

PR007-02 Presidential Telephone Calls

(541300-541399)

EF



THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

C.F.

RTS

54137455

1220

PR00702

DATE: March 17, 1988

ADD'D

LE

PR005-02

NOTE FOR:

ALAN KRANOWITZ

The President has

seen

acted upon

commented upon

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

information

action

Rhett Dawson  
(x-2702)

cc: The Vice President  
Senator Baker  
Ken Duberstein  
The original to files  
Ken Cribb



Recommended Phone Call to Sen. Mark  
Hatfield re support & veto of Grove  
City Civil Rights Bill (S. 557)

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL FOR THE PRESIDENT

3/17  
REC'D MAR 17 11:10:27

TO: Senator Mark O. Hatfield (R-OR)  
DATE: March 17, 1988  
RECOMMENDED BY: Alan M. Kranowitz *AMK*  
PURPOSE: To ask Senator Hatfield to support your veto of the Grove City Civil Rights bill (S. 557).

BACKGROUND: The Senate passed S. 557 on January 28 by a vote of 75 to 14. The House passed it on March 2 by 315 to 98. The Senate has today taken up the question whether to sustain or override your veto, but it is not clear whether the vote will occur today, tomorrow or Monday.

Senator Hatfield voted for the bill (i.e., against our position). He is a senior Republican on the Appropriations Committee and is viewed as a key moderate whose vote will influence other Republicans. Although he voted for the bill on final passage, he vigorously supported Senator Hatch's amendment (defeated 39 - 56) to protect the religious tenets of institutions closely identified with religious institutions, which is included in your alternative legislation.

KEY POINTS  
TO MAKE:

The bill I vetoed unnecessarily expands Federal regulation of State and local governments and the private sector.

I have proposed in its place a bill which both protects civil rights and preserves the freedoms of our citizens, and in particular their religious liberty.

It is similar to S. 557, but it limits Federal regulation of churches, schools, businesses, farms and ranches to the activities for which they accept Federal aid.

It includes Senator Hatch's amendment which you supported that protects the religious tenets of organizations closely identified with religious institutions.

Like you, I believe that eliminating discrimination does not require sacrificing religious liberty.

Will you vote to sustain my veto?

DATE OF SUBMISSION: March 17, 1988

ACTION:

He likes my bill and will support it but  
he thinks we have to have an agreement on passage of it before we vote SST. He says that would leave a vacuum & we might not get passage then on any bill. I couldn't talk him out of it. He wants to talk to someone of us on strategy to get a quantity of passage if there is a veto

RD

EF

MEMORANDUM OF INFORMATION FOR THE FILE

54137455  
~~EXECUTIVE~~  
PR007.02


DATE

LETTER, MEMO, ETC.

TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT:



~~CORRESPONDENCE FILED CENTRAL FILES - CONFIDENTIAL FILE~~

*EF*

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

**CF**  
54138055  
PR007.02  
H1010  
LE  
LE002  
FA  
PR005-02

DATE: March 19, 1988

NOTE FOR: HOWARD H. BAKER, JR.  
KENNETH DUBERSTEIN  
ALAN KRANOWITZ

The President has

- seen  X
- acted upon
- commented upon

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

- information  X
- action

Rhett Dawson  
(x-2702)

cc:

*Recommended phone call to Sen Charles  
Grassley to ask support or veto of  
Drove City Civil Rights Bill (S. 557)*

The President has seen

3/19

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

Received

1988 MAR 17 AM 11:54

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL FOR THE PRESIDENT

TO: Senator Charles E. Grassley (R-IA)  
DATE: March 17, 1988  
RECOMMENDED BY: Alan M. Kranowitz **AMK**  
PURPOSE: To ask Senator Grassley to support your veto of the Grove city civil rights bill (S. 557).  
BACKGROUND: The Senate passed the bill January 28 by 75 to 14, and the House passed it on March 2 by 315 to 98. The Senate has today taken up the question whether to override your veto, but it is not yet clear whether the vote will occur today, tomorrow, or Monday.

Senator Grassley voted for the bill (i.e., against the Administration). As a Senator from a farm State, he may be receptive to the approach in your alternative bill which makes clear that a farmer who receives Federal crop subsidies or price support payments is not thereby considered to be receiving Federal aid, which brings along with it extensive Federal regulation.

KEY POINTS  
TO MAKE:

The bill I vetoed unnecessarily expands Federal regulation of State and local governments and the private sector.

I have proposed in its place a bill which both protects civil rights and preserves the freedom of our citizens.

My bill is similar to S. 557, but it limits Federal regulation of churches, schools, businesses, farms and ranches to the activities for which they accept Federal aid.

Under the vetoed bill, a farmer who receives Federal crop subsidies or price support payments could be treated as receiving Federal financial aid, which gives Federal civil rights compliance inspectors the right to come inspect the farm's compliance with Federal regulations.

Under my bill, Federal farm price supports are not treated like Federal handouts which bring the Federal regulations and inspections with them.

Will you vote to sustain my veto?

DATE OF SUBMISSION: March 17, 1988

ACTION:

It's impossible to reach him - it isn't I just did.

He wants to know if Sen. Goodenough is united with us. That alone will make him say yes, I couldn't give him an answer on that.

↳ gave a helpful tip that Senior Citizens can be mobilized, he says he's told that the bill is valued - threatened their discount privileges, he's leaning over now,



*EF*

MEMORANDUM OF INFORMATION FOR THE FILE

*54138055*  
EXECUTIVE  
PROO702

DATE

LETTER, MEMO, ETC.

TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

CORRESPONDENCE FILED CENTRAL FILES - CONFIDENTIAL FILE

*EF*

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

DATE: March 19, 1988

**C. F.**

54138855  
PR007.02  
PR005.02

**NOTE FOR:**

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR.  
KENNETH DUBERSTEIN  
ALAN KRANOWITZ

**The President has**

seen

acted upon

commented upon

**the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:**

information

action

Rhett Dawson  
(x-2702)

cc:

*Recommended phone call to Cong John R. Kasich to ask support of veto of Grove City Civil Rights bill S. 557*

The President has seen 3/18

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL FOR THE PRESIDENT

TO: Congressman John R. Kasich (R-OH)  
DATE: March 18, 1988  
RECOMMENDED BY: Alan M. Kranowitz *AMK*  
PURPOSE: To ask Congressman Kasich to support your veto of the Grove City civil rights bill.  
BACKGROUND: The House passed S. 557 on March 2 by 315 to 98. The Senate had passed the bill on January 28 by 75 to 14. If the Senate votes to override your veto, then the House will vote on it.

Congressman Kasich (pronounced "KAY-sick") voted for the bill (i.e., against our position). Although he voted for the bill on final passage, he supported the defeated Republican substitute designed to soften the bill's impact on businesses and religiously-affiliated institutions.

John is one of your most devoted admirers, and we believe that a call from you may secure his commitment to vote to sustain your veto.

KEY POINTS  
TO MAKE:

The bill I vetoed unnecessarily expands Federal regulation of State and local governments and the private sector.

We can advance equality of opportunity and non-discrimination while still preserving the freedoms of our citizens.

My veto message proposed legislation that is similar to S. 557, but limits Federal regulation of churches, schools, businesses, farms and ranches to the activities for which they accept Federal aid.

Will you vote to sustain my veto?

DATE OF SUBMISSION: March 18, 1988

ACTION: *Wont say 100% for it but I think we'll have him*

*He's going to look at ours again over the weekend. His question was I going to push for our bill. He was very pleased when I said I was. I think we'll get this.*

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE
Farmers	Not covered solely by virtue of receiving federal agricultural assistance such as crop subsidies or price supports	Covered in their entirety solely by virtue of receiving federal agricultural assistance such as crop subsidies or price supports (3)(A) or (B)	Specifically exempted from coverage solely as a result of receipt of federal agricultural assistance
Grocery Stores	Not covered solely by virtue of participation in the Food Stamp Program	Covered in their entirety solely by virtue of such participation (with a "small provider" exception under Sec. 504 for only "significant structural alterations," e.g., knocking out a wall), but only if "alternative means" of delivery are available. All other burdens remain (3)(B)	Specifically exempted from coverage solely as a result of participation in the Food Stamp program
Abortion Coverage under Title IX	Requirement for covered educational programs to provide abortion coverage in health plans and fringe benefits	Abortion-neutral and makes Title IX abortion-neutral (Bill Sec. 8 and new Sec. 909 added to Title IX)	No different than S. 557
Religious Tenets under Title IX	Provides an exemption for any policy of an educational institution "controlled by" a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of the controlling organization	Provides an exemption for any policy of an entity "controlled by" a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of the controlling organization (Part of new Sec. 908 in bill Sec. 3)	Provides an exemption any policy of an entity "controlled by, or closely identified with the tenets of, a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of such organization

CHART COMPARING S. 557 AS PASSED AND THE PROPOSED ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE
Higher Education	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire systems of public education, and entire institutions of private education, any part of which receive federal assistance (2)(A)	No different than S. 557
Private and Religious Elementary and Secondary School Systems	At most, the entire school receiving federal financial assistance	Entire private and religious elementary and secondary school systems, if only one program at one school receives federal assistance (2)(B)	The entire school receiving assistance
Private Sector Generally (Businesses and other private organizations)	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance at a plant or facility	1) Corporate-wide coverage, including every plant, facility, division, store, and subsidiary, wherever located, for (a) any entity receiving assistance "as a whole" (3)(A)(1), or (b) "principally engaged in the business of providing education, health care, housing, social services, or parks and recreation," if just one program at one plant receives federal aid (3)(A)(11) 2) Plant-wide coverage for all other private sector entities (3)(B)	Plant-wide coverage for all private sector entities, except for assistance to an entity "as a whole" (Removes double standard of coverage for the private sector)
Churches and Synagogues	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire church or synagogue, if just one program receives federal assistance (e.g., surplus food for the needy program) (3)(B)	The specific program receiving assistance

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE
State and Local Government	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire agency of government, and any entity of government that distributes assistance, any part of which receives federal assistance (1)	The specific program or activity receiving assistance
Vocational Education	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire systems of vocational education (2) (B)	No different than S. 557
Other Entities	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Creates open-ended catch-all coverage of an entity "established by two or more" of the entities specifically listed in earlier subsections	No different than S. 557

CHART COMPARING S. 557 AS PASSED AND THE PROPOSED ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE
Higher Education	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire systems of public education, and entire institutions of private education, any part of which receive federal assistance (2) (A)	No different than S. 557
Private and Religious Elementary and Secondary School Systems	At most, the entire school receiving federal financial assistance	Entire private and religious elementary and secondary school systems, if only one program at one school receives federal assistance (2) (B)	The entire school receiving assistance
Private Sector Generally (Businesses and other private organizations)	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance at a plant or facility	<p>1) Corporate-wide coverage, including every plant, facility, division, store, and subsidiary, wherever located, for (a) any entity receiving assistance "as a whole" (3) (A) (i), or (b) "principally engaged in the business or providing education, health care, housing, social services, or parks and recreation," if just one program at one plant receives federal aid (3) (A) (ii)</p> <p>2) Plant-wide coverage for all other private sector entities (3) (B)</p>	<p>Plant-wide coverage for all private sector entities, except for assistance to an entity "as a whole"</p> <p>(Removes double standard of coverage for the private sector)</p>
Churches and Synagogues	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire church or synagogue, if just one program receives federal assistance (E.g., surplus food for the needy program) (3) (B)	The specific program receiving assistance

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTION
State and Local Government	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire agency of government, and any entity of government that distributes assistance, any part of which receives federal assistance (1)	The specific program or activity receiving assistance
Vocational Education	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire systems of vocational education (2) (B)	No different than S. 557
Other Entities	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Creates open-ended catch-all coverage of an entity "established by two or more" of the entities specifically listed in earlier subsections	No different than S. 557



*De*

MEMORANDUM OF INFORMATION FOR THE FILE

54138895  
~~EXECUTIVE~~  
PR00702

DATE

LETTER, MEMO, ETC.

TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

~~CORRESPONDENCE FILED CENTRAL FILES - CONFIDENTIAL FILE~~

EF

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

C. F.

54138955

PROO7-02

H1010

LE

LE002

FA

PROO5-02

REC-11

DATE: 03/18/88

NOTE FOR: ALAN KRANOWITZ

The President has

seen


acted upon

commented upon

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

information

action



Rhett Dawson  
(x-2702)

cc: The Vice President  
Senator Baker  
Ken Duberstein  
Original to Files

*Recommended Telephone Call to Cong. Mickey  
Edwards Re Veto on the Grove City  
Civil Rights Bill.*

~~The President has seen~~ 03/18/88

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

RR

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL FOR THE PRESIDENT

TO: Congressman Mickey Edwards (R-OK)  
DATE: March 18, 1988  
RECOMMENDED BY: Alan M. Kranowitz *AMK*  
PURPOSE: To ask Congressman Edwards to support your veto of the Grove City civil rights bill.  
BACKGROUND: The House passed S. 557 on March 2 by 315 to 98. The Senate had passed the bill on January 28 by 75 to 14. If the Senate votes to override your veto, then the House will vote on it.

Mickey is the Chairman of the Republican Research Committee and his vote will influence other Republicans. Although he voted for the bill on final passage, he supported the defeated Republican substitute designed to soften the bill's impact on businesses and religiously-affiliated institutions. He is believed to be leaning strongly toward sustaining your veto.

He has been your key point man in the House in support of the Contras and he may well want to visit a bit on current developments.

KEY POINTS  
TO MAKE:

The bill unnecessarily expands Federal regulation of State and local governments and the private sector.

We can advance equality of opportunity and non-discrimination while still preserving the freedoms of our citizens.

My veto message proposes legislation that is similar to S. 557, but limits Federal regulation of churches, schools, businesses, farms and ranches to the activities for which they accept Federal aid.

Your vote will influence others.

Will you vote to sustain my veto?

DATE OF SUBMISSION: March 18, 1988

ACTION:

Call made - Re's with us

EF

MEMORANDUM OF INFORMATION FOR THE FILE

54138955  
~~EXECUTIVE~~  
PROO7.02

DATE

LETTER, MEMO, ETC.

TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

CORRESPONDENCE FILED CENTRAL FILES - CONFIDENTIAL FILE

*FF*

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

**C.F.**  
54139055  
PR007.02  
H4010  
PR005.02

DATE: March 19, 1988

NOTE FOR: HOWARD H. BAKER, JR.  
KENNETH DUBERSTEIN  
ALAN KRANOWITZ

The President has

- seen
- acted upon
- commented upon

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

- information
- action

Rhett Dawson  
(x-2702)

cc:

*Recommend Phone Call to Cong Steve  
Bartlett to ask support of Hets of  
Greene City Civil Rights Bill*

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

The President has seen

3/19

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL FOR THE PRESIDENT

TO: Congressman Steve Bartlett (R-TX)

DATE: March 18, 1988

RECOMMENDED BY: Alan M. Kranowitz AMK

PURPOSE: To ask Congressman Bartlett to support your veto of the Grove City civil rights bill.

BACKGROUND: The House passed S. 557 on March 2 by 315 to 98. The Senate had passed the bill on January 28 by 75 to 14. If the Senate votes to override your veto, then the House will vote on it.

Congressman Bartlett voted for the bill (i.e., against our position). Although he voted for the bill on final passage, he supported the defeated Republican substitute designed to soften the bill's impact on businesses and religiously-affiliated institutions.

We believe that a call from you may secure his commitment to vote to sustain your veto.

KEY POINTS  
TO MAKE:

The bill I vetoed unnecessarily expands Federal regulation of State and local governments and the private sector.

We can advance equality of opportunity and non-discrimination while still preserving the freedoms of our citizens.

My veto message proposed legislation that is similar to S. 557, but limits Federal regulation of churches, schools, businesses, farms and ranches to the activities for which they accept Federal aid.

Will you vote to sustain my veto?

DATE OF SUBMISSION: March 18, 1988

ACTION:

Reading it again (Council) over the weekend.  
Wants to be which as I was pleased when I said  
I would do battle for our nation, I shall need  
some help. Don't keep telling him we're going  
all out.

CHART COMPARING S. 557 AS PASSED AND THE PROPOSED ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE
Higher Education	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire systems of public education, and entire institutions of private education, any part of which receive federal assistance (2)(A)	No different than S. 557
Private and Religious Elementary and Secondary School Systems	At most, the entire school receiving federal financial assistance	Entire private and religious elementary and secondary school systems, if only one program at one school receives federal assistance (2)(B)	The entire school receiving assistance
Private Sector Generally (Businesses and other private organizations)	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance at a plant or facility	1) Corporate-wide coverage, including every plant, facility, division, store, and subsidiary, wherever located, for (a) any entity receiving assistance "as a whole" (3)(A)(1), or (b) "principally engaged in the business or providing education, health care, housing, social services, or parks and recreation," if just one program at one plant receives federal aid (3)(A)(11) 2) Plant-wide coverage for all other private sector entities (3)(B)	Plant-wide coverage for all private sector entities, except for assistance to an entity "as a whole" (Removes double standard of coverage for the private sector)
Churches and Synagogues	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire church or synagogue, if just one program receives federal assistance (e.g., surplus food for the needy program) (3)(B)	The specific program receiving assistance



CATEGORY

CURRENT LAW

S. 557 AS PASSED

ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE

Farmers	Not covered solely by virtue of receiving federal agricultural assistance such as crop subsidies or price supports	Covered in their entirety solely by virtue of receiving federal agricultural assistance such as crop subsidies or price supports (3)(A) or (B)	Specifically exempted from coverage solely as a result of receipt of federal agricultural assistance
---------	--	--	--

Grocery Stores	Not covered solely by virtue of participation in the Food Stamp Program	Covered in their entirety solely by virtue of such participation (with a "small provider" exception under Sec. 504 for only "significant structural alterations," e.g., knocking out a wall), but only if "alternative means" of delivery are available. All other burdens remain (3)(B)	Specifically exempted from coverage solely as a result of participation in the Food Stamp program
----------------	---	--	---

Abortion Coverage under Title IX	Requirement for covered educational programs to provide abortion coverage in health plans and fringe benefits	Abortion-neutral and makes Title IX abortion-neutral (Bill Sec. 8 and new Sec. 909 added to Title IX)	No different than S. 557
----------------------------------	---	---	--------------------------

Religious Tenets under Title IX	Provides an exemption for any policy of an educational institution "controlled by" a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of the controlling organization	Provides an exemption for any policy of an entity "controlled by" a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of the controlling organization (Part of new Sec. 908 in bill Sec. 3)	Provides an exemption any policy of an entity "controlled by, or closely identified with the tenets of, a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of such organization
---------------------------------	--	---	--

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE
State and Local Government	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire agency of government, and any entity of government that distributes assistance, any part of which receives federal assistance. (1)	The specific program or activity receiving or distributing assistance
Vocational Education	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Entire systems of vocational education (2) (B)	No different than S. 557
Other Entities	The specific program or activity receiving federal financial assistance	Creates open-ended catch-all coverage of an entity "reestablished by two or more" of the entities specifically listed in earlier subsections	No different than S. 557

CATEGORY	CURRENT LAW	S. 557 AS PASSED	ADMINISTRATION SUBSTITUTE
Farmers	Not covered solely by virtue of receiving federal agricultural assistance such as crop subsidies or price supports	Covered in their entirety solely by virtue of receiving federal agricultural assistance such as crop subsidies or price supports (3)(A) or (B)	Specifically exempted from coverage solely as a result of receipt of federal agricultural assistance
Grocery Stores	Not covered solely by virtue of participation in the Food Stamp Program	Covered in their entirety solely by virtue of such participation (with a "small provider" exception under Sec. 504 for only "significant structural alterations," e.g., knocking out a wall), but only if "alternative means" of delivery are available. All other burdens remain (3)(B)	Specifically exempted from coverage solely as a result of participation in the Food Stamp program
Abortion Coverage under Title IX	Requirement for covered educational programs to provide abortion coverage in health plans and fringe benefits	Abortion-neutral and makes Title IX abortion-neutral (Bill Sec. 8 and new Sec. 909 added to Title IX)	No different than S. 557
Religious Tenets under Title IX	Provides an exemption for any policy of an educational institution "controlled by" a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of the controlling organization	Provides an exemption for any policy of an entity "controlled by" a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of the controlling organization (Part of new Sec. 908 in bill Sec. 3)	Provides an exemption any policy of an entity "controlled by," or closely identified with the tenets of, a religious organization, if the policy conflicts with the religious tenets of such organization

EF

MEMORANDUM OF INFORMATION FOR THE FILE

54139055  
~~EXECUTIVE~~  
PR007-02

DATE

LETTER, MEMO, ETC.

TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

~~CORRESPONDENCE FILED CENTRAL FILES - CONFIDENTIAL FILE~~