 539 U.S. at 343.

<sup>438</sup> U.S. at 312 (opinion of Powell, J.) (quoting United States v. Associated Press, 52 F. Supp. 362, 372 (S.D.N.Y. 1943)). 7

<sup>539</sup> U.S. at 332.

profession because "law schools represent the training ground for a large number of the Nation's leaders," and "legal learning and practice . . . cannot be effective in isolation from the individuals and institutions with which the law interacts."<sup>8</sup> Since the Court decided *Bakke* and *Grutter*, the profession has made great strides to diversify legal institutions, including private law firms and the judiciary.

Progress, though, should not be confused with success. Racial minorities continue to be woefully underrepresented in virtually all segments of the legal profession, with certain racial minorities continuing to have almost no representation. For example, while African Americans equal more than 12% percent of the population, only 1.71% percent of law firm partners are African American.<sup>9</sup> Similarly, Hispanic Americans represent approximately 16% of the population, but only 1.92% of law firm partners,<sup>10</sup> and Asian Pacific Americans account for 4.76% of population, but only 2.36% of law firm partners.<sup>11</sup> Moreover, although Native Americans make up nearly 1% of the population, nationwide, there are too few Native-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Id. (citing Sweatt v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629, 634 (1950)); Sweatt, 339 U.S. at 634.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates, American Community Survey 1 Year Estimates, US Census Bureau (2010), http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productvi ew.xhtml?pid=ACS\_10\_1YR\_DP05&prodType=table ("2010 Population"); NALP Bulletin, Women and Minorities in Law Firms – By Race and Ethnicity (Jan. 2012), available at http://www.nalp.org/women\_minorities\_jan2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 2010 Population, supra; NALP Bulletin, supra, at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 2010 Population, supra; NALP Bulletin, supra, at Table 2.

American partners for the National Association of Law Placement ("NALP") to have included them in its study of minority legal employment.<sup>12</sup>

There is a similar lack of diversity in the judiciary. For example, in 2009, only 12.8% of judges sitting on state supreme courts nationwide were minorities.<sup>13</sup> And these statistics are even more dire when viewed at the individual state level. For example, in New Mexico, where minorities account for 57% of the population, minorities made up only 15% and 18% of intermediate and trial court judges, respectively.<sup>14</sup>

Because of the continuing need to foster diversity in the legal profession, programs that enhance the opportunities for members of racial minorities in higher education – like the one at the University of Texas – are necessary. Indeed, if such programs were abolished, the impact on diversity in higher education would be significant. When race-conscious admissions programs were abolished in California, for instance, the population of racial minorities suffered as their admission into colleges and universities plummeted. In turn, the number of racial minorities entering into post-graduate education was disproportionately low,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 2010 Population, supra; NALP Bulletin, supra, at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Gregory L. Acquaviva & John D. Castiglione, *Judicial Diversity on State Supreme Courts* 39 Seton Hall L. Rev. 1203, 1215 (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ciara Torres-Spelliscy et al., *Improving Judicial Diversity*, Brennan Center for Justice 1, 49 (2010), *available at* http://brennan.3cdn.net/31e6c0fa3c2e920910\_ppm6ibehe.pdf.

with fewer racial minorities ultimately entering the legal profession.

California's experience indicates that, if this Court were to overturn the University of Texas's raceconscious admissions program (and other similar programs), there likely would be a significant drop in the number of racial minorities admitted into colleges and universities, ultimately and necessarily reducing the number of racial minorities who enter law school and the legal profession. *Amici* thus support the constitutionality of the University of Texas's raceconscious admissions program, which furthers the compelling governmental interest in diversity both in education and in the legal profession.

#### ARGUMENT

### I. A COMPELLING GOVERNMENTAL INTEREST EXISTS IN CREATING AND MAINTAINING DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION

Lawyers play a central role in our government and the administration of our judicial system. Because of the pervasive nature of the legal system and its impact on the Nation's citizenry and history, this Court has recognized that it is important to ensure the inclusion and success of minority attorneys in every facet of the legal profession.<sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See e.g., Grutter, 539 U.S. at 332.

The Court's recognition of the importance of diversity in the legal profession is well supported by academic studies.<sup>16</sup> Scholars have identified at least four distinct benefits of creating diversity in the legal profession.

*First*, providing racial minorities access to the legal profession brings to the bench their unique perspectives on the law. As Justice O'Connor said of former Justice Thurgood Marshall:

Although all of us come to the court with our own personal histories and experiences, Justice Marshall brought a special perspective .... Justice Marshall imparted not only his legal acumen but

<sup>16</sup> See, e.g., David B. Rottman & Alan J. Tomkins, Public Trust and Confidence in the Courts: What Public Opinion Surveys Mean to Judges, Court Review, Fall 1999, at 24, 26; see also Michelle J. Anderson, Legal Education Reform, Diversity and Access to Justice, 61 Rutgers L. Rev. 1101 (2009); Christine Chambers Goodman, Modest Proposal In Deference To Diversity, 23 Nat'l Black L.J. 1 (2010); Anjali Chavan, The "Charles Morgan Letter" And Beyond: The Impact Of Diversity Initiatives On Big Law, 23 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 521 (2010); David A. Harvey, A Preference for Equality: Seeking the Benefits of Diversity Outside the Educational Context, 21 BYU J. Pub. L. 55 (2007); W. Anthony Jenkins, Diversity Matters: Here and Now, 90 Mich. B.J. 14 (2011); Kevin R. Johnson, The Importance Of Student And Faculty Diversity In Law Schools: One Dean's Perspective, 96 Iowa L. Rev. 1549 (2011); Nancy Scherer, Diversifying The Federal Bench: Is Universal Legitimacy For The U.S. Justice System Possible?, 105 Nw. U. L. Rev. 587 (2011); Carl Tobias, Justifying Diversity in the Federal Judiciary, 106 Nw. U. L. Rev. Colloquy 283 (2012); Denelle J. Waynick, Diversity - Still a Business Imperative, 272 N.J. Law. 66 (Oct. 2011).

also his life experiences, constantly pushing and prodding us to respond not only to the persuasiveness of legal argument but also to the power of moral truth.<sup>17</sup>

Likewise, Judge Leon Higginbotham, Jr. recognized that judicial diversity "creates a milieu in which the entire judicial system benefits from multi-faceted experiences with individuals who came from different backgrounds."<sup>18</sup> Similarly, Judge Harry Edwards of the D.C. Circuit has stated that "it is inevitable that judges' different professional and life experiences have some bearing on how they confront various problems that come before them."<sup>19</sup>

Second, diversity in the legal profession is critical to ensuring the legitimacy of the judicial system because diversity fosters public confidence in the judicial system. As one scholar explained:

> Diversity initiatives embody an effort to overcome bias, address discrimination, and pursue equality, all core values of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Sandra Day O'Connor, Thurgood Marshall: The Influence of a Raconteur, 44 Stan. L. Rev. 1217, 1217 (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Leon Higginbotham, Jr., Seeking Pluralism in Judicial Systems: The American Experience and the South African Challenge, 42 Duke L.J. 1028, 1037 (1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Jonathan P. Kastellec, Racial Diversity and Judicial Influence on Appellate Courts, Princeton University, 1, 4 (Nov. 11, 2011), http://ssrn.com/abstract=1674052 (quoting Harry T. Edwards, Race and the Judiciary, 20 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 325, 329 (2002)).

legal profession and the rule of law. The United States occupies a special place among the nations of the world because of its commitment to equality, broad political participation, social mobility, and political representation of groups that lack political clout and/or ancestral power.... [W]ithout a diverse bench and bar, the rule of law is weakened as the people see and come to distrust their exclusion from mechanisms of justice.<sup>20</sup>

An ABA report similarly noted that "[a] more diverse and representative legal profession will not only foster greater public confidence in the law, but even more fundamentally it will help to ensure fairness in the justice system."<sup>21</sup> For this reason, the ABA report called the diversification of the legal profession the greatest challenge for the legal profession in the twenty-first century.<sup>22</sup>

<sup>22</sup> Id. at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Eli Wald, A Primer on Diversity, Discrimination, and Equality in the Legal Profession or Who is Responsible for Pursuing Diversity and Why 24 Geo. J. Legal Ethics, 1079, 1101 (2011) (quoting Am. Bar Ass'n, Presidential Diversity Initiative, Diversity in the Legal Profession: The Next Steps (2010), available at http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/ diversity/next\_steps\_2011.authcheckdam.pdf ("Presidential Diversity Initiative")).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Kevin Gooch, Embracing the Opportunities for Increasing Diversity Into the Legal Profession: Collaborating to Expand the Pipeline (Let's Get Real), ABA Post-Conf. Rep. 1, 6 2006 http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/migrated/2011\_build /diversity/pipelinepostreport.authcheckdam.pdf ("Embracing the Opportunities").

The benefits of diversity are particularly important in the judiciary because judges are among the most visible representatives of the legal system. As one scholar put it: "Diversity on the bench is intimately linked to the American promise to provide equal justice for all. Judges are the lynchpins of our system of justice."<sup>23</sup> That is, "[j]udges are not the exclusive providence of any one section of society . . . . [T]hey must provide justice for all."<sup>24</sup>

Third, diversity in the legal profession enhances the scope and quality of legal representation for many individuals who are racial minorities. Given this country's history of discrimination, it is crucial that a client have the ability to choose a lawyer with whom he or she feels comfortable. It is not simply that the availability of such lawyers affects the quality of representation that a minority client receives; it may determine whether that person seeks legal assistance at all. "Effective access to legal representation not only must exist in fact, it must also be perceived by the minority law consumer as existent so that recourse to law for the redress of grievance and the settlement of disputes becomes a realistic alternative to him."<sup>25</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Torres-Spelliscy et al., *supra*, at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Id. (quoting Jeffrey D. Jackson, Beyond Quality: First Principles in Judicial Selection and Their Application to a Commission-Based Selection System, 34 Fordham Urb. L.J. 125, 145 (2007)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Erwin N. Griswold, Some Observations on the DeFunis Case, 75 Colum. L. Rev. 512, 517 (1975)).

Fourth, access to the legal profession creates access to the Nation's government because this Nation's political leaders often are drawn from the ranks of the legal profession. As the ABA has noted, "[a]dvancing diversity and inclusion in the . . . government is especially important. These fields not only administer, but also represent democratic rule of law in our multicultural society. The absence of diversity and inclusion in . . . government can malign the legitimacy of not only lawyers, but also of the law itself."<sup>26</sup>

Indeed, of the forty-three men who have served as our Nation's president, twenty-five have been lawyers; of those, only one has been a racial minority.<sup>27</sup> Additionally, twenty-five of our Nation's current governors hold law degrees; of those, only three are minorities.<sup>28</sup> Further, lawyers have long been the single largest occupational group in Congress. In the 112th Congress, 55 senators and 167 representatives are lawyers.<sup>29</sup> Despite the small proportion of people of color serving in the United States Congress, many of these lawmakers also have legal backgrounds. Indeed,

<sup>29</sup> Jennifer E. Manning, *Membership of the 112th Congress: A Profile*, Congressional Research Service 1, 4 (2011), *available at* http://www.senate.gov/reference/resources/pdf/R41647.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Presidential Diversity Initiative, supra, at 33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Presidential Occupations, http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/ A0768854.html (last visited July 30, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Governors of the American States, Commonwealths and Territories, National Governors Association 1 (2012), available at http://www.nga.org/files/live/sites/NGA/home/governors/currentgovernors/col2-content/list---governors-links/book-of-governorsbiographies%40/BIOBOOK.PDF.

of the forty-three African-American members of Congress, nineteen are lawyers.<sup>30</sup> Both current Hispanic-American members of the Senate and eight of the current twenty-five Hispanic Americans in the House of Representatives have law degrees.<sup>31</sup> And one of the two Asian Pacific-American Senators and three of the seven Asian Pacific-American representatives are attorneys.<sup>32</sup> These statistics show that access to this Nation's leadership is substantially influenced by access to the legal profession.

Given the pervasive nature of the legal profession, ensuring diversity in the legal profession is a compelling governmental interest. As discussed below, race-conscious admissions programs at the collegiate level play a critical role in furthering this compelling interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Congressional Demographics Ethnicity: African American, Congress.org, http://www.congress.org/congressorg/directory/ demographics.tt?catid=all (last visited Aug. 6, 2012) (follow hyperlinks to individual members).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Congressional Demographics Ethnicity: Hispanic, Congress.org, http://www.congress.org/congressorg/directory/ demographics.tt?catid=ethnic&group=Hispanic (last visited Aug. 6, 2012) (follow hyperlinks to individual members).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Congressional Demographics Ethnicity: Asian, Congress.org, http://www.congress.org/congressorg/directory/ demographics.tt?catid=ethnic&group=Asian (last visited Aug. 6, 2012) (follow hyperlinks to individual members).

#### II. DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION HAS NOT YET REACHED "CRITICAL MASS"

Significant efforts have been made to achieve diversity in the legal profession. Private law firms have openly advocated for diversity in their ranks, and the judiciary has become more diverse with more racial minorities being appointed or elected to the federal and state judiciaries.

Nonetheless, due in part to this Nation's long history of discrimination, minorities still have a disproportionately low level of participation in the legal profession. The result of such low participation is that a critical mass of racial minorities in the legal profession has not been reached. Reaching this critical mass requires educational pipelines of the sort created by race-conscious admissions programs like the University of Texas's program. Absent such pipelines, minority participation in higher education would dramatically decline, which would deplete diversity in the legal profession. As a result, there remains a compelling governmental interest in achieving and maintaining critical mass in the legal profession, and race-conscious admissions programs are a proper means to achieve that goal.

### A. Minorities Historically Have Been Excluded From Educational Opportunities And The Legal Profession

To understand the continuing need for programs that advance diversity, it is important to understand the historical context of minority participation in this Nation's educational system. Discrimination against African Americans, Hispanic Americans, Asian Pacific Americans, and Native Americans in education and other areas was pervasive in the formative years of this Nation. The lack of educational opportunity for minorities, including at the college level, meant that few minorities could move through the ranks into law schools and, ultimately, into the legal profession.<sup>33</sup>

Historically, even long after the Civil War and emancipation, education of African Americans was permitted, but often only in segregated schools located in "old buildings, generally in filthy and degraded neighborhoods, dark, damp, small, and cheerless, safe neither for the morals nor the health of those who are compelled to go to them, if they go anywhere, and calculated to repel rather than to attract them."<sup>34</sup> Moreover, these schools received only 12% of public school funds despite being tasked with educating approximately one-third of the school-aged population.<sup>35</sup>

As a result of this discrimination, in 1940, only one-half of one percent of all attorneys in the United

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> See Floyd D. Weatherspoon, The Status of African American Males in the Legal Profession: A Pipeline of Institutional Roadblocks and Barriers, 80 Miss L.J. 259, 280, 285, 290 (2010); Embracing the Opportunities, supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> August Meier & Elliot Rudwick, From Plantation to Ghetto 109-14 (1966).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Louis R. Harlan, Separate and Unequal: Public School Campaigns and Racism in the Southern Seaboard States, 1901-1915 9-15 (1958).

States were African American, and discrimination against those few attorneys was rampant.<sup>36</sup> For example, most racial minorities were excluded from bar associations. Until 1943, the ABA excluded African Americans from membership.<sup>37</sup> And as late as 1950, a representative of the ABA testified in opposition to an attempt by African Americans to secure admission to the all-white University of North Carolina School of Law.<sup>38</sup> Indeed, *Amicus* the National Bar Association was founded in 1925 because African Americans were excluded from the ABA.<sup>39</sup> It was not until 1943 that the ABA allowed African Americans to join its ranks.<sup>40</sup>

Like schools for African Americans, schools for Hispanic Americans "were usually segregated, overcrowded, and lacked adequately trained teachers."<sup>41</sup> The segregation of Hispanic Americans often was "justified" because of language differences.<sup>42</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Derek Bok et al., The Shape of the River: Long-Term Consequences of Considering Race in College and University Admissions 1 (1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Am. Bar Ass'n, 68 Annual Report of the American Bar Association 110 (1943).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See Epps v. Carmichael, 93 F. Supp. 327, 329 (M.D.N.C. 1950).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> National Bar Association (1925-), BlackPast.com, http://www.blackpast.org/?q=aab/national-bar-association-1925 (last visited Aug. 6, 2012).

<sup>40</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Guadalupe San Miguel, Jr., "Let All of Them Take Heed": Mexican Americans and the Campaign for Educational Equality in Texas, 1910-1981 11 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> See, e.g., Robert Alvarez, Jr., Familia: Migration and Adaptation in Baja and Alta California, 1800-1975 154 (1987).

Similarly, Asian Pacific Americans faced racial discrimination in education. For example. in Mississippi, segregation authorities treated era "colored" Chinese Americans ลร and therefore from attending prohibited them all-Caucasian schools.<sup>43</sup> The Mississippi Supreme Court upheld the prohibition, reasoning that the word "colored" should be construed broadly to include children of "yellow" races.44 Courts affirmed, applying the separate-butequal doctrine of Plessy v. Ferguson<sup>45</sup> and other similar decisions.46

Native Americans fared no better in education. The few schools established for their benefit sought to "assimilate," rather than educate.<sup>47</sup> This discrimination took place in spite of the United States's pledge in more than 100 treaties to provide educational services and facilities to Native Americans, in exchange for land.<sup>48</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See Joyce Kuo, Excluded, Segregated and Forgotten: A Historical View of the Discrimination of Chinese Americans, 5 Asian L.J. 181, 202 (1998); see also Sucheng Chan, Asian Americans: An Interpretive History 58 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Rice v. Gong Lum, 104 So. 105, 110 (1925).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> 163 U.S. 537 (1896).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> See, e.g., Gong Lum v. Rice, 275 U.S. 78, 86-87 (1927).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> L. Tsianina Lomawaima, They Called It Prairie Light: The Story of the Chilocco Indian School 4 (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Donna Dehyle & Karen Swisher, Research in American Indian and Alaska Native Education: From Assimilation to Self-Determination, in 22 Review of Research in Education 113, 114 (1997).

This Court first began to break down these discriminatory barriers in public legal education - and thereby move toward recognizing diversity as compelling interest - in the 1940s. In Sweatt v. Painter, the Court ordered the admission of African Americans to the University of Texas Law School on

ิล

the ground that the quality of the education available there was "superior" to that available at Texas's law school for African Americans.<sup>49</sup> Since Sweatt. the Court repeatedly has approved the constitutionality of state efforts that actively seek to ensure diversity in educational institutions for the benefit of all students minority and non-minority alike.<sup>50</sup> And these decisions by the Court have spurred other institutions to make efforts to address discrimination.

Understanding the value of diversity in the legal profession, law schools have made efforts to diversify both their student bodies and their faculties. Like the University of Texas, many law schools have instituted race-conscious admissions programs.<sup>51</sup> Further, law schools have "sought to hire racial minorities . . . and [are] more committed to the success of their diverse faculty members both in terms of promotion to tenure. . . and in terms of appointments in leadership positions such as appointments as Associate Deans and Deans . . . "52

<sup>49</sup> 339 U.S. at 633-34.

<sup>50</sup> See, e.g., Bakke, 438 U.S. at 315; Grutter, 539 U.S. at 330.

<sup>51</sup> See, e.g., Grutter, 539 U.S. 306.

<sup>52</sup> Wald, supra, at 1085-86.

Likewise, the ABA has made efforts to promote diversity in the legal profession. Since 1980, the ABA has required all law schools to demonstrate "a commitment to providing full opportunity for the study of law and entry into the profession of qualified members of groups, notably racial and ethnic minorities, which have been victims of discrimination in various forms."<sup>53</sup>

Similarly, private law firms have made significant efforts to diversify their ranks. Most law firms have implemented recruiting policies that foster diversity in their associate and partner ranks. Indeed, one of the benchmarks employed to measure a law firm's success is the ability to hire a diverse group of attorneys.<sup>54</sup>

#### B. Critical Mass Has Not Been Achieved In The Legal Profession

Despite these efforts to diversify the legal profession, a critical mass of racial minorities still does not exist. Legal scholars have confirmed the continuing lack of a critical mass and continue to advocate for race-conscious admissions programs as a means to provide a stronger pipeline of minorities into the legal profession.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Am. Bar Ass'n, Standards for Approval of Law Schools 36-37, Standard 211 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> See Vault Law Firm Rankings 2013: The Best Law Firms For Diversity, available at http://www.vault.com/wps/portal/usa/ rankings/individual?rankingId1=36&rankingId2=36&rankings=2 &regionId=0.

Stanford Law School professor Deborah Rhode, for example, recently wrote:

One irony of this nation's continuing struggle for diversity and gender equity in employment is that the profession leading the struggle has failed to set an example in its own workplaces. In principle, the bar is deeply committed to equal opportunity and social justice. In practice, it lags behind other occupations in leveling the playing field.<sup>55</sup>

Similarly, University of Louisville law professor Laura Rothstein noted the same trend, connecting it with the need to build a stronger pipeline for minorities:

> A century of legalized racism, followed by decades of institutionalized racism, has resulted in the underrepresentation of minorities... in the legal profession.... Recognition of the need to open the door, give a chance, and provide opportunities that can make a difference highlight the value of institutional efforts to "shape the tributary" or "build the pipeline."<sup>56</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Deborah L. Rhode, From Platitudes to Priorities: Diversity and Gender Equity in Law Firms, 24 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 1041, 1041 (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Laura Rothstein, Shaping the Tributary, the Why, What and How of Pipeline Programs to Increase Diversity in Legal

Sarah Redfield, a law professor at the University of New Hampshire School of Law, likewise concluded:

> For at least the past three decades, the legal profession has grappled with diversity issues, yet true diversity and inclusion eludes the practice of law.... Simply put, too few underrepresented minorities are progressing in school and moving successfully through the pipeline. Too few are graduating from high school and progressing to and succeeding in college. Too few are achieving LSAT scores and GPAs that meet the standards for admission to law school, all too few to contribute to a diverse profession.<sup>57</sup>

The statistics regarding the representation of minorities in the legal profession bear out these scholars' conclusions. According to a January 2012 NALP bulletin, the number of Native-American associates in private practice nationwide is too low to be reported. NALP further reported that African Americans comprise only 4.29% of associates nationwide.<sup>58</sup> Even in geographic locations where African Americans represent a larger segment of the overall population, they are still underrepresented in

Education and the Legal Profession, 40 J.L. & Educ. 551, 552 (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Sarah Redfield, The Educational Pipeline to Law School – Too Broken and Too Narrow to Provide Diversity, 8 Pierce L. Rev. 347, 353, 358 (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> NALP Bulletin, supra, at Table 1.

the associate ranks. For example, African Americans represent approximately 6% of the associates in the Detroit area (where 82.7% of the population is African American) and only slightly above 1% of the associates in San Diego, California (where 6.7% of the population is African American).<sup>59</sup>

Hispanic Americans have similarly low participation in the associate ranks, representing only 3.83% of associates nationwide and close to 0% in certain markets.<sup>60</sup> For example, Hispanic Americans represent less than 2% of the associate ranks in fifteen of the forty-four markets surveyed by NALP.61 This includes legal markets where there is a relatively high Hispanic population, such as Las Vegas, Nevada (where Hispanic Americans make up 31.5% of the population, but only 1.18% of associates) and San Diego, California (where Hispanic Americans constitute 28.8% of the population, but only 3.2% of associates).62

Although Asian Pacific Americans have stronger representation in associate ranks – nationwide, they

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Id.; State & County Quick Facts - Detroit (city), Michigan, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/ states/26/2622000.html (last revised June 6, 2012); State & County Quick Facts - San Diego (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/ 06/0666000.html (last revised June 6, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> NALP Bulletin, supra, at Table 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Id.; State & County Quick Facts – Las Vegas (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census. gov/qfd/states/32/3240000.html (last revised June 6, 2012), State & County Quick Facts – San Diego (city), California, supra.

account for approximately 5% of the population but 9.65% of associates  $^{63}$  – this average is skewed by the high percentage of Asian Pacific-American associates in cities with high Asian Pacific-American populations. For example, in San Jose, California, where 32% of the population is Asian Pacific-American, there is a correspondingly high percentage of Asian Pacific-American associates: 27.36%.64 The nationwide average of Asian Pacific American associates also does not reflect that in some cities with a significant Asian Pacific-American population, the percentage of Asian Pacific-American associates is comparatively low. For example, although 33% of the population in San Francisco, California, is Asian Pacific American, only 17.41% of associates are Asian Pacific American.65

These statistics are even lower at the partner level. Racial minorities comprise only approximately 6% of partners at law firms nationwide, with many markets having no minority partners at all in any reviewed law firms.<sup>66</sup> Indeed, only 1.71% of partners at the law firms surveyed by NALP are African American; and in only nine of the forty-four legal markets surveyed by NALP did the percentage of partners that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> 2010 Population, *supra*; *NALP Bulletin*, *supra*, at Table 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> NALP Bulletin, supra, at Table 1; State & County Quick Facts – San Jose (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06/0668000.html (last revised June 6, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> NALP Bulletin, supra, at Table 2; State & County Quick Facts – San Francisco (city), California, United States Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06/0667000.html (last revised June 6, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> NALP Bulletin, supra, at Table 1.

are African American exceed 2%.<sup>67</sup> Similarly, Hispanic Americans comprise only 1.92% of partners at the law firms surveyed by NALP; and in only fourteen of the forty-four markets surveyed did the percentage of Hispanic-American partners exceed 2%.<sup>68</sup> In eighteen of those markets, the percentage of Hispanic-American partners was below 1%.<sup>69</sup> Finally, Asian Pacific Americans represent only 2.36% of partners at the law firms surveyed by NALP, and in only ten of the fortyfour markets surveyed did the percentage of Asian Pacific-American partners exceed 2%.<sup>70</sup> Again, the number of Native-American partners is too low for NALP to report.<sup>71</sup>

With regard to judicial diversity, since the implementation of race-conscious admissions programs, judicial diversity has increased, but the percentages still fail to achieve a level of diversity that would constitutionally mandate ending the pipeline that has fed this progress. In 2009, the total percentage of minority judges sitting on state supreme courts was 12.8%.<sup>72</sup> Even this representation of racial minorities, though, is disproportionally low. Where minorities represent the majority of the population, they are still severely underrepresented.<sup>73</sup> For example, in Arizona, where 40% of the population was non-Caucasian in

73 Id.

<sup>67</sup> Id.

<sup>68</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Id.

<sup>70</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> *Id.* at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Acquaviva & Castiglione, supra, at 1215.

2010, no state Supreme Court justices were minorities, only 18% of judges on the intermediate-level court were minorities, and only 16% of trial court judges were minorities.<sup>74</sup> Similarly, in New Mexico, where only 57% of the population was non-Caucasian in 2010, only 40% of state Supreme Court judges were minorities, and only 15% and 18% of intermediate and trial court judges, respectively, were non-Caucasian.<sup>75</sup> Similarly, racial minorities are underrepresented in the federal judiciary.<sup>76</sup>

#### III. ELIMINATING RACE-CONSCIOUS COLLEGE ADMISSIONS WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION

To ensure the creation and maintenance of a critical mass of racial minorities in the legal profession, a pipeline must be maintained to allow qualified minorities the opportunity to earn admission to college and then law school and ultimately to enter the legal profession. When states have eliminated raceconscious admissions programs entirely, the results have been disastrous. Without race-conscious admissions programs, racial minorities' college enrollment plummeted, and, by extension, minority law

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Torres-Spelliscy et al., supra, at 49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> See Michelle Olson, Federal Appellate Judges: Gender and Race Stats, Appellate Daily (Oct. 1, 2011, 10:41 pm), https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B9afsss8C6tWN2M4Mzk5MTctOGY zMi00MGU4LTgwODEtMDMzYjg2NTYzOTE3/edit?hl=en;

Russell Wheeler, The Changing Face of the Federal Judiciary 11 (2009).

school admissions fell dramatically. This resulted in a narrowing of the pipeline into the legal profession, which significantly reduced the number of racial minorities who became lawyers.

In July of 1995, the Regents of the University of California adopted Resolution SP-1, which eliminated "race, religion, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin as criteria for admission" to the university system.<sup>77</sup> In November of 1996, California voters approved Proposition 209, which amended California's state constitution to prohibit the consideration of race, religion, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin in admissions.<sup>78</sup>

The impact of these policies was immediate. Between 1995, when Resolution SP-1 was adopted, and 1998, when both Resolution SP-1 and Proposition 209 took effect, the number of in-state African-American, Hispanic-American, and Native-American students admitted to the University of California, Berkeley dropped 58%.<sup>79</sup> When Proposition 209 officially took effect for the entering class of Fall 1998, the admission rates of minorities on all California campuses declined, including by as much as 50% at the University of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> University of California – Office of the President, Undergraduate Access to the University of California After the Elimination of Race-Conscious Policies 7 (March 2003). Resolution SP-1 was rescinded in May 2001. Id. at 7 n.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Id. at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Erica Perez, Despite Diversity Efforts, UC Minority Enrollment Down Since Prop. 209, California Watch 1, (Feb. 24, 2012) http://californiawatch.org/dailyreport/despite-diversityefforts-uc-minority-enrollment-down-prop-209-15031.

California, Berkeley and the University of California, Los Angeles.<sup>80</sup>

Admissions numbers continue to drop steadily. For example, just between 2002 and 2003, admissions rates fell 15% for African Americans, 3% for Hispanic Americans, 9.2% for Native Americans, and 2% for Asian Pacific Americans.<sup>81</sup>

To this day, the percentage of minorities admitted to and enrolled in California law schools remains materially lower than the percentage admitted and enrolled before the implementation of Proposition 209.<sup>82</sup> This decrease in minority enrollment has had a

82 Perez, supra, at 1. This decrease in enrollment applies to Asian Pacific Americans, as well as African Americans, Hispanic Americans, and Native Americans. That Asian Pacific Americans have seen a decrease in admissions following the passage of Proposition 209 refutes the "model minority" myth, which contends that Asian Pacific Americans stand to gain the most from the demise of race as a factor in college and law school admissions. See Stephen Thernstrom & Abigail Thernstrom, Reflections on the Shape of the River, 46 UCLA L. Rev. 1583, 1629 (1999) (asserting that Asian Pacific Americans derive the greatest benefit when race-conscious admissions policies are eliminated); see also Frank Wu, Yellow: Race in America Beyond Black and White 49, 74-77 (2001) (describing the "model minority" myth generally). In fact, only one racial group showed marked increases in enrollment following the passage of Proposition 209: Caucasian Americans accounted for 59.8% of law school enrollment during the three years before Proposition 209; they made up 71.7% of law school students in California after the ban. See William C. Kidder,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Id. at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> See Carl G. Cooper, Increasing Pipeline Opportunities in the Legal Profession for Minorities, The Metropolitan Corporate Counsel (Vol. 15, No. 3, March 2007).

corresponding effect on the legal profession in California, with fewer attorneys of color entering the ranks. In fact, one study determined that the elimination of race as a factor in admissions would result in at least a 50% net loss of new minority attorneys.<sup>83</sup>

California's experience shows that eliminating race-conscious admissions programs for racial minorities has immediate and significant effects, reducing the number of people of color entering the legal profession. Without a robust pipeline, fewer racial minority candidates obtain access to college and. in turn, law school and the legal profession. This results in a lack of diversity in the legal profession. Thus, given the continuing need to foster diversity, there continues to be a compelling governmental interest in collegiate diversity as a means to further a pipeline to achieve diversity in the legal profession.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

Diversity is of paramount importance in law schools because they "represent the training ground for a large number of the Nation's leaders" and "legal learning and practice . . . cannot be effective in isolation from the individuals and institutions with

Situating Asian Pacific Americans in the Law School Affirmative Action Debate: Empirical Facts About Thernstrom's Rhetorical Acts, 7 Asian L.J. 29, 43-45 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> See Jesse Rothstein & Albert H. Yoon, The National Bureau of Economic Research, Affirmative Action in Law School Admissions: What Do Racial Preferences Do? 4 (2008).

which the law interacts."<sup>84</sup> Moreover, diversity strengthens the legal system as a whole: it ensures that numerous perspectives will be heard; it ensures that the legal system has legitimacy in the eyes of society; it increases access to legal representation for all; and it prepares our Nation's leaders. Diversity in the legal profession can only be fed by the flow of talented and qualified students through college and law school; and this pipeline is maintained, in part, by race-conscious college and law school admissions. Accordingly, raceconscious admissions programs remain a necessary and compelling governmental interest.

Amici therefore respectfully join Respondents in requesting this Court uphold the University of Texas's program.

August 13, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

John Page Demetris Cheatham NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION 1225 11th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 (202) 842-3900

Jonathan M. Cohen Counsel of Record Mark A. Packman Adrian C. Azer Ivan Snyder Jenna A. Hudson GILBERT LLP 1100 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 772-2259 cohenj@gotofirm.com

<sup>84</sup> Grutter, 539 U.S. at 332 (citing Sweatt, 339 U.S. at 634).

Benny Agosto, Jr. Peter M. Reyes, Jr. HISPANIC NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION 1900 L Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 223-4777

Wendy Shiba Tina Matsuoka 1612 K Street N.W., Suite 1400 NATIONAL ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION Washington, DC 20006 (202) 775-9555

Patty Ferguson-Bohnee Robert O. Saunooke NATIONAL NATIVE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION P.O. Box 75011 Oklahoma City, OK 73106 (405) 761-1723